Use of Carnobacterium	spp protective	culture in MAF	packed	Ricotta fr	resca	cheese to	o control	Pseudomo	วทลร
spp									

Questa è la versione Post print del seguente articolo: Original

Use of Carnobacterium spp protective culture in MAP packed Ricotta fresca cheese to control Pseudomonas spp / Spanu, C.; Piras, F.; Mocci, Anna Maria; Nieddu, G.; De Santis, E. P. L.; Scarano, C.. -In: FOOD MICROBIOLOGY. - ISSN 0740-0020. - 74:(2018), pp. 50-56. [10.1016/j.fm.2018.02.020]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11388/203301 since: 2022-05-27T11:08:27Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.fm.2018.02.020

Terms of use:

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

### Highlights

- Pseudomonas spp is the main spoilage microorganism in refrigerated ricotta fresca
- A microbiological challenge test was conducted on MAP ricotta fresca samples
- Carnobacterium spp commercial protective culture was tested against *Pseudomonas* spp
- Inoculation of Carnobacterium spp protective culture reduced *Pseudomonas* spp growth

- 1 Use of Carnobacterium spp protective culture in MAP packed Ricotta fresca cheese to control
- 2 Pseudomonas spp
- 3 C. Spanu<sup>1</sup>, F. Piras<sup>1</sup>, Anna Maria Mocci<sup>1</sup>, G. Nieddu<sup>2</sup>, E. P. L. De Santis\*<sup>1</sup>, C. Scarano<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sassari, Via Vienna 2, 07100, Sassari, Italy
- <sup>2</sup>Cooperativa Allevatori Ovini Formaggi Soc. Coop. Agricola, Loc. "Perda Lada" Fenosu, 09170,
- 6 Oristano, Italy
- \*Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 079 229447; fax.: +39 079 229458. *E-Mail address*:
- 8 desantis@uniss.it (E.P.L. De Santis); Via Vienna 2, 07100, Sassari, Italy.

### 10 Abstract

 Ricotta *fresca* is a whey cheese susceptible of secondary contamination, mainly from *Pseudomonas* spp. The extension of the shelf life of refrigerated *ricotta fresca* could be obtained using protective cultures inhibiting the growth of this spoilage microorganism. A commercial biopreservative, Lyofast CNBAL, comprising *Carnobacterium* spp was tested against *Pseudomonas* spp. The surface of *ricotta fresca* samples were inoculated either with *Pseudomonas* spp or *Pseudomonas* and *Carnobacterium* spp. Samples were MAP packed, stored at 4°C and analyzed the day of the inoculum and 7, 14 and 21 days after the contamination. Microbiological analyses included total bacterial count, mesophilic lactic acid bacteria, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Pseudomonas* spp, *Listeria monocytogenes*, moulds and yeasts. *Pseudomonas* mean initial contamination level was comparable in blank and artificially inoculated samples, respectively with values of 2.15±0.21 and 2.34±0.26 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup>. *Carnobacterium* spp. significantly reduced the growth of *Pseudomonas* spp respectively of 1.28 log and 0.83 log after 14 and 21 days of refrigerated storage. Intrinsic properties and physico-chemical composition were also investigated. Limited variation of pH was observed in samples inoculated with the protective cultures, indicating low acidification properties of

Carnobacterium spp. Instead, no significant differences were observed for aw, moisture, fat and proteins during storage and between inoculated and control samples. 

107 46 

Keywords: Carnobacterium spp.; protective cultures; Pseudomonas spp; ricotta; MAP.

### 1. Introduction

According to the Codex Standard for whey cheeses, these are solid, semi-solid, or soft products, which are principally obtained through either the concentration of whey or the coagulation of whey by heat with or without the addition of acid (Codex Alimentarius Codex Standard 284-1971). Whey cheeses are manufactured all over the world at artisanal and industrial level using different protocols depending on the country of origin. Ricotta, originally from Italy is the most renowned whey cheese in the world (Pintado & Malcata, 2000). However, in European countries, especially in the Mediterranean basin, wide varieties of whey cheeses are manufactured, mainly from ovine whey. These whey cheeses fall under several designation, e.g. Anthotyros (Greece), Anari (Cyprus), Requesón (Spain), Requeijao (Portugal), Broccio (France), Urdă (Balkans region). Ricotta fresca is a traditional whey cheese, which in Sardinia is produced by heat coagulation of sheep's milk whey remaining after the production of *Pecorino* type cheeses. The discontinuous manufacturing method include whey heating into open kettles to 80-82 °C. At this temperature the flocculated proteins rise and float on the surface. The curd is then scooped and transferred into perforated plastic baskets. Ricotta baskets are allowed to drain and to cool into a cold room. The detailed manufacturing process of Ricotta fresca has been previously described (Pala et al., 2016). Ricotta fresca is packed either in food wrapping paper or in polypropylene trays that are heat sealed with plastic lidding film. Depending on the food business operator, atmospheric air or modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) is used. MAP conditions largely vary from one operator to another; with 30% CO<sub>2</sub> and 70% N<sub>2</sub> being the most used gas mixture used. The shelf life is designated under the responsibility of the

<sup>172</sup> 74

food business operator and varies from 5-7 days for wrapped Ricotta up to 21 days for MAP Ricotta. Ricotta fresca is poor in natural microflora since it is drastically reduced by the high temperature applied during the thermal denaturation of whey proteins (Pintado, Macedo & Malcata, 2001). Ricotta fresca is exposed to post-process contamination originating from the cheese-making environment, particularly in the steps between molding and packaging (Greenwood, Roberts & Burden, 1991; Ibba et al., 2013). Given the high moisture (70-80%), elevated pH (6.10-6.80) and the water activity (0.974-0.991) and the refrigerated storage (De Santis, Mazzette, Scintu, Deriu, & Carta, 1999), *Ricotta fresca* is an excellent substrate for the possible growth of several psychotropic pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms (De Santis & Mazzette, 2002). Common contaminants of Ricotta fresca include Pseudomonas spp., Enterobacteriaceae, Listeria monocytogenes, B. cereus and Arcobacter spp., yeast and moulds (Pintado, Macedo & Malcata, 2001; De Santis and Mazzette, 2002; De Santis et al. 2008; Ibba et al., 2013; Scarano et al.; 2014; Spanu et al., 2016; Tirloni et al., 2017). However, *Pseudomonas* spp. benefits of the selective advantage of the combination of low temperature and long storage to overgrow other microorganisms, reducing the risk of pathogens growth (Buchanan & Bagi, 1999; Carrascosa et al., 2015). Therefore, *Pseudomonas* spp. represents the main obstacle to extend Ricotta fresca shelf life (Pala et al., 2016). Growth of Pseudomonas spp. to level as high as 7 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> negatively affect the sensory properties of the product (Leriche et al., 2004). Important discoloration of the product derives by the secretion of yellowgreen pyoverdin (Meyer et al., 2002) and of a blue pigment (Cantoni, Stella, Cozzi, Iacumin, & Comi, 2003; Martin, Murphy, Ralyea, Wiedmann & Boor, 2011; Andreani et al., 2015) from some Pseudomonas spp. In order to reduce the initial microbial load at production, it is essential the strict application of good hygiene and good manufacturing procedures. A previous investigation, conducted on Sardinian cheese-making plant manufacturing *Ricotta fresca*, showed that there is an association between secondary contamination with *Pseudomonas* spp. and the level of implementation of the food safety management system at plant level (Pala et al., 2016). There is the

need of additional strategies to control the growth of *Pseudomonas* spp., especially in industrial MAP *Ricotta fresca*. The use of bio preservatives to extend the shelf life of whey cheeses has been previously tested (Davies, Bevis, & Delves-Broughton, 1997; Samelis, Kakouri, Rogga, Savvaidis, & Kontominas, 2003; Martins, Cerqueira, Souza, do Carmo Avides, & Vicente et al., 2010). Carnobacteria are Gram positive rod shaped lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from different echological niches. The genus Carnobacterium, is able to produce bacteriocins therefore, it has been used as protective cultures to provide durability and safety to various types of foods (Elsser-Gravesen & Elsser-Gravesen, 2013). Of the species included in the genus Carnobacterium, only two, C. divergens and C. maltaromaticum, are frequently isolated from natural environment and dairy products (Leisner, Laursen, Prevost, Drider, & Dalgaard, 2007; Afzal et al., 2010). Carnobacterium spp, due to similar growth conditions (pH and temperature variation) of psychrotrophic spoilage and pathogen microorganisms, can improve the durability of chilled foods by reducing or inhibiting the growth of *Pseudomonas* spp. To date, little investigation has been conducted to validate the efficacy of bio preservatives against *Pseudomonas* spp. in sheep *Ricotta* fresca cheese. A commercial protective culture, consisting of a selected strain of Carnobacterium spp producing bacteriocins, the Lyofast CNBAL (Clerici-Sacco Group, Como, Italy) was sprayed on Ricotta fresca surface (Spanu et al., 2017). The study demonstrated a good adaptation to the substrate with growth during refrigerated storage with no acidification of the product. Carnobacterium spp. showed a competitive activity against Pseudomonas spp. in Ricotta fresca. However, the experiment was conducted on naturally contaminated samples. The main objective of the present study was to evaluate, as a possible innovation in the manufacturing process of *Ricotta* fresca, the use of a protective culture to control the superficial contamination against spoilage 225 96 microorganisms. With this aim, the efficacy of Carnobacterium spp against the growth of <sup>229</sup> 98 Pseudomonas spp was tested in artificially contaminated Ricotta fresca.

## 238 <sup>239</sup><sub>240</sub> 100

237

### 2. Materials and methods

242 101

243

<sub>244</sub> 102 245

246 103 247

248 104 249

250 105 251

<sup>252</sup> 106

253

<sup>254</sup> 107 255

<sup>256</sup><sub>257</sub>108

<sup>258</sup><sub>259</sub> 109

260 <sub>261</sub> 110

262 263 111

264

265 112

266

267 113

268 <sup>269</sup>114

270

<sup>271</sup> 115

<sup>273</sup>116

274

<sup>275</sup><sub>276</sub> 117 277

<sub>278</sub> 118

279

<sub>280</sub> 119 281

282 120 283

284 121

285 286 122

287

<sup>288</sup>123 289

<sup>290</sup> 124 291

292

293 294 295

2.1. Samples

The study was conducted on 162 Ricotta fresca samples provided by a local industrial cheesemaking plant using the whey remaining after the production of hard sheep's milk cheese (e.g. *Pecorino* type cheeses). The samples were truncated cone shaped cheese with an upper base ca.7.5 cm wide and a lower base ca. 5 cm wide, weighing approximately 1.1 kg. Fifty-five Ricotta fresca samples were randomly selected from each of three different batches (each batch was manufactured in a different day of production). Samples were packed in MAP (gas mixture was 30% CO<sub>2</sub> and 70% N<sub>2</sub>) using rigid polypropylene trays sealed with high-barrier peelable laminated films. Films were made of bioriented polyamide and cast polypropylene with  $O_2$  T.R. (20°- 65% R.H.) of ~30 cc/m<sup>2</sup>, 24 h. After packaging samples were transported refrigerated to the laboratory within 24 from the production. Within each batch, samples were randomly allocated to the following treatment: artificial inoculation with Pseudomonas fluorescens (RfPf), artificial inoculation with Pseudomonas fluorescens and Carnobacterium spp (RfPf+C), no treatment (RfB). Part of the samples (Ph-Ch) were used for the determination of intrinsic properties (pH and a<sub>w</sub>), percentage composition (moisture, fat, protein) and headspace gas composition ( $CO_2$  and  $O_2$ ).

### 2.2 Artificial inoculation

The RfPf units (n. 36) were the units artificially inoculated with Pseudomonas fluorescens, which served as positive control samples. The preparation of the inoculum was conducted according to the guidelines prepared by NACMCF (2010). A mixture of five Pseudomonas fluorescens wild type strains was used to challenge Ricotta fresca units. The strains were recovered from naturally contaminated *Ricotta fresca* during a previous investigation (Pala et al., 2016). In order to avoid overrepresentation of clones, isolates were submitted to genetic characterization using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Only strains with different restriction profile were included in the preparation of the inoculum. All the strains were stored at -80 °C in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI)

348 349 149

350 351

352 353 354 broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) with glycerol (20% v/v). In order to account for natural contamination levels and allow the enumeration of the inoculum, the target level was 10<sup>2</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> of product surface (Spanu et al., 2014). Preliminary trials were conducted in order to determine the growth condition necessary to standardize the level of inoculum and to adapt strains to refrigeration temperature. Each strains was subcultured by streaking onto the non selective trypticase soy agar medium (TSA, Biolife, Milan, Italy) and incubated for 24 h at 25 °C. A single colony was picked and transferred into tubes containing the non-selective brain heart infusion broth, BHI (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). Tubes were incubated overnight at 25°C in a shaking water bath to obtain cells in the same physiological state (late exponential or early stationary phase). Adaptation of the strains to refrigeration was conducted by subculturing in BHI broth at 4±2 °C until cells were in the exponential growth phase (Uyttendaele et al., 2004). Equal volumes of each individual culture were mixed in a sterile flask. From the mixture were prepared adequate serial decimal dilution in phosphate buffered saline (Biolife) to adjust the concentration to 10<sup>4</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>. After the removal of the sealing film, an aliquot of 1.5-2.0 mL of inoculum was evenly sprayed on the surface of Ricotta fresca (ca. 290 cm<sup>2</sup>, corresponding to ca. 40-50 g of product). All concentrations were confirmed by plate count on TSA (Biolife). After the inoculum of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, the RfP+C units (n. 36) were also inoculated with the protective cultures Lyofast CNBAL (Clerici-Sacco Group, Como, Italy) consisting of a selected strain of Carnobacterium spp producing bacteriocins with an optimum growth temperature between 25-45 °C. The commercial formulation is a freeze-dried powder, which, according to manufacturer's instructions, was diluted in Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (Oxoid) to obtain 10<sup>6</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> of product. With this aim, the suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 10<sup>8</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> and 1.5-2.0 mL were evenly sprayed on the *Ricotta fresca* surface. *Carnobacterium* spp concentrations were confirmed by count on agar plates. After the inoculation, all samples (included

untreated blank samples) were repacked in MAP (30% CO2 and 70% N2) using the FP Basic Sec

355 356

361 <sub>362</sub> 152 363

2.3 Experimental design 364 153

366 154 367

365

368 155 369 <sup>370</sup> 156

<sup>372</sup> 157 373

379 160 380

381 161 382

383 162 384

388

397

401 402 171 403

408 174 409

410 411 412

413

tray sealer (Ilpra, Vigevano, Italy). Blank samples were packed under the same conditions of the other samples with no further manipulation. All samples were stored under refrigeration (+ 4°C) until analysis were performed.

Triplicate samples of each of the three batches of Ricotta fresca were analyzed the day of inoculation (T<sub>0</sub>), and after different days of refrigerated storage, respectively 7 (T<sub>7</sub>), 14 (T<sub>14</sub>) and 21 (T<sub>21</sub>). At each time-point RfPf, RfPf+C and RfB samples were analyzed for the determination of the microbiological profile. In order to mimic the evolution of the microbiological profile under the foreseeable condition during retail selling, a subset of RfPf+C samples were opened seven days after the inoculation and analyzed after three further days of storage (T<sub>10</sub>) at 8±2°C (abuse temperature). Ricotta fresca samples were also analyzed for the determination of the intrinsic properties, composition and headspace gas analysis. The detailed design including sample units, testing times and related analysis is described in table 1.

### 2.3. Microbiological profile

Ricotta fresca samples submitted to microbiological examination were prepared according to ISO 6887-1:1999. Briefly, the initial suspension and decimal dilution was conducted after the sterile collection of to 25 g of ricotta surface into a sterile plastic stomacher bag. 225 mL of Buffered Peptone Water were added and the sample homogenized using a stomacher. Decimal serial dilutions were obtained by transferring 1 mL of the initial suspension into a tube containing 9 mL of sterile diluent. If required, these operations were repeated using the 10<sup>-2</sup> to obtain further serial decimal dilution. The pour-plating procedure was used for the enumeration of aerobic mesophilic bacteria, mesophilic lactic acid bacteria and Enterobacteriaceae, yeasts and moulds. Plate Count Agar and MRS medium at pH 5.7 (Biolife, Milan, Italy) were incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C  $\pm$  1°C for 72 h  $\pm$  3 h respectively for the enumeration of aerobic mesophilic bacteria (ISO 4833:2003) and mesophilic lactic acid bacteria (ISO 15214: 1998). The enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae was conducted

449 <sup>450</sup> 191

451

454 <sub>455</sub> 193

456

<sub>457</sub> 194 458

459 195 460

461 196 462 463 197

464 <sup>465</sup> 198

470 471 472

452 453 **192** 

414

using the plate count technique without resuscitation, incubating Violet Red Bile Agar plates (Biolife) at 35-37°C for 24 h (ISO 21528-1:2004). Enumeration of yeast and molds was conducted using Chloramphenicol Yeast Glucose Agar plates (Biolife) incubated at 25 ± 1°C up to 5 days (ISO 6611/IDF094:2004). For the enumeration of *Pseudomonas* spp (ISO/TS 11059:2009), 0.1 mL of each decimal dilution were spread over the surface of Pseudomonas Agar Plates added with PP supplement (Biolife) and incubated at 25 °C  $\pm$  1°C for 48 h  $\pm$  2 h. For the detection of *Listeria* monocytogenes (ISO 2004a) the initial suspension was supplemented with Fraser Half Selective Supplement (Biolife) and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h (primary enrichment). After incubation 0.1 mL of the primary enrichment were subcultured into 10 mL of Fraser Broth and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h (enrichment). From both, pre-enrichment and enrichment broth, 0.1 mL were streaked onto Agar Listeria Ottaviani Agosti (ALOA, Biolife) and Oxford (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for up to 48 ±3 h. Enumeration of L. monocytogenes (ISO 2004b) was a conducted streaking 1 mL volume of the initial dilution onto both three ALOA and three Oxford agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for up to 48 ±3 h. The enumeration of Carnobacterium spp was conducted using MRS modified by increasing the pH to 8.5, omitting acetate, and substituting glucose for sucrose (Hammes et al., 1992).

### 2.5. Pseudomonas fluorescens characterization

A total of five colonies from each sample containing typical colonies on Pseudomonas Agar Plates were confirmed with two different PCR protocols, one used to identify *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Scarpellini et al., 2004) and the other to identify *Pseudomonas* spp and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (De Vos et al., 1997). Among the confirmed *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains, up to three isolates per samples were randomly selected and analyzed using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). After DNA extraction, agarose plugs were digested with enzyme restriction SpeI (Roche Diagnostics,

524<sub>222</sub>

Laval, Quebec, Canada) (Maslow, 1993). PFGE was performed using the protocol described by (Gershman et al., 2008). The obtained restriction profiles were analyzed by visual examination to distinguish inoculated strains among each other and from strains originating by natural contamination.

2.5. Intrinsic properties, composition and headspace gas analysis

Determination of pH and a<sub>w</sub> was conducted using pH meter GLP22 (Crison Instruments SA, Barcelona, Spain) and water activity meter Aqualab 4TE (Decagon, Pullman, WA, USA), respectively. The near-infrared spectrophotometer system for the determination of centesimal composition (%) of fat, moisture, protein and total solids was used. Ricotta *fresca* samples were accurately mixed, placed into Petri dishes and read in the light spectrum of 850-1050 nm using the compositional FoodScan<sup>TM</sup> device (FOSS, Analytic, Hillerød, Denmark). The headspace gas composition was conducted on MAP ricotta *fresca* samples on the sealed packages before performing other analysis. Measure of combined residual O<sub>2</sub>% and CO<sub>2</sub>% were obtained piercing the lid using a sterile needle connected to the Dansensor gas analyser (PBI Dansensor, Ringsted, Denmark). To avoid gas leaks during the penetration of needle, 15 Ø mm septum (PBI Dansensor), were applied on the film lid before measurements of headspace gas composition.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Differences among average microbiological group counts ( $\log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ), headspace gas concentration (%), intrinsic properties and centesimal composition (%) over time ( $T_0$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_{14}$  and  $T_{21}$ ) and among types of samples (RfB, RfPf and RfPf+C) within one time point were compared using Fisher's least significant difference test. Statistical analyses were performed with Statgraphics Centurion XVI software (Stat Point Technologies, Warrenton, VA, USA). The effectiveness of *Carnobacterium* spp in controlling the growth of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* was evaluated computing the minimum difference ( $\Delta$ ) between of the  $\log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  between RfPf and RfPf+C samples at each time point ( $T_7$ ,  $T_{14}$  and  $T_{21}$ ).

# <sup>534</sup><sub>535</sub>224

532 533

## 537 225

### 538 <sub>539</sub>226

540 541 227

543228

544 545 229

546 547 230

548 <sup>549</sup>231

550 <sup>551</sup><sub>552</sub>232

<sup>553</sup>
<sub>554</sub>
233

<sub>556</sub>234 557

<sub>558</sub>235

559 560236

561 562237

563 564238

565 <sup>566</sup>239

567

<sup>568</sup>240 569

573 242

575 243 576

577244 578

579 245 580

581 246 582

<sup>583</sup>247 584

<sup>585</sup> 248 586

587 588 589

590

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Microbiological profile

In Ricotta fresca blank samples the total bacterial count was 3.12±0.71 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>0</sub> and gradually increased during refrigerated storage up to 6.34±0.87 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>21</sub>. The mesophilic lactic acid bacteria ranged between  $2.25\pm0.06 \log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>0</sub> and  $3.83\pm1.06 \log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>21</sub>. Enterobacteriaceae were observed in one samples both at  $T_0$  and at  $T_7$  with counts of ca. 2  $\log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>; their concentration increased to 3.48±0.58 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>21</sub>. Pseudomonas aeruginosa was never detected both in inoculated and blank samples. Natural contamination with Pseudomonas spp was observed in two samples out of nine with a mean count of  $2.15\pm0.21 \log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>0</sub> and increased to 6.48±0.78 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>21</sub>. In ricotta fresca samples inoculated with the protective culture, Carnobacterium spp. grew from the initial concentration of  $6.36 \pm 0.32 \log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at T<sub>0</sub> to  $8.78 \pm 0.25 \log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at  $T_{21}$ . The comparison between *ricotta fresca* samples inoculated with Pseudomonas spp plus the protective culture and samples inoculated only with Pseudomonas spp showed similar level of initial contamination, ca. 2.30 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>. After 14 and 21 days of refrigerated storage Pseudomonas concentration was respectively 1.28 and 0.83 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> lower in ricotta fresca inoculated with Carnobacterium spp protective culture (P < 0.05). Yeast and molds were occasionally reported, with maximum values below 4  $log_{10}$  at  $T_{21}$ . The complete microbiological profile with mean counts ( $\log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>;  $\bar{x} \pm SD$ ) over time is reported in table 2. L. monocytogenes was never detected on either blank samples and ricotta inoculated with biopreservatives. Microbiological analysis conducted on RfPf+Copen samples analyzed at T<sub>10</sub> showed the following counts (within brackets positive samples over tested samples): total bacterial count  $8.40 \pm 0.63 \log_{10} \text{ cfu g}^{-1}$  (n = 9/9), mesophilic lactic acid bacteria  $3.12 \pm 0.41 \log_{10} \text{ cfu g}^{-1}$  (n = 6/9), Carnobacterium spp  $6.90\pm1.89\log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> (n = 9/9), Enterobacteriaceae  $2.15\log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> (n = 1/9), Pseudomonas spp  $7.65 \pm 0.51 \log_{10} \text{ cfu g}^{-1}$  (n = 9/9), yeast and molds  $2.86 \pm 0.43 \log_{10} 10$ cfu g<sup>-1</sup> (n = 5/9), while L. monocytogenes was never detected.

<sup>593</sup><sub>594</sub>249

591 592

<sub>596</sub>250 597 <sub>598</sub>251

599 600252

601 602253

604254 605

603

606 255 607

<sup>608</sup>256 609

610 611

612 613<sup>258</sup>

<sub>615</sub>259

616 617260

618

619261 620

621 262 622

623 263 624

<sup>625</sup>264 626

627 265 628

629 630 266

631 <sub>632</sub>267

633 634268

635 636269

638 270 639

637

640 271 641

642<sub>272</sub> 643

644 645

646 647

648 649 3.2. Physico-chemical characteristics and MAP gas composition

A moderate decrease of pH was observed over time in all *ricotta fresca* samples. However, significant difference between blank samples and in samples inoculated with Carnobacterium spp were observed only at  $T_{21}$ , showing respectively values of 6.60±0.04 and 6.48±0.07 (P<0.05). The a<sub>w</sub> was stable over time and between sample types ranging from 0.984±0.001 and 0.987±0.001. No significant difference was observed among blank samples and ricotta inoculated with biopreservatives in moisture, fat and protein composition, showing respectively a mean content of  $72.38\pm3.15$ ,  $15.67\pm3.99$  and  $10.70\pm0.93$ . The O<sub>2</sub> content in the headspace increased from the initial level of  $0.52\pm0.24\%$  up to  $1.30\pm1.28\%$  at  $T_7$ , to decrease again as low as  $0.03\pm0.05$  at  $T_{21}$ . Instead, the CO<sub>2</sub> content decreased from T<sub>0</sub> to T<sub>21</sub> respectively from 16.71±0.61% to 7.16%. No significant difference in gas composition were observed between blank and inoculated *ricotta fresca* samples. Intrinsic properties, composition and gas composition in the headspace ( $\bar{x} \pm SD$ ) during the refrigerated storage are reported in table 3.

3.3. Pseudomonas spp natural and artificial contamination

From RfPf+C a total of 108 were confirmed as *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains and typable by **PFGE**. The comparison of the restriction profiles obtained showed that 103 strains (95.4%) belonged to one of the five Pseudomonas fluorescens strains of the mix used for the artificial contamination. Five strains (4.6%), showing a different restriction profile, were from natural contamination.

### 4. Discussion

Ricotta fresca cheese is particularly susceptible of secondary contamination from the processing environment and represents an excellent substrate for the growth of psychotropic pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms (De Santis and Mazzette, 2002; De Santis et al. 2008; Ibba et al., 2013; Scarano et al.; 2014; Spanu et al., 2016). Therefore, it is necessary to provide ricotta fresca with a

650 651

656

658

660

662

664

666

668

675

677

679

681

683

685

687

690

692

694

696

698

700

702 <sup>703</sup>298

704 705

706 707 708 protection against the growth of unwanted bacteria. A possible strategy is the use of microbiological cultures aimed to control the multiplication of contaminants. Previous investigation has been conducted to assess the feasibility of using different biopreservatives in ricotta fresca (Spanu et al., 2017). The study, conducted on naturally contaminated ricotta, indicated Carnobacterium spp as a possible protective culture to be used to control the growth of *Pseudomonas* spp. The present study was aimed to validate the efficacy of Carnobacterium spp inoculated on the surface of ricotta fresca before packaging to control the growth of *Pseudomonas* spp in artificially contaminated samples. The microbiological profile of controls (not inoculated) samples showed a natural intitial contamination level of *Pseudomonas* spp of ca. 2 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup>. *Pseudomonas* spp grew to level as high as 7 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup> after 21 days of refrigerated storage. Carnobacterium spp showed a good adaptation to the substrate showing an increase in mean counts of ca. 2 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup> during refrigerated storage. The use of Carnobacterium spp was effective in reducing Pseudomonas spp concentration respectively of 1.28 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.83 log cfu g<sup>-1</sup> after 14 and 21 days of storage. These results are in agreement with previous studies conducted on naturally contaminated sheep ricotta fresca (Spanu et al., 2017). This is explained by the level of artificial contamination used in the present study, which was comparable with the natural level of *Pseudomonas* contamination. In turn, this finding highlight the need of considering ricotta fresca as a "high risk" production and of improving the procedures based on the HACCP principles put in place in Sardinian cheesemaking plants. Despite the growth of Carnobacterium spp, little difference was observed in pH value between ricotta fresca inoculated with the protective culture and control samples. Variation of pH over time was comparable with previous observations (Pala et al., 2016; Spanu et al., 2017). No significant differences were observed in a<sub>W</sub> and composition between blank samples and samples inoculated with Carnobacterium spp. However, the possible impact on sensory properties of the protective culture should be further investigated. In the present study sensory analysis were not performed because the level of *Pseudomonas* spp contamination at T<sub>14</sub> was already as high as 6

748</sub>316

750 317

**318** 

760<sub>322</sub>

**319** 

756 320 758 321 log<sub>10</sub>, compatible with possible alteration of the product. Before performing sensory analysis it is necessary first to guarantee the safety of the product and the absence of any alteration that could compromise acceptability of consumers.

The evolution of the gas composition in the headspace followed a trend which was expected. After MAP packaging a residual concentration of ca. 0.52%  $O_2$  persist in the headspace, which increases during the first week of storage to above 1.0% and successively decrease until ca. 0.03% at  $T_{21}$ . This could be explained with the release into the headspace of  $O_2$  incorporated in the food matrix at first, than the successive reduction is attributable to the consumption of  $O_2$  during the successive growth of the microorganisms. The differences in the  $CO_2$  content in the headspace with respect to the concentration used in the gas mixture was the result of prompt gas solving in the product, while the successive  $CO_2$  reduction could be related to permeability of the plastic lidding film.

To mimic foreseeable condition during retail selling after 7 days of refrigerated storage *Ricotta fresca* samples were opened by removal of the film lid and exposing the product to atmospheric air. Samples were then submitted to three days of thermal abuse  $(T_{10})$  at  $8\pm2^{\circ}C$ . Abused samples showed a significant increase in aerobic mesophilic bacteria count and of *Pseudomonas* spp that reached respectively, level as high as  $8 \log$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> and  $7.65 \log$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>. This further confirms the importance of the cold chain in preserving the microbiological durability of *ricotta fresca*.

### 5. Conclusion

The use of *Carnobacterium* spp as a protective culture, sprayed on the surface of ricotta fresca during refrigerated storage, demonstrated to be effective to obtain a reduction of ca 1 log of *Pseudomonas* spp growth. Despite *Carnobacterium* spp does not serve a single step against *Pseudomonas*, it may be part of an integrated approach where several combined control measures limit the growth of the microorganism to an acceptable level.

## 770 324 Acknowledgements

768 769

<sup>773</sup>325

775 776

778 327

780 328 781

787 788 332

789 790<sub>333</sub>

791

<sup>792</sup><sub>793</sub>334

794 795

797 336 798

799 337 800

801 **338** 802

803 339 804 805 340

806 807

811 812**343** 

813 814 344

815

817

818 819

821 347

822 823

824 825 826

796

774

This work was funded by "Programma di Sviluppo Rurale Sardegna 2007-2013 Misura 124 Cooperazione per lo Sviluppo di Nuovi Prodotti, Processi e Tecnologie nei Settori Agricolo Alimentare e in quello Forestale –project ID: H78F13000050007. The authors are grateful to all the members of the joint dairy industry consortium "Associazione Temporanea di Scopo - Aziende casearie Riunite" for their cooperation in the research.

### References

- 1. Afzal, M.I., Jacquet, T., Delaunay, S., Borges, F., Millière, J.B., Revol-Junelles, A.M., & Cailliez-Grimal, C. (2010). *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum*: identification, isolation tools, ecology and technological aspects in dairy products. Food microbiology, 27(5), 573-579.
- Andreani, N. A., Carraro, L., Martino, M. E., Fondi, M., Fasolato, L., Miotto, G., Magro, M., Vianello, F., & Cardazzo, B. (2015). A genomic and transcriptomic approach to investigate the blue pigment phenotype in Pseudomonas fluorescens. International journal of food microbiology, 213, 88-98.
- 3. Buchanan, R.L., & Bagi, L.K. (1999). Microbial competition: effect of Pseudomonas fluorescens on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Food Microbiology, 16 (5), 523-529.
- 4. CAC (codex Alimentarius Commission). (1971). Codex standard for whey cheeses. CODEX STAN 284-71. Rev. 2010.
- 5. Cantoni, C., Stella, S., Cozzi, M., Iacumin, L., & Comi, G. (2003). Blue colouring in mozzarella cheese. Industrie Alimentari 42: 840-843.
- Carrascosa, C., Millán, R., Jaber, J.R., Lupiola, P., del Rosario-Quintana, C., Mauricio, C.,
   & Sanjuán, E. (2015). Blue pigment in fresh cheese produced by Pseudomonas fluorescens.
   Food Control, 54, 95-102.

841

845

842354 843 <sup>844</sup>355

846 847

848 849</sub>357

<sub>851</sub> 358 852

853 359 854

855360 856

857361 858 859362

860 <sup>861</sup> 363

862 863

864

867 868 366

869

870367 871

872368 873

874369 875 876370

877 <sup>878</sup>371

879 880 372

881 882

883 884 885

865 866

- 7. Davies, E.A., Bevis, H.E., & Delves-Broughton, J. (1997). The use of the bacteriocin, nisin, as a preservative in ricotta-type cheeses to control the food-borne pathogen *Listeria* monocytogenes. Letters in applied microbiology, 24(5), 343.
- 8. De Santis, E.P.L., Mazzette, R., Scintu, M.F., Deriu, A., & Carta, A., 1999. Prove di produzione industriale della ricotta ovina confezionata: evoluzione dei parametri microbiologici nel corso della conservazione. Atti IX Convegno Nazionale A.I.V.I.
- 9. De Santis, E.P.L., Foddai, A., Virdis, S., Marongiu, P., Pilo, A. L., & Scarano, C. (2008). Toxin gene pattern in *Bacillus cereus* group strains isolated from sheep ricotta cheese. Veterinary research communications, 32, 323-326.
- 10. De Santis, E.P.L., & Mazzette, R. (2002). La ricotta un substrato ideale. Caseus, 7, 42-44.
- 11. De Vos D, Lim A Jr, Pirnay JP, Struelens M, Vandenvelde C, Duinslaeger L, Vanderkelen A, Cornelis P. Direct detection and identification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in clinical samples such as skin biopsy specimens and expectorations by multiplex PCR based on two outer membrane lipoprotein genes, oprI and oprL. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 1997; 35: 12958-9.
- 12. Elsser-Gravesen, D., & Elsser-Gravesen, A. (2013). Biopreservatives. In Biotechnology of Food and Feed Additives (pp. 29-49). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- 13. Gershman, M. D., Kennedy, D. J., Noble-Wang, J., Kim, C., Gullion, J., Kacica, Jensen, B., Pascoe, N., Saiman, McHale, J., Wilkins, M., Schoonmaker-Bopp, D., Clayton, J., Arduino, M., Srinivasan, A. (2008). Multistate outbreak of Pseudomonas fluorescens bloodstream infection after exposure to contaminated heparinized saline flush prepared by a compounding pharmacy. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 47(11), 1372-1379.
- 14. Greenwood, M.H., Roberts, D., & Burden, P. (1991). The occurrence of Listeria species in milk and dairy products: a national survey in England and Wales. International Journal of Food Microbiology 12, 197-206.

886	
887	
888	373
889	3/3
890	
891	374
892	
893	375
894	
895	376
896	
897	377
898	5//
899	378
900	3/8
901	379
902	
903	380
904	
905	381
906	001
907	000
908	382
909	
910	383
911	
912	384
913	
914	385
915	005
916	204
917	300
918 919	387
920	388
921	
922	389
923	
924	390
925	370
926	004
927	391
928	
929	392
930	
931	393
932	
933	394
934	J / T
935	205
936	395
937	396

942

- 15. Hammes, W.P., N. Weiss, and W. Holzapfel. 1992. The genera Lactobacillus and Carnobacterium, in The prokaryotes A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Ecophysiology, Isolation, Identification, Application, vol. 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn (eds A. Balows, H. G. Trüper, M. Dworkin, W. Harder, and K.-H. Schleifer), Springer Verlag, New York, p. 1535–1594.
- 16. Ibba, M., Cossu, F., Spanu, V., Virdis, S., Spanu, C., Scarano, C., & De Santis, E. P. (2013). *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination in dairy plants: evaluation of *Listeria monocytogenes* environmental contamination in two cheese-making plants using sheeps milk. Italian Journal of Food Safety, 2(2), 31.
- 17. ISO 11290-1:1996/Amd 1. (2004a).Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs-Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Part 1: Detection method (1st ed.). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- 18. ISO 11290-2:1998/Amd 1. (2004b). Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs-Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Part 2: Enumeration method (1st ed). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- 19. ISO 6611/IDF094. (2004). Milk and milk products Enumeration of colony-forming units of yeasts and/or moulds - Colony-count technique at 25 degrees C. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- 20. ISO 6887-1 (1999). Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs- Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination. Part 1: General rules for the preparation of the initial suspension and decimal dilutions. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.

945	
946	
947	007
948	397
949	
950	398
951	
952	399
953	
954	400
	100
955 956	101
	401
957	
	402
959	
960	400
00.	403
962	
963	404
964	
965	405
966	
967	406
968	100
969	407
970	407
971	_
972	408
973	
974	409
975	410
976	
977	111
978	411
979	
980	412
981	
982	413
983	
984	414
985	
986	415
987	713
988	11/
989	416
990	
991	417
992	
993	418
994	
995	419
	1
996 997	420
	<del>-1</del> ∠U
998	40.
999	421

- 21. ISO/TS 11059. (2009). Milk and milk products Method for the enumeration of *Pseudomonas* spp. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- 22. Laore, Agenzia regionale per lo sviluppo in agricoltura, 2015. L'agroalimentare a marchio di qualità. Formaggi Available at http://www.sardegnaagricoltura.it/documenti/14\_43\_20141006144130.pdf. Last accessed June 21, 2017.
- 23. Leisner, J.J., Laursen, B.G., Prevost, H., Drider, D., & Dalgaard, P., 2007. Carnobacterium: positive and negative effects in the environment and in foods. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 31, 592e613
- 24. Leriche, F., Bordessoulesb, A., Fayollea, K., Karoui, R., Lavalb, K., Leblanca, L., & Dufour, E. (2004). Alteration of raw-milk cheese by *Pseudomonas spp.*: monitoring the sources of contamination using fluorescence spectroscopy and metabolic profiling. Journal of Microbiological Methods 59: 33-41.
- 25. Martin, N. H., Murphy, S. C., Ralyea, R. D., Wiedmann, M., & Boor, K. J. (2011). When cheese gets the blues: Pseudomonas fluorescens as the causative agent of cheese spoilage. Journal of dairy science, 94(6), 3176-3183.
- 26. Martins, J. T., Cerqueira, M. A., Souza, B. W., do Carmo Avides, M. D., & Vicente, A. A. (2010). Shelf life extension of ricotta cheese using coatings of galactomannans from nonconventional sources incorporating nisin against Listeria monocytogenes. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58(3), 1884-1891.
- 27. Maslow, J. N. (1993). Application of pulsed-field gel electrohoresis to molecular epidemiology. Diagnostic molecular microbiology: principles and applications.
- 28. Meyer, J.M., Geoffroy, V.A., Baida, N., Gardan, L., Izard, D., & Lemanceau, P. (2002). Siderophore typing, a powerful tool for the identification of fluorescent and non fluorescent pseudomonads. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68: 2745-2753.

- 29. National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods. (2010). Parameters for determining inoculated pack/challenge study protocols. Journal of food protection, 73(1), 140.
- 30. Pala, C., Scarano, C., Venusti, M., Sardo, D., Casti, D., Cossu, F., Lamon, S., Spanu, V., Ibba, M., Marras, M., Paba, A., & De Santis E.P.L. (2016). Shelf-life evaluation of sheep's ricotta fresca cheese in modified atmosphere packaging. *Italian Journal of Food Safety*, 5(3), 134-139.
- 31. Pintado, M. E., Macedo, A. C., Malcata, F. X., Macedo, A. C., & Malcata, F. X. (2001). Review: Technology, chemistry and microbiology of whey cheeses. Food Science and Technology International, 7, 105–116.
- 32. Pintado, M.E., & Malcata, F.X. (2000). Characterization of whey cheese packaged under vacuum. Journal of food protection, 63(2), 216-221.
- 33. Samelis, J., Kakouri, A., Rogga, K. J., Savvaidis, I. N., & Kontominas, M. G. (2003). Nisin treatments to control *Listeria monocytogenes* post-processing contamination on Anthotyros, a traditional Greek whey cheese, stored at 4 C in vacuum packages. Food Microbiology, 20(6), 661-669.
- 34. Scarano, C., Giacometti, F., Manfreda, G., Lucchi, A., Pes, E., Spanu, C., De Santis, E.P.L., & Serraino, A. (2014). Arcobacter butzleri in sheep ricotta cheese at retail and related sources of contamination in an industrial dairy plant. Applied and environmental microbiology, 80(22), 7036-7041.
- 35. Scarpellini M, Franzetti L, Galli A. Development of PCR assay to identify *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and its biotype. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 2004, 236: 257-60.
- 36. Spanu, C., Scarano, C., Ibba, M., Pala, C., Spanu, V., & De Santis, E. P. L. (2014).

  Microbiological challenge testing for Listeria monocytogenes in ready-to-eat food: a practical approach. Italian journal of food safety, 3(4).

- 37. Spanu, C., Scarano, C., Spanu, V., Pala, C., Casti, D., Lamon, S., Cossu, F., Ibba, M., Nieddu, G., & De Santis, E.P.L. (2016). Occurrence and behavior of Bacillus cereus in naturally contaminated ricotta salata cheese during refrigerated storage. Food microbiology, 58, 135-138.
- 38. Spanu, C., Scarano, C., Piras, F., Spanu, V., Pala, C., Casti, D., ... & De Santis, E. P. L. (2017). Testing commercial biopreservative against spoilage microorganisms in MAP packed Ricotta fresca cheese. Food Microbiology, 66, 72-76.
- 39. Uyttendaele, M., Rajkovic, A., Benos, G., Francois, K., Devlieghere, F., & Debevere, J. (2004). Evaluation of a challenge testing protocol to assess the stability of ready-to-eat cooked meat products against growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 90(2), 219-236.
- 40. Tirloni, E., Ghelardi, E., Celandroni, F., Bernardi, C., Casati, R., Rosshaug, P. S., & Stella, S. (2017). Bacillus cereus in fresh ricotta: Comparison of growth and Haemolysin BL production after artificial contamination during production or post processing. Food Control, 79, 272-278.

Table 1. Experimental design: number of *Ricotta fresca* samples and types of analysis performed at each sampling time.

			Samp	ling tim	e		
Analysis	T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>10</sub>	T <sub>14</sub>	T <sub>21</sub>	Total		
- Microbiological profile: aerobic mesophilic bacteria; mesophilic lactic	samples RfB	9	9	-	9	9	36
acid bacteria; <i>Enterobacteriacae</i> ; <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp; L.	RfPf	9	9	-	9	9	36
monocytogenes; Carnobacterium spp; yeast and molds.	RfPf+C	9	9	-	9	9	36
	RfPf+C <sub>open</sub>	-	-	9	-	-	9
<ul> <li>Intrinsic properties: pH and a<sub>w</sub>; composition (%): moisture; fat; protein;</li> </ul>	Ph-Ch	9	9	9	9	9	45
- Headspace composition (%): CO <sub>2</sub> ; O <sub>2</sub>							
Total		36	36	18	36	36	162

 $T_0$  = day of inoculum;  $T_7$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{14}$ , and  $T_{21}$ = respectively 7, 10, 14 and 21 days of storage after the inoculum. RfB: not inoculated blank samples; RfPf: samples inoculated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*; RfPf+C: samples inoculated with both *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Carnobacterium* spp; RfPf+C<sub>open</sub>: samples inoculated with both *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Carnobacterium* spp and stored refrigerated after the removal of the film lid; Ph-Ch: samples used for the determination of intrinsic properties, composition and headspace gas.

Table 2. Evolution of the microbiological profile ( $\log_{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>;  $\bar{x} \pm SD$ ) of ricotta during refrigerated storage by sample type.

Microbial group	Type of samples	Day of storage					
Microbiai group		$T_0$	T <sub>7</sub>	T <sub>14</sub>	T <sub>21</sub>		
Aerobic mesophilic bacteria	RfB	$3.1\pm0.7^{a1} (n = 9/9)$	$4.7\pm0.8^{\text{b1}} (n = 9/9)$	$5.7\pm0.8^{c1} (n = 9/9)$	$6.3\pm0.9^{c1} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf	$3.0\pm0.7^{a1} (n = 9/9)$	$5.0\pm0.5^{b2} (n = 9/9)$	$7.6 \pm 0.5^{\text{cl}} \ (n = 9/9)$	$8.3\pm0.3^{d2} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf+C	$2.8 \pm 1.3^{a1} (n = 9/9)$	$6.9\pm1.1^{b2} (n = 9/9)$	$8.6\pm0.4^{c2} (n = 9/9)$	$9.1\pm1.3^{c3} (n = 9/9)$		
mesophilic lactic acid bacteria	RfB	$2.3\pm0.1^{ab1} (n = 4/9)$	$1.7 \pm 0.6^{a1} \ (n = 6/9)$	$2.8 \pm 0.9^{b12} (n = 6/9)$	$3.8\pm1.1^{c1} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf	$2.1\pm0.5^{a1} (n = 6/9)$	$3.3\pm0.8^{b2} (n = 8/9)$	$3.7 \pm 0.8^{b2} (n = 8/9)$	$5.0\pm0.1^{\circ2} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf+C	$3.5\pm1.1^{a2} (n = 6/9)$	$3.0\pm1.0^{ab2} (n = 8/9)$	$2.5\pm0.4^{a1} (n = 5/9)$	$2.9\pm0.9^{a3} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfB	ND	ND	$2.6\pm1.0^{a1} (n = 3/9)$	$3.2\pm0.9^{a1} (n = 9/9)$		
Enterobacteriacae	RfPf	$2.0\pm0.0^a (n=1/9)$	$1.9\pm0.0^{a} (n = 1/9)$	$2.4\pm0.9^{a1} (n = 5/9)$	$3.9\pm0.6^{a1} (n = 5/9)$		
	RfPf+C	ND	$1.9 \pm 0.0^{ab} (n = 1/9)$	$1.5\pm0.8^{a1} (n = 4/9)$	$3.1\pm0.7^{\text{b1}} (n = 6/9)$		
Pseudomonas spp	RfB	$2.1\pm0.2^{a1} (n = 2/9)$	$4.5\pm1.0^{\rm b1} \ (n=8/9)$	$5.6 \pm 1.3^{c1} (n = 8/9)$	$6.5\pm0.8^{\text{cl}} (n = 6/9)$		
	RfPf	$2.3\pm0.4^{a1} (n = 9/9)$	$5.3\pm0.6^{b2} (n = 9/9)$	$8.2\pm0.3^{\circ2} (n = 9/9)$	$8.6\pm0.4^{\circ2} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf+C	$2.3\pm0.3^{a1} (n = 9/9)$	$4.8\pm0.5^{b12} (n = 9/9)$	$6.9\pm0.7^{c3} (n = 9/9)$	$7.8 \pm 0.6^{d3} (n = 9/9)$		
Yeast and molds	RfB	ND	ND	ND	ND		
	RfPf	$2.7\pm0.3^{a1} (n = 3/9)$	$3.2\pm0.6^{a1} (n = 4/9)$	$3.5\pm0.7^{a1} (n = 8/9)$	$3.6\pm0.9^{a1} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf+C	$2.4\pm0.1^{ab1} (n = 3/9)$	$2.8\pm0.1^{ab1} (n=2/9)$	$2.5\pm1.0^{a2} (n = 8/9)$	$3.9\pm0.9^{\text{b1}} (n=3/9)$		
Carnobacterium spp	RfB	$2.6\pm0.5^{a1} (n=4/9)$	$3.1\pm0.9^{ab1} (n = 7/9)$	$3.2\pm1.1^{ab1} (n = 9/9)$	$4.2\pm1.2^{\text{b1}} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf	$2.1\pm0.5^{a1} (n = 7/9)$	$3.4\pm0.4^{\rm b1}\ (n=8/9)$	$4.1\pm0.4^{\circ2} (n=9/9)$	$4.8\pm0.9^{d1} (n = 9/9)$		
	RfPf+C	$6.4\pm0.3^{a2} (n = 9/9)$	$6.9\pm0.6^{b2} (n = 9/9)$	$7.9\pm0.6^{\circ3} (n=9/9)$	$8.8\pm0.3^{d2} (n = 9/9)$		

 $T_0$  = day of inoculum;  $T_7$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{14}$ , and  $T_{21}$ = respectively 7, 10, 14 and 21 days of storage after the inoculum. RfB: not inoculated blank samples; RfPf: samples inoculated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*; RfPf+C: samples inoculated with both *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Carnobacterium* spp; Means in the same row with different superscript letter were significantly different (P<0.05); means in the same column among type of samples with different superscript number were significantly different (P<0.05). Values within brackets indicate the prevalence of positive samples.

Table 3. Intrinsic properties, physico-chemical characteristics and MAP gas composition ( $\bar{x} \pm SD$ ) of ricotta during refrigerated storage by sample type.

		Day of storage						
Parameters	Type of samples	$T_0$	$T_7$	T <sub>14</sub>	T <sub>21</sub>			
рН	RfB	6.7±0.1 <sup>a1</sup>	6.6±0.2 <sup>a1</sup>	6.6±0.1 <sup>a1</sup>	6.6±0.0a1			
	RfPf	$6.8\pm0.1^{a1}$	$6.6 \pm 0.1^{ab1}$	$6.6 \pm 0.0$ <sup>b1</sup>	$6.6 \pm 0.0^{ab1}$			
	RfPf+C	$6.8\pm0.1^{a1}$	$6.7 \pm 0.1^{ab1}$	$6.6 \pm 0.1^{bc1}$	$6.5\pm0.1^{c2}$			
$a_{\mathrm{w}}$	<mark>RfB</mark>	$0.986 \pm 0.001^{a1}$	$0.987 \pm 0.001^{a1}$	$0.987 \pm 0.001^{a1}$	$0.987 \pm 0.001^{a1}$			
	<mark>RfPf</mark>	$0.987 \pm 0.001^{a1}$	$0.986 \pm 0.001^{\rm b1}$	$0.986 \pm 0.001^{a1}$	$0.987 \pm 0.001^{ab1}$			
	RfPf+C	$0.987 \pm 0.001^{a1}$	$0.984 \pm 0.001$ <sup>b1</sup>	$0.985 \pm 0.001^{\rm b1}$	$0.986 \pm 0.001^{ab1}$			
Moisture (%)	RfB	$71.8\pm4.9^{a1}$	$71.4\pm2.8^{a1}$	$72.6 \pm 2.8^{a1}$	$71.9\pm2.4^{a1}$			
	RfPf	$70.9 \pm 3.0^{a1}$	$72.0\pm5.0^{a1}$	$72.6 \pm 5.7^{a1}$	$74.3 \pm 1.3^{a1}$			
	RfPf+C	$73.0\pm4.0^{a1}$	$72.0 \pm 3.8^{a1}$	$71.2 \pm 3.9^{a1}$	$74.2 \pm 1.4^{a1}$			
Fat (%)	RfB	$17.3\pm5.7^{a1}$	$16.9\pm4.3^{a1}$	$13.9\pm2.0^{a1}$	$15.6\pm2.6^{a1}$			
	RfPf	$17.3\pm4.4^{a1}$	$15.8\pm5.6^{a1}$	$15.2\pm6.7^{a1}$	$15.0\pm2.6^{a1}$			
	RfPf+C	$15.8\pm6.8^{a1}$	$16.9\pm4.7^{a1}$	$17.3\pm3.9^{a1}$	$12.6 \pm 1.8^{a1}$			
Protein (%)	RfB	$10.1\pm1.2^{a1}$	$10.6 \pm 0.4^{a1}$	$11.0\pm0.8^{a1}$	$11.2\pm1.0^{a1}$			
	RfPf	$11.1\pm1.2^{a1}$	$10.8 \pm 1.5^{a1}$	$10.3 \pm 0.1^{a1}$	$11.4\pm1.6^{a1}$			
	RfPf+C	$10.2\pm1.2^{a1}$	$10.0\pm0.8^{a1}$	$10.2\pm1.2^{a1}$	$10.7 \pm 0.3^{a1}$			
$O_2\%$	RfB	$0.7 \pm 0.3^{ab1}$	$1.1 \pm 0.3^{ab1}$	$1.8\pm0.6^{b1}$	$0.0\pm0.0^{a1}$			
	RfPf	$0.5\pm0.2^{a1}$	$1.2\pm0.1^{b1}$	$0.1\pm0.2^{c2}$	$0.1\pm0.1^{c1}$			
	RfPf+C	$0.4\pm0.1^{a1}$	$1.6\pm2.5^{a1}$	$0.2\pm0.2^{a2}$	$0.0\pm0.0^{a1}$			
$C0_2\%$	RfB	$16.4\pm0.5^{a1}$	$8.1\pm1.5^{b1}$	$5.9\pm0.5^{c1}$	$6.7 \pm 0.6^{bc1}$			
	RfPf	16.9±0.1 <sup>a1</sup>	$7.9 \pm 1.0^{b1}$	$6.6 \pm 0.2^{c1}$	$7.2 \pm 0.2^{bc12}$			
	RfPf+C	16.8±1.0a1	$8.5 \pm 0.8^{b1}$	$7.0\pm1.1^{b1}$	$7.6 \pm 0.4^{b2}$			

 $T_0$  = day of inoculum;  $T_7$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{14}$ , and  $T_{21}$ = respectively 7, 10, 14 and 21 days of storage after the inoculum. RfB: not inoculated blank samples; RfPf: samples inoculated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*; RfPf+C: samples inoculated with both *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Carnobacterium* spp; Means in the same row with different superscript letter were significantly different (P<0.05); means in the same column among type of samples with different superscript number were significantly different (P<0.05).