

Occurrence and behavior of *Bacillus cereus* in naturally contaminated ricotta salata cheese during refrigerated storage

Questa è la versione Post print del seguente articolo:

*Original*

Occurrence and behavior of *Bacillus cereus* in naturally contaminated ricotta salata cheese during refrigerated storage / Spanu, Carlo; Scarano, Christian; Spanu, V; Pala, C; Casti, D; Lamon, S; Cossu, F; Ibba, M; Nieddu, G; DE SANTIS, Enrico Pietro Luigi. - In: FOOD MICROBIOLOGY. - ISSN 0740-0020. - 58:(2016), pp. 135-138. [10.1016/j.fm.2016.05.002]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11388/59359 since: 2022-05-27T11:14:33Z

*Publisher:*

*Published*

DOI:10.1016/j.fm.2016.05.002

*Terms of use:*

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

*Publisher copyright*

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

**1 Occurrence and behavior of *Bacillus cereus* in naturally contaminated Ricotta salata cheese**  
**2 during refrigerated storage**

3 Carlo Spanu\*<sup>1</sup>, Christian Scarano<sup>1</sup>, Vincenzo Spanu<sup>1</sup>, Carlo Pala<sup>1</sup>, Daniele Casti<sup>1</sup>, Sonia Lamon<sup>1</sup>,

4 Francesca Cossu<sup>1</sup>, Michela Ibba<sup>1</sup>, Gavino Nieddu<sup>2</sup>, Enrico P. L. De Santis<sup>1</sup>

5

6<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sassari, Via Vienna 2, 07100, Sassari, Italy

7<sup>2</sup>Cooperativa Allevatori Ovini Formaggi Soc. Coop. Agricola, Loc. "Perda Lada" Fenosu, 09170,

8 Oristano, Italy

9

10\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 079 229454; fax.: +39 079 229458. E-Mail address:

11 cspanu@uniss.it (C. Spanu); Via Vienna 2, 07100, Sassari, Italy.

12

**13 Abstract**

14 The present study shows the fate of *B. cereus* in refrigerated ricotta salata cheese during shelf-life.

15 144 ricotta salata cheese belonging to nine naturally contaminated batches were stored refrigerated

16 and analyzed at 24 h, 30, 60 and 90 days of storage. Total bacterial count, *B. cereus* spores and

17 vegetative forms, intrinsic properties and composition were determined. The presence of spores was

18 sporadic while the prevalence and the level of *B. cereus* vegetative cells decreased respectively

19 from 83.3% and  $4.65 \pm 0.74$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> at the beginning of the observation period to 33.3% and

20  $1.99 \pm 0.55$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> after 90 days. No information is currently available on the fate of *B. cereus* in

21 ricotta salata. The production process of ricotta salata includes steps such as whey heating followed

22 by slow cooling of clots, which expose to the risk of spore germination and successive growth to

23 levels compatible with toxins production. The prolonged refrigerated storage was not favorable to

24 sporulation, explaining the successive death of vegetative cells. The present study demonstrate the

25 potential risk of food poisoning as consequence of pre-formed emetic toxins in ricotta salata. Food

26safety of ricotta salata relies on the rapid refrigeration of the product during critical phases for  
27cerulide production.

28Keywords: *Bacillus cereus*; whey cheese; sheep's milk

29

### 301. Introduction

31The *Bacillus cereus* group includes Gram-positive rod shaped spore-forming bacteria, which are  
32widely distributed in the natural environment. Within the group, *B. cereus sensu stricto* is the most  
33important organism causing food spoilage and food-borne illness (Kramer and Gilbert, 1989). *B.*  
34*cereus* causes two clinical forms of foodborne illness: the emetic and the diarrheal syndrome  
35(Granum and Lund, 1997). A dose of  $10^5$ - $10^8$  cells or spores per gram is generally considered  
36necessary to cause illness (ICMSF, 1996; Granum and Lund, 1997). *B. cereus* is frequently isolated  
37from raw milk and dairy products thus, representing a serious concern for the dairy industry  
38(Svensson et al., 2006). Due to its ubiquitous nature and the extreme resistance of endospores to  
39several harsh conditions (Nicholson, et al., 2000), it is difficult to avoid the contamination of dairy  
40products. *B. cereus* can enter the dairy chain mainly through raw milk contaminated at farm level  
41(Heyndrickx, 2011). However, contamination may also arise from the food-processing environment  
42(da Silva Fernandes et al., 2014). Dairy products have been seldom associated with human illness  
43despite the frequent contamination with *B. cereus* (EFSA, 2005). Whey products processed at high  
44temperatures and successively stored refrigerated are particularly exposed to the risk of *B. cereus*  
45(Heyndrickx and Scheldeman, 2002). The endospores are activated by whey heating applied during  
46protein denaturation ( $>80^\circ\text{C}$ ) and vegetative cells are then facilitated in their growth by the absence  
47of competing microbiota, inactivated by the heat treatment (Scheldeman et al., 2006). *B. cereus*  
48psychotropic strains can grow to temperature as low as  $4$ - $5^\circ\text{C}$  and during the refrigerated storage  
49can reach levels potentially harmful for human health (Huck et al., 2007). Ricotta salata is a  
50traditional dry and salted sheep's milk whey cheese produced in Sardinia (Italy). Technology and  
51microbiological profile of ricotta salata have been previously described (Spanu et al., 2015). The

52attributed shelf-life of ricotta salata is generally up to several months under refrigerated storage  
53(Casti et al., 2016). The present study was conducted following a case of large *B. cereus*  
54contamination of ricotta salata occurred in one sheep's milk cheese-making plant operating in  
55Sardinia. During the period September-October 2014, a local food business operator observed the  
56presence of *B. cereus* contamination in ricotta salata samples during routine microbiological testing  
57conducted as part of their procedure based on HACCP principles. The mean level of contamination  
58was  $5.57 \pm 0.15 \log_{10} \text{ cfu g}^{-1}$  in a batch. Although no food safety criteria for *B. cereus* are applicable to  
59foodstuffs placed on the market during their shelf-life (EC Regulation No. 2073/2005), the food  
60business operator as corrective action withdrew the entire batch of ricotta salata. The subsequent  
61production batches positive for the presence of *B. cereus*, were destined to a durability study. The  
62few published data existing on *B. cereus* contamination in ricotta salata produced in Sardinia  
63reported a prevalence of ca. 15% and a contamination level ranging from 1 to 3  $\log_{10} \text{ cfu g}^{-1}$   
64(Cosentino, et al., 1997; De Santis et al., 2008; Fadda et al., 2012). Despite the reported  
65contamination levels are below the dose necessary to cause illness, they demonstrate that *B. cereus*  
66in ricotta salata represents a potential concern for consumer's health. No published reports are  
67currently available on the fate of *B. cereus* in naturally contaminated ricotta salata stored under  
68refrigerated conditions. The aim of the present study was to describe the evolution of *B. cereus* in  
69naturally contaminated ricotta salata during shelf-life and to assess the potential health risk  
70associated with the microorganism survival or growth.

71

## 72. **Materials and methods**

73

### 742.1. *Ricotta salata batches and samples*

75Ricotta salata batches used in the study were selected based on the natural occurrence of *B. cereus*.

76With this aim, during the period September-October 2014, ricotta salata production batches were

77tested on a daily basis for the presence of *B. cereus*. From each positive batch were randomly

78selected sixteen ricotta salata wheels. Samples were immediately vacuum packed in plastic bags,

79transported refrigerated to the laboratory and stored in cold room ( $4\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) until analyses were  
80performed.

81

## 822.2. *Experimental design*

83Ricotta samples were analyzed at four different times during the shelf-life. Sampling times were:  
84within 24 h after the arrival of ricotta salata wheels defined as time zero ( $T_0$ ), 30, 60 and 90 days  
85after the production defined respectively as time 30 ( $T_{30}$ ), time 60 ( $T_{60}$ ) and time 90 ( $T_{90}$ ). From each  
86of the nine different batches and at each sampling time, two samples were used for microbiological  
87analysis and two samples for physico-chemical determinations.

88

## 892.4. *Microbiological analysis*

90Ricotta salata samples were analyzed for the determination of total aerobic mesophilic bacteria (ISO  
914833, 2013) and enumeration of *B. cereus* (ISO 7932, 2004). The enumeration of both *B. cereus*  
92vegetative cells and spores was determined, respectively before and after heating at  $80^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 10 min  
93by plating two 0.1 mL aliquot on selective chromogenic culture media such as Mannitol Egg Yolk  
94Polymyxin agar (MYP, Biolife, Milan, Italy) and Polymyxin Pyruvate Egg-Yolk Mannitol  
95Bromothymol Blue (PEMBA, Oxoid) agar. Samples were incubated at  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  in aerobic conditions  
96for 24 h. From each positive sample were picked five presumptive *B. cereus* colonies, transferred  
97onto Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA, Biolife) and incubated at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 24 h. Each isolate was  
98submitted to phenotypic identification and successively confirmed by PCR (Oh et al., 2012).

99

## 1002.5. *Intrinsic properties and composition*

101PH and  $a_w$  were measured using pH meter GLP22 (Crison Instruments SA, Barcelona, Spain) and  
102water activity meter Aqualab 4 TE (Decagon, Pullman, WA, USA), respectively. Determination of  
103centesimal composition (% of moisture, fat, protein, salt and total solids) was performed using the  
104Near Infrared Transmittance (NIT) compositional analyzer (FOSS, Eden Prairie, MN, USA).

105

## 1063. **Results**

107

### 1083.1. *Microbiological profile*

109The mean aerobic mesophilic counts ( $\log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ;  $\bar{x} \pm SD$ ) of ricotta salata analyzed at  $T_0$ ,  $T_{30}$ ,  $T_{60}$   
110and  $T_{90}$  were  $5.17 \pm 1.39$ ,  $5.69 \pm 0.54$ ,  $5.99 \pm 0.67$  and  $5.62 \pm 0.87$ , respectively. The prevalence of *B.*  
111*cereus* vegetative cells and the mean contamination level decreased during the refrigerated storage  
112( $P < 0.05$ ). At  $T_0$ , the prevalence was 83.3% with counts ranging from  $3.45 \log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  to  $6.20 \log_{10}$   
113cfu  $g^{-1}$ , while at  $T_{90}$  the observed prevalence was 33.3% with counts ranging from  $1.30 \log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$   
114to  $2.56 \log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  (table 1). The mean reductions over time ( $\Delta T$ ) in *B. cereus* vegetative cells  
115concentration ( $\log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) were 0.38, 1.74 and 2.66 at  $T_{30}$ ,  $T_{60}$  and  $T_{90}$ , respectively. The detection  
116of *B. cereus* spores after heat activation was observed in two samples belonging to two different  
117batches, one at  $T_{30}$  ( $2.30 \log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) and one at  $T_{60}$  ( $2.0 \log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ), respectively. Out of 49 total  
118positive samples (68.0%) were isolated 245 presumptive *B. cereus* strains of which 101 were  
119confirmed by molecular identification.

120  
1213.2. *Physico-chemical characteristics*  
122The pH values ranged between 6.23 and 6.67 at  $T_0$  and between 5.30 and 6.32 at  $T_{90}$ , while  $a_w$   
123values ranged between 0.964 and 0.986 at  $T_0$  and between 0.976 and 0.983 at  $T_{90}$ . The evolution of  
124the mean centesimal composition values ( $\%$ ;  $\bar{x} \pm SD$ ) at different sampling times is reported in table

1252.  
126

#### 1274. Discussion

128Despite raw milk is the main source of contamination of dairy product with sporeformers, their  
129level is generally low,  $< 1-10^2$  cfu  $mL^{-1}$  (Vissers et al., 2007). Seasonal variation has been reported  
130with counts as high as  $10^4$  cfu  $mL^{-1}$  (Slaghuis et al., 1997; TeGiffel et al., 2002; Coorevits et al.,  
1312008). The presence of *B. cereus* in ricotta salata is a rare finding, with maximum contamination  
132level of ca.  $3 \log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  (Cosentino et al., 1997; De Santis et al., 2008; Fadda et al., 2012; Spanu et  
133al., 2012). The high level of contamination, up to  $8.33 \log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ , observed in the present study  
134and the large number of positive batches (nine) over a limited period of time (three months), should

135be considered as an event strictly associated with the late summer and early fall production period.  
136Microbiological testing of each production batch, conducted on a regular basis in the frame of the  
137food business operator's HACCP procedures, showed no occurrence of *B. cereus* contamination  
138during the rest of the year. This could be explained with the typical sheep's milk breeding systems  
139adopted in Sardinia. Milk production is seasonal, starting from December until July. The peak of  
140milk production is concentrated between January and May, with a decrease between June and  
141August, when the sheep start entering in the dry period. Cheese-making during the dry period relies  
142on the milk available provided by flocks adopting the out-of-season breeding system. Poor pasture  
143quality during this season determines a decline in milk yield and microbiological quality (Sitzia et  
144al., 2015). Due to economic reasons, raw milk is picked and transformed every three or five days  
145instead that daily. In addition, during winter and spring periods sheeps mainly graze on grass  
146pasture, while during the summer and fall periods on stubble with concentrate and feedstuff  
147supplement, which may increase the risk of transferring spores into raw milk. Total bacterial count  
148and yield records of the milk used to make the ricotta salata used in the present study, were obtained  
149by the food business operator. Data confirmed differences in milk yield and microbiological quality  
150over the milking season. In the period from January to June, the total bacterial count (geometric  
151mean) of raw milk was ca. 140,000 cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> with an average production of 2,150,000 l, while in the  
152out-of-season period the total bacterial count was ca. 1,100,000 cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> with an average milk yield  
153of 135,000 l. Therefore, the production of ricotta salata during the out-of-season period was  
154characterized by risk factors increasing the likelihood of a high initial level of *B. cereus*  
155contamination in the product. The greater relative decrease in *B. cereus* vegetative cells was  
156observed after 60 days (-1.36 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>) and after 90 days (-0.92 log<sub>10</sub> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>) of refrigerated  
157storage. Since psychrotrophic strains can grow at temperature as low as 4-5°C, contamination was  
158likely due to mesophilic strains which minimum growth temperature is 15°C (ICMSF, 1996).  
159Growth and survival characteristics of *B. cereus* vary widely between strains and depend upon a

160complex series of interacting factors such as temperature, pH, water activity (NaCl concentration),  
161nutrients and presence of competitive microbiota. *B. cereus* is generally a poor competitor in  
162unpasteurized products (Andersson, Ronner, & Granum, 1995). The high total bacterial count (ca. 6  
163log), combined with the decrease of pH values (from 6.49 to 5.63) observed over time, suggest the  
164possible presence of contaminants from the whey or the environment that may have exerted a  
165possible competitive action. A previous study, conducted on vacuum packed ricotta salata, showed a  
166mean aerobic mesophilic bacteria count ( $\log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) after 2 and 4 months of refrigerated storage  
167ranging from  $7.56 \pm 0.85$  and  $4.57 \pm 0.62$  on the rind and from  $3.64 \pm 0.71$  and  $2.95 \pm 0.65$  on the inner  
168paste, respectively (Spanu et al., 2013). At the beginning of the ricotta salata storage *B. cereus* is  
169mainly present in its vegetative form, as consequence of heat activation of spores occurred during  
170whey heating. The successive phase of pressing of the warm clots, expose the product to  
171temperature at risk for the growth of the vegetative forms to levels compatible with the emetic toxin  
172(cerulide) production. The reduction in *B. cereus* vegetative cells count over the storage period  
173suggests the death of the microorganism rather than sporulation, since no grow was observed in  
174samples analyzed after pasteurization. The sporulation is a complex process which occurs as  
175response to stress such as starvation, high cell density ( $10^6$ - $10^7$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) or DNA damage and it is  
176regulated by hundreds of genes (Eichenberger et al., 2003; Piggot and Hilbert, 2004). Borge et al.  
177(2001) concluded that vegetative cells are unlikely to develop endospores in refrigerated media. The  
178high levels of ricotta salata contamination with *B. cereus* observed in the present study indicates  
179that this product could represents a risk for human health due to the potential presence of pre-  
180formed emetic toxins in the product. The low contamination level observed in the product after long  
181refrigerated storage could lead to the wrong conclusion that the product is safe, while cerulide still  
182persists. In fact, cerulide is highly resistant to heat, low pH, and proteolytic activity of pepsin and  
183trypsin (Kramer and Gilbert, 1989; Rajkovic et al., 2008). Further investigation is needed in order to



184 assess whether the origin of the contamination is from ingredients, processing environment or from  
185 packaging materials and to determine the pathogenicity of the strains.

## 186 **5. Conclusion**

187 Ricotta salata production process includes critical phases such as heat coagulation and slow cooling  
188 of clots, which support the activation of *B. cereus* spores and the successive growth of vegetative  
189 cells, in the absence of competing microbiota. The present investigation demonstrates that the level  
190 of *B. cereus* vegetative cells in naturally contaminated ricotta salata decreases during refrigerated  
191 storage, while the presence of spores is a rare finding. The control of *B. cereus* in ricotta salata  
192 relies on one hand on limiting the level of spores in raw milk, and as consequence in the whey, and  
193 on the other hand in preventing germination and successive growth of vegetative cells.

194

## 195 **Acknowledgements**

196 This work was funded by “Programma di Sviluppo Rurale Sardegna 2007-2013 Misura 124  
197 Cooperazione per lo Sviluppo di Nuovi Prodotti, Processi e Tecnologie nei Settori Agricolo  
198 Alimentare e in quello Forestale –project ID: H78F13000050007. The authors are grateful to all the  
199 members of the joint dairy industry consortium “Associazione Temporanea di Scopo - Aziende  
200 casearie Riunite” for their cooperation in the research.

201

## 202 **References**

- 203 1. Andersson, A., Ronner, U., Granum, P. E. (1995). What problems does the food industry  
204 have with the spore-forming pathogens *Bacillus cereus* and *Clostridium perfringens*?  
205 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 28(2), 145-155.

- 206 2. Borge, G. I. A., Skeie, M., Sørhaug, T., Langsrud, T., Granum, P. E. (2001). Growth and  
207 toxin profiles of *Bacillus cereus* isolated from different food sources. *International Journal*  
208 *of Food Microbiology*, 69, 237-246.
- 209 3. Casti, D., Scarano, C., Pala, C., Cossu, F., Lamon, S., Spanu, V., Ibba, M., Mocci, A.M.,  
210 Tedde, F., Nieddu, G., Spanu, C., De Santis, E.P.L. (2016). Evolution of the microbiological  
211 profile of vacuum packed sheep's ricotta salata cheese wheels during shelf-life. *Italian*  
212 *Journal of Food Safety, Accepted, in press.*
- 213 4. Coorevits, A., De Jonghe, V., Vandroemme, J., Reekmans, R., Heyrman, J., Messens, W., De  
214 Vos, P., Heyndrickx, M. (2008). Comparative analysis of the diversity of aerobic spore-  
215 forming bacteria in raw milk from organic and conventional dairy farms. *Systematic and*  
216 *Applied Microbiology*, 31, 126-140.
- 217 5. Cosentino, S., Mulargia, A. F., Pisano, B., Tuveri, P., Palmas, F. (1997). Incidence and  
218 biochemical characteristics of *Bacillus* flora in Sardinian dairy products. *International*  
219 *Journal of Food Microbiology*, 38(2), 235-238.
- 220 6. da Silva Fernandes, M., Fujimoto, G., Schneid, I., Kabuki, D. Y., Kuaye, A. Y. (2014).  
221 Enterotoxigenic profile, antimicrobial susceptibility, and biofilm formation of *Bacillus*  
222 *cereus* isolated from ricotta processing. *International Dairy Journal*, 38(1), 16-23.
- 223 7. De Santis, E. P. L., Foddai, A., Viridis, S., Marongiu, P., Pilo, A. L., Scarano, C. (2008).  
224 Toxin gene pattern in *Bacillus cereus* group strains isolated from sheep ricotta cheese.  
225 *Veterinary research communications*, 32(1), 323-326.
- 226 8. Eichenberger, P., Jensen, S. T., Conlon, E. M., van Ooij, C., Silvaggi, J., Gonzalez-Pastor, J.  
227 E., Fujita, M., Ben-Yehuda, S., Stragier, P., Liu, J. S., Losick, R. (2003). The sigmaE regulon  
228 and the identification of additional sporulation genes in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of*  
229 *Molecular Biology*, 327, 945-972.

- 230 9. EFSA (2005). European Food Safety Authority. Opinion of the scientific panel on biological  
231 hazards of *Bacillus cereus* and other *Bacillus* spp. in foodstuff. The EFSA Journal, 175, 1-  
232 48.
- 233 10. Fadda, A., Delogu, A., Mura, E., Noli, A. C., Porqueddu, G., Rossi, M. L., Terrosu, G.  
234 (2012). Presence of *Bacillus cereus*, *Escherichia coli* and Enterobacteriaceae in fresh and  
235 salted Ricotta cheese: official controls in Sardinia during the period 2009–2012. Italian  
236 Journal of Food Safety, 1(5), 43-45.
- 237 11. Granum, P. E., Lund, T. (1997). *Bacillus cereus* and its food poisoning toxins. FEMS  
238 Microbiology Letters, 157(2), 223-228.
- 239 12. Heyndrickx, M., Scheldeman, P. (2002). Bacilli associated with spoilage in dairy and other  
240 food products. In: Berkely, R., Heyndrickx, M., Logan, N.A., De Vos, P. (Eds.), Applications  
241 and Systematics of Bacillus and Relatives. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK, 64-82.
- 242 13. Heyndrickx, M. (2011). The importance of endospore-forming bacteria originating from soil  
243 for contamination of industrial food processing. Applied and Environmental Soil Science,  
244 Volume 2011, Article ID 561975, 11 pages, doi:10.1155/2011/561975.
- 245 14. Huck, J. R., Hammond, B. H., Murphy, S. C., Woodcock, N. H., Boor, K. J. (2007).  
246 Tracking spore-forming bacterial contaminants in fluid milk-processing systems. Journal of  
247 Dairy Science, 90, 4872-4883.
- 248 15. ICMSF, 1996. International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods.  
249 Micro-organisms in Foods. Volume 5: Microbiological Specification of Food Pathogens.  
250 London, Blackie Academic and Professional, 514 pp.
- 251 16. ISO, (2004). Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs-horizontal method for the  
252 enumeration of presumptive *Bacillus cereus*: Colony-count technique at 30 °C. ISO  
253 7932:2004. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.

- 254 17. ISO, (2013). Microbiology of the food chain - Horizontal method for the enumeration of  
255 microorganisms - Part 1: Colony count at 30 degrees C by the pour plate technique. ISO  
256 4833-1:2013. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization.
- 257 18. Kramer, J. M., Gilbert, R. J. (1989). *Bacillus cereus* and other *Bacillus* species, p. 21-70.  
258 In: M.P. Doyle (ed.), Foodborne Bacterial Pathogens. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- 259 19. Nicholson, W. L., Munakata, N., Horneck, G., Melosh, H. J., Setlow, P. (2000). Resistance  
260 of *Bacillus* endospores to extreme terrestrial and extraterrestrial environments. *Microbiology*  
261 and *Molecular Biology Reviews*, 64, 548-572.
- 262 20. Oh, M.-H., Ham, J.-S., Cox, J. M. (2012). Diversity and toxigenicity among members of the  
263 *Bacillus cereus* group. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 152, 1-8.
- 264 21. Piggot, P. J. Hilbert, D. W. (2004). Sporulation of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Current Opinion in*  
265 *Microbiology*, 7, 579-586.
- 266 22. Rajkovic, A., Uyttendaele, M., Vermeulen, A., Andjelkovic, M., Fitz-James, I., in't Veld, P.,  
267 Denon, Q., Vérhe, R., Debevere, J. (2008). Heat resistance of *Bacillus cereus* emetic toxin,  
268 cereulide. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 46, 536-541.
- 269 23. Scheldeman, P., Herman, L., Foster, S., Heyndrickx, M. (2006). *Bacillus*  
270 *sporothermodurans* and other highly heat-resistant spore formers in milk. *Journal of Applied*  
271 *Microbiology*, 101(3), 542-555.
- 272 24. Sitzia, M., Bonanno, A., Todaro, M., Cannas, A., Atzori, A. S., Francesconi, A. H. D., &  
273 Trabalza-Marinucci, M. (2015). Feeding and management techniques to favour summer  
274 sheep milk and cheese production in the Mediterranean environment. *Small Ruminant*  
275 *Research*, 126, 43-58.
- 276 25. Slaghuis, B. A., TeGiffel, M. C., Beumer, R. R., André, G. (1997). Effect of pasturing on the  
277 incidence of *Bacillus* spores in raw milk. *International Dairy Journal*, 7, 201-205.

- 278 26. Spanu, C., Scarano, C., Spanu, V., Penna, C., Viridis, S., De Santis, E. P. L. (2012). *Listeria*  
279 *monocytogenes* growth potential in Ricotta salata cheese. International Dairy Journal, 120,  
280 122-24.  
281 27. Spanu, C., Spanu, V., Pala, C., Viridis, S., Scarano, C., De Santis, E. P. L. (2013). Evaluation  
282 of a post-lethality treatment against *Listeria monocytogenes* on Ricotta salata cheese. Food  
283 Control, 30, 200-205.  
284 28. Spanu, C., Scarano, C., Spanu, V., Pala, C., Di Salvo, R., Piga, C., Buschetti, L., Casti, D.,  
285 Lamon, S., Cossu, F., Ibba, M., De Santis, E. P. L. (2015). Comparison of post-lethality  
286 thermal treatment conditions on the reduction of *Listeria monocytogenes* and sensory  
287 properties of vacuum packed ricotta salata cheese. Food Control, 50, 740-747.  
288 29. Svensson, B., Monthan, A., Shaheen, R., Andersson, M. A., Salkinoja-Salonen, M.,  
289 Christiansson, A. (2006). Occurrence of emetic toxin producing *Bacillus cereus* in the dairy  
290 production chain. International Dairy Journal, 16(7), 740-749.  
291 30. TeGiffel, M. C., Wagendorp, A., Herrewegh, A., Driehuis, F. (2002). Bacterial spores in  
292 silage and raw milk. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 81(1-4), 625-630.  
293 31. Vissers, M. M. M., TeGiffel, M. C., Driehuis, F., De Jong, P., Lankveld, J. M. G. (2007).  
294 Predictive modeling of *Bacillus cereus* spores in farm tank milk during grazing and housing  
295 periods. Journal of Dairy Science, 90(1), 281-292.

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303 Table 1. Evolution of *B. cereus* ( $\log_{10}$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ; mean $\pm$ SD) in nine batches of vacuum-packed Ricotta  
304 salata stored refrigerated until 90 days after production.

Batc	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>30</sub>	T <sub>60</sub>	T <sub>90</sub>
h				
1	4.51 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup> (n = 1/2)	2.79 $\pm$ 0.79 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	N.D. (n = 2/2)	N.D. (n = 2/2)
2	4.37 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	5.04 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup> (n = 1/2)	2.94 $\pm$ 1.00 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	N.D. (n = 2/2)
3	4.68 $\pm$ 0.24 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	4.49 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	3.26 $\pm$ 0.93 <sup>ab</sup> (n = 2/2)	1.30 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup> (n = 1/2)
4	4.50 $\pm$ 0.33 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	4.68 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	2.15 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup> (n = 1/2)	1.78 $\pm$ 0.68 <sup>b</sup> (n = 2/2)
5	4.66 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	3.85 $\pm$ 0.21 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	N.D. (n = 2/2)	N.D. (n = 2/2)
6	4.88 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup> (n = 1/2)	3.81 $\pm$ 0.74 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	3.45 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	N.D. (n = 2/2)
7	4.0 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup> (n = 1/2)	3.62 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup> (n = 1/2)	2.38 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	N.D. (n = 2/2)
8	6.19 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	4.83 $\pm$ 0.43 <sup>b</sup> (n = 2/2)	3.78 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>bc</sup> (n = 1/2)	2.56 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>c</sup> (n = 1/2)
9	3.77 $\pm$ 0.46 <sup>ab</sup> (n = 2/2)	5.43 $\pm$ 0.89 <sup>b</sup> (n = 2/2)	2.46 $\pm$ 1.67 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)	2.26 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup> (n = 2/2)
total	4.65 $\pm$ 0.74 <sup>a</sup> (n =15/18)	4.27 $\pm$ 0.90 <sup>a</sup> (n =16/18)	2.91 $\pm$ 0.84 <sup>b</sup> (n =12/18)	1.99 $\pm$ 0.55 <sup>c</sup> (n =6/18)

305 The sampling time (T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>30</sub>, T<sub>60</sub> and T<sub>90</sub>) were respectively the day of packaging and 30, 60 and 90  
306 days after the production. Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly  
307 different (P < 0.05); values within brackets indicate the prevalence of positive samples. N.D = data  
308 not definable, below the detection limit of the method.

309Table 2. Intrinsic properties (mean  $\pm$  SD) and composition (%  $\pm$  SD) evolution during storage of  
310ricotta salata wheels.

parameter	sampling times			
	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>30</sub>	T <sub>60</sub>	T <sub>90</sub>
pH	6.49 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	6.18 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	5.73 $\pm$ 0.14 <sup>c</sup>	5.63 $\pm$ 0.28 <sup>c</sup>
a <sub>w</sub>	0.978 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>ab</sup>	0.976 $\pm$ 0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.976 $\pm$ 0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.980 $\pm$ 0.001 <sup>b</sup>
% moisture	58.28 $\pm$ 2.91 <sup>a</sup>	58.56 $\pm$ 3.29 <sup>a</sup>	57.41 $\pm$ 2.99 <sup>ab</sup>	56.23 $\pm$ 2.55 <sup>b</sup>
% total solids	41.72 $\pm$ 2.93 <sup>a</sup>	41.44 $\pm$ 3.26 <sup>a</sup>	42.59 $\pm$ 2.99 <sup>ab</sup>	43.77 $\pm$ 2.49 <sup>b</sup>
% fat	23.74 $\pm$ 3.92 <sup>a</sup>	23.13 $\pm$ 4.28 <sup>a</sup>	23.32 $\pm$ 3.73 <sup>a</sup>	23.39 $\pm$ 3.86 <sup>a</sup>
% protein	12.65 $\pm$ 1.12 <sup>a</sup>	12.83 $\pm$ 1.16 <sup>a</sup>	13.01 $\pm$ 0.84 <sup>a</sup>	13.36 $\pm$ 1.14 <sup>a</sup>
% salt	2.60 $\pm$ 0.30 <sup>ab</sup>	2.73 $\pm$ 0.24 <sup>b</sup>	2.49 $\pm$ 0.28 <sup>c</sup>	2.01 $\pm$ 0.49 <sup>d</sup>

311The sampling time (T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>30</sub>, T<sub>60</sub> and T<sub>90</sub>) were respectively the day of packaging and 30, 60 and 90  
312days after the production. Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly  
313different (P < 0.05).