

Delay in maternal transcript degradation in ovine embryos derived from low competence oocytes

Questa è la versione Post print del seguente articolo:

Original

Delay in maternal transcript degradation in ovine embryos derived from low competence oocytes / Masala, Laura; Ariu, Federica; Bogliolo, Luisa; Bellu, Emanuela; Ledda, Sergio; Bebbere, Daniela. - In: MOLECULAR REPRODUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT. - ISSN 1098-2795. - 85:5(2018), pp. 427-439. [10.1002/mrd.22977]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11388/208464 since: 2022-05-23T10:24:09Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1002/mrd.22977

Terms of use:

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **Delay in maternal transcript degradation in ovine embryos derived from low competence**
2 **oocytes.**

3 Laura Masala, Federica Ariu, Luisa Bogliolo, Emanuela Bellu, Sergio Ledda, Daniela Bebbere*.

4 Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sassari, Italy.

5 * Correspondence: dbebbere@uniss.it

7 **Running head:** delayed transcript clearance in ovine embryos

8 **Keywords:** prepubertal, embryonic genome activation, developmental kinetics, oocyte donor age.

10 **Abbreviations**

11 ACTB: Actin beta

12 ANOVA: analysis of variance

13 ATP1A1: ATPase Na⁺/K⁺ transporting subunit alpha 1

14 BSA: bovine serum albumin

15 CCNB1: cyclin B1

16 CDK1: cyclin dependent kinase 1 (also known as CDC2)

17 COC: oocyte and cumulus complex

18 DNMT1: DNA methyltransferase 1

19 DNMT3A: DNA methyltransferase 3A

20 DNMT3B: DNA methyltransferase 3B

21 DPPA3: developmental pluripotency-associated protein 3 (also known as PGC7 or STELLA)

22 EGA: embryonic genome activation

23 FSH: Follicle Stimulating Hormone

24 GDF9: growth differentiation factor 9

25 GV: germinal vesicle (GV)

26 IGF2R: insulin like growth factor 2 receptor

27 IVC: *in vitro* culture

28 IVF: *in vitro* fertilization

29 IVM: *in vitro* maturation

- 1
2
3 30 LH: Luteinizing hormone
4
5 31 MELK: maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase
6
7 32 MII: metaphase II oocyte
8
9 33 MZT: maternal to zygotic transition
10
11 34 NPM2: nucleoplasmin 2
12
13 35 OSS: oestrus sheep serum
14
15 36 PBS: phosphate-buffered saline
16
17 37 POU5F1: POU class 5 homeobox 1
18
19 38 RPL19: ribosomal protein L19
20
21 39 RT-PCR: real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)
22
23 40 SDHA: succinate dehydrogenase complex flavoprotein subunit A
24
25 41 SOF: synthetic oviductal fluid
26
27 42 YWHAZ: tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein zeta
28
29 43 ZAR1: zygote arrest 1
30

31 **Funding:**

32
33 46 Research supported by Regione Autonoma della Sardegna. – L.R. 7 - MIGLIOVINGENSAR Project.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Oocytes from prepubertal animals have a reduced ability to undergo embryo development and produce viable offspring. The present work used an ovine model consisting of oocytes derived from adult and prepubertal donors to assess the molecular status of oocytes and preimplantation embryos with different developmental competence. The lower potential of oocytes of young donors was confirmed in terms of *in vitro* developmental capabilities and kinetics. A panel of genes including maternal effect (*DPPA3*, *GDF9*, *NMP2*, *ZARI*) and housekeeping genes (*ACTB*, *RPL19*, *SDHA*, *YWHAZ*, *ATP1A1*), genes involved in DNA methylation (*DNMT1*, *DNMT3A*, *DNMT3B*), genomic imprinting (*IGF2R*), pluripotency (*NANOG*, *POU5F1*) and cell cycle regulation (*CCNB1*, *CDK1*, *MELK*) was relatively quantified. Temporal analysis during oocyte maturation and preimplantation embryo development evidenced patterns associated with donor age. With a few gene-specific exceptions, the differential model showed a reduced transcript abundance in immature prepubertal oocytes that completely reversed trend after fertilization, when higher mRNA levels were consistently observed in early embryos, indicating a delay in maternal transcript degradation. We propose that the molecular shortage in the prepubertal oocyte may affect its developmental potential and impair the early pathways of maternal mRNA clearance in the embryo.

While confirming the different potential of oocytes derived from adult and prepubertal donors, our work showed for the first time a consistent delay in maternal transcript degradation in embryos derived from low competence oocytes that interestingly recalls the delayed developmental kinetics. Such abnormal transcript persistence may hinder further development and represents a novel perspective on the complexity of developmental competence.

69 Introduction

70 The use of gametes derived from prepubertal animals in *in vitro* embryo production systems was initially
71 triggered by the interest in improving livestock breeding programs, in terms of reduction of the generational
72 interval and increase in reproductive efficiency (Khatir et al, 1996; Nicholas 1996; Morton 2008). Gametes
73 from prepubertal oocytes were indeed seen to be competent to undergo normal embryo development and
74 produce viable offspring, albeit with lower rates (Armstrong et al, 1992; Revel et al, 1995; Armstrong et al,
75 1997; Ledda et al, 1999). The efforts in identifying the reasons for the reduced developmental competence not
76 only improved the rates of embryo production from prepubertal donors (Earl et al, 1995; Ledda et al, 1999),
77 but interestingly shed light into numerous aspects involved in oocyte potential. Morphological, cellular,
78 biochemical and molecular studies confirmed the differential competence of gametes derived from adult and
79 prepubertal donors in terms of resistance to cryopreservation (Leoni et al. 2006), metabolism and ultrastructure
80 (O'Brien et al, 1996), transcript abundance (Leoni et al. 2007, Romar et al. 2011, Ledda et al. 2012, Bebbere et
81 al, 2014), *in vitro* developmental competence and kinetics (Majerus et al, 1999; Leoni et al. 2006, 2015;
82 Landry et al, 2016), mitochondrial distribution (Leoni et al. 2015) and methylation dynamics (Masala et al,
83 2017). Although the differential model has become a useful research tool independently on its breeding
84 application, the limited competence of prepubertal oocytes is yet not fully understood.

85 The reduced developmental ability of prepubertal gametes is most likely due to several interdependent factors,
86 which reflect the incomplete sexual maturity and related hormonal state of the animal. Oocytes retrieved from
87 adult donors originate from the cyclic recruitment of ovarian follicles, when dynamics are under the control of
88 a full hypothalamus-hypophysis-ovary axis. Conversely, oocytes collected from prepubertal donors derive
89 from the initial recruitment (reviewed in McGee and Hsueh 2000), when the primordial follicles that leave
90 their resting state and start to grow, develop to early antral stage, but physiologically proceed to atresia. That's
91 because the levels of gonadotrophins to which follicles are exposed in the prepubertal female are insufficient
92 to promote further follicular growth. In accordance, ovarian stimulation using FSH of prepubertal donors was
93 seen to improve the rate of blastocyst formation, underlining the importance of hormonal environment to
94 insure oocyte ability to sustain development (Khatir et al, 1996).

95 In metazoans, oocyte maturation, fertilization and early embryo development happen in the absence of *de novo*
96 transcription; as a consequence, these events rely on the molecules that are stored during oocyte growth. Such

1
2
3 97 maternal control lasts until activation of the nascent embryonic genome (EGA); by this time, the mRNAs of
4
5 98 maternal origin are degraded and replaced by the molecules synthesized by the embryo. The molecular
6
7 99 baggage of the oocyte is therefore crucial for the first developmental phases of the embryo and affects the
8
9 100 activation of its genome as well. The accumulation of transcripts during oocyte growth occurs during follicle
10
11 101 recruitment, it is thus likely affected by the different hormonal status encountered during initial or cyclic
12
13 102 recruitment. In accordance, abundant literature sustains the cytoplasmic immaturity of prepubertal oocytes in
14
15 103 terms of abundance of specific transcripts (Oropeza et al, 2004, Leoni et al, 2007; Bebbere et al, 2014; Bernal-
16
17 104 Ulloa et al, 2016; Masala et al, 2017).

18
19 105 Aim of the present work was to assess the effect of oocyte donor age (adult or prepubertal) on the molecular
20
21 106 status of oocytes and preimplantation embryos. An ovine model consisting of non-hormonally treated adult
22
23 107 and prepubertal donors was used to analyze the temporal expression of a panel of seventeen genes involved in
24
25 108 different cell functions. In parallel, oocyte differential quality was assessed in terms of *in vitro* developmental
26
27 109 capabilities and kinetics.

28
29 110

30 111 **Results**

31 112 ***In vitro* development of adult and prepubertal oocytes.**

32 113 ***In Vitro* Embryo Production**

33 114 A total of 740 oocytes derived from prepubertal donors and 671 from adult ewes were employed to evaluate *in*
34
35 115 *vitro* developmental competence. Maturation rate is similar in oocytes obtained from adult or prepubertal
36
37 116 animals (Table 1). After fertilization, a significantly lower number of embryos cleaved ($P<0.01$) in the
38
39 117 prepubertal group compared to the adult one with 60.4% prepubertal and 69.9% adult cleaved oocytes (Table
40
41 118 1). In addition, the kinetics of the first cleavage showed significant differences between the two groups, with a
42
43 119 general delay in the timing of division in the prepubertal gametes (Table 1). Most oocytes derived from adult
44
45 120 donors cleaved by 24 hrs post-fertilization, while the majority of prepubertal derived gametes required a
46
47 121 longer time. The lower developmental competence of prepubertal oocytes was confirmed by the poorer
48
49 122 development to blastocyst stage in terms of absolute rate (24.94% vs 59.73%, $P<0.01$) and developmental
50
51 123 kinetics [1.67 % vs 17.45%, $P<0.01$ at 6 days post fertilization (d) and 12.8% vs 29.9%, $P<0.01$ at 7d; Table
52
53 124 2].

1
2
3 1254
5 126 **RNA recovery in oocytes and pre-implantation embryos**6
7 127 The quantification of the exogenous *luciferase* mRNA performed by reverse transcription and Real Time PCR
8
9 128 showed an RNA recovery rate of 52 ± 5 % (Mean \pm SE) and no differences among samples.10
11 12912
13 130 **Gene expression in oocytes and pre-implantation embryos deriving from adult and prepubertal donors**14
15 131 To investigate the relative expression of a panel of genes during oocyte maturation and embryo
16
17 132 preimplantation development, oocytes and embryos were pooled in equal number (n=10 per pool). The
18
19 133 relative quantification of all transcripts was performed after normalization against the exogenous *luciferase*
20
21 134 mRNA levels and the equal number of oocytes and embryos (Su et al. 2007; Ohsugi et al. 2008; Evsikov and
22
23 135 Evsikova 2009).24
25 136 Messenger RNAs of all evaluated genes were detected in GV and MII oocytes and in embryos up to the 16C
26
27 137 stage, independently of the donor age. In most cases, maximal transcript abundance was observed in the
28
29 138 immature oocyte and decreased during embryo preimplantation development, with gene-specific patterns (Fig.
30
31 139 1-5). Conversely, *NANOG* mRNA level highest level was observed in embryos at the 8- and 16- cell stage (Fig
32
33 140 4).34
35 141 In adult-derived oocytes most genes (*ACTB*, *DPPA3*, *GDF9*, *MELK*, *NPM2*, *POU5F1/OCT4*, *SDHA*, *RPL19*,
36
37 142 *ZARI* and *YWHAZ*) showed a significant reduction in mRNA abundance during oocyte maturation;
38
39 143 conversely, only *NPM2* transcript decreased in prepubertal gametes (Panel A of figures 1-5). All transcripts
40
41 144 showed a major drop around fertilization (mature oocytes vs two-cell embryos) in embryos derived from adult
42
43 145 and prepubertal donors, with the exception of *YWHAZ*, *NANOG* and *CCNB1* in prepubertal embryos.44
45 146 *ACTB*, *RPL19*, *ATPIA1*, *DPPA3*, *NPM2*, *DNMT1* and *DNMT3B* mRNAs maintained a steady level in adult-
46
47 147 derived embryos of 2-, 4-, 8- and 16-cells, while *CCNB1*, *SDHA*, *GDF9*, *IGF2R*, *MELK*, *YWHAZ* and *ZARI*
48
49 148 abundance was unvaried in 2-, 4- and 8- cell embryos, and significantly decreased in 16-cell stage embryos.
50
51 149 *DNMT3A* transcript showed a significant drop in abundance in embryos at the 4C stage.52
53 150 Conversely, embryos derived from prepubertal donors showed more variable patterns, with significant drops
54
55 151 between 2- and 4- cell stage (*ZARI*, *CDK1*), most often between 4- and 8- (*MELK*, *ZARI*, *SDHA*, *ATPIA1*,
56
57 152 *GDF9*, *CCNB1*, *CDK1*, *IGF2R*, *DNMT1* and *DNMT3B*), or 8- and 16- (*MELK*, *ZARI*, *GDF9*, *CCNB1*,

1
2
3 153 *CDK1*). In a few cases (*DPPA3*, *YWHAZ* and *RPL19*), the decrease during embryo development was so
4
5 154 gradual that a significant decline was observed only between non-consecutive stages of embryo development
6
7 155 (i.e. *DPPA3* in 2- vs 8- cell stages; Panel A of Figures 1-5).

8
9 156 Comparison between the expression patterns of adult vs prepubertal groups within each developmental stage
10
11 157 (ie. Adult GV vs Prepubertal GV; Adult MII vs Prepubertal MII and so on; Panel B of figures 1-5) showed
12
13 158 consistent differences which are summarized in Table 3. The analysis in oocytes revealed similar abundance
14
15 159 for most mRNAs, with the exception of *ACTB*, *DPPA3*, *MELK*, *NANOG*, *POU5F1*, *RPL19* and *ZARI* that
16
17 160 show lower levels in GV prepubertal oocytes and *NPM2* transcript, which is more abundant in prepubertal MII
18
19 161 oocytes (Panel B of figures 1-5 and Table 3). Conversely, most mRNAs show significant differences in
20
21 162 embryos around the 2- and 4- cell stage [2 cell stage: *CDK1*, *DNMT3A*, *DPPA3*, *GDF9*, *IGF2R*, *MELK*,
22
23 163 *NPM2*, *SDHA*, *POU5F*, *ZARI*; 4 cell stage: *CCNB1*, *CDK1*, *DNMT3A*, *GDF9*, *MELK*, *POU5F1*, *RPL19*,
24
25 164 *SDHA*]. No transcripts varied at the 8- or 16- cell stage. Overall, only four out of 17 genes (*DNMT1*,
26
27 165 *DNMT3B*, *YWHAZ* and *ATPIA1*) did not differ in oocytes or embryos from donors of different age; most
28
29 166 notably, all differentially expressed genes show lower abundance in oocytes, but higher levels in embryos
30
31 167 derived from prepubertal donors (Panel B of figures 1-5 and Table 3).

32
33 168 *NANOG* is the only analysed mRNA whose transcription is resumed by the nascent embryo genome at the 8-
34
35 169 and 16- cell stage (Figure 4A and B). Both in adults and in prepubertal oocytes, *NANOG* abundance is steady
36
37 170 during oocyte maturation. Conversely, it drops significantly around fertilization in adults, but not in
38
39 171 prepubertal embryos (Figure 4A). While a significant higher abundance is observed in adult GV oocytes
40
41 172 compared to prepubertal ones, no differences were observed between the two groups during further
42
43 173 developmental stages (Figure 4B).

44
45 174

46 175 **Gene expression in embryos at the blastocyst stage**

47
48 176 As expected, the expression of MEGs (*ZARI*, *NMP2*, *DPPA3*, *GDF9*) was not detected at the blastocyst stage.
49
50 177 The other studied genes were expressed, but showed no difference in transcript abundance between adult or
51
52 178 prepubertal derived blastocysts.

53
54 179

55
56 180

181 Discussion

182 The most relevant finding of the present work is the delay in maternal transcript degradation observed in
183 embryos derived from low competence oocytes before EGA. Such abnormally prolonged transcript persistence
184 was never reported in early embryos and is most probably involved in the lower developmental competence of
185 oocytes of derived from prepubertal donors.

186 The study was performed on a previously developed ovine model of differential developmental competence
187 consisting of ovine oocytes derived from non-hormonally treated adult and prepubertal donors. Similar models
188 were previously analyzed in terms of morphology, metabolism and molecular status (Leoni et al. 2006, 2007
189 and 2015; Romar et al. 2011; Ledda et al. 2012); in the present work, preliminarily to the molecular analysis,
190 we confirmed the reduced developmental potential of prepubertal gametes in terms of *in vitro* developmental
191 capabilities and kinetics. As expected, no differences were observed in terms of IVM, but both cleavage and
192 blastocyst absolute rates and kinetics differed between the two groups of oocytes (Table 1 and 2), with
193 prepubertal embryos achieving lower rates in a longer time. Such observations are in agreement with previous
194 results obtained in several species (Leoni et al, 2006; Landry et al, 2016) and confirmed the lower potential of
195 gametes derived from prepubertal donors.

196 The temporal expression analysis of the panel of genes showed specific patterns in oocytes and embryos
197 derived from adult and prepubertal donors (Figures 1A-5A). The late EGA that characterizes large domestic
198 animals compared to rodents (8-16 cell stage vs 1-2 cell stage) allowed to detail the expression patterns from
199 fertilization to EGA, identifying the variations specific to the different developmental stages.

200 With a few gene-specific exceptions, analysis during maturation and early development in the adults
201 evidenced some common features. First of all, relative quantification over oocyte maturation often showed a
202 consistent drop in transcript abundance. As expected, such decrease was observed for all MEGs, with a drop of
203 about 50% for *DPPA3*, *ZARI* and *GDF9* and 89% for *NPM2* (Figure 2A). The same decrease was observed in
204 all but one housekeeping genes (Figure 1A), in *MELK* and *POU5F1* (*OCT4*) (Panel A of figures 3 and 4).
205 Interestingly, such significant decrement was never observed during maturation of prepubertal gametes.
206 Comparison between adult and prepubertal oocytes showed a significant lower abundance for seven transcripts
207 (*ACTB*, *RPL19*, *DPPA3*, *ZARI*, *MELK*, *NANOG*, *POU5F1*) in the young-derived GV's (Panel B of figures 1-5;
208 Table 3). This supports the hypothesis of a cytoplasmic immaturity of oocytes derived from young donors that

209 may not be able to provide the proper amount of proteins needed for further development, as previously
210 suggested (Leoni et al, 2007).

211 Analysis of adult-derived 2 cell embryos showed a second major decrease for all transcripts around
212 fertilization, after which most mRNAs maintain a steady level and virtually disappear around the 8-16 cell
213 stage (Panel A of figures 1-5). At this point of development, all maternal transcripts are replaced by mRNAs
214 of embryonic origin. A significant decrease in transcript abundance was observed also in prepubertal derived 2
215 cell-embryos, but to a lesser extent, and the decrease during further development to 16C stage is more gradual
216 (Panel A of figures 1-5). As a consequence, comparison between adult- and young-derived embryos
217 consistently showed higher abundance of several mRNAs in prepubertal embryos at the 2C (11 out of 17
218 genes) and 4C stage (8 out of 17 genes; Table 3, panel B of figures 1-5), while no difference was observed at
219 8C and 16C. So less competent oocytes interestingly showed lower transcript abundance at the GV stage, but
220 generated embryos with higher mRNA levels just prior to genome activation. Such reversed trend indicates an
221 incomplete accumulation of mRNAs in the prepubertal oocyte, as earlier described, and a previously
222 unreported persistence of maternal transcripts in embryos approaching EGA, which indicates a delay in
223 degradation.

224 In all animals, the clearance of thousands mRNAs loaded into the egg by the mother is a key event in the
225 transition from maternal control of development to control by the embryonic genome (Giraldez et al, 2006;
226 Bushati et al, 2008; Bartel, 2009; Lund et al, 2009; Walzer and Lipshitz 2011); such elimination is indeed the
227 first event of Maternal to- Zygotic Transition (MZT), which is followed by the beginning of embryonic
228 genome transcription (Tadros and Lipshitz, 2009; Yartseva and Giraldez, 2015). Therefore, our observations
229 of abnormal transcript persistence in prepubertal embryos before EGA indicates some kind of impairment in
230 the mechanisms involved in MZT. Accordingly, embryos derived from young donors show lower
231 development to blastocyst stage (Table 2); most probably, the ones that stop around EGA fail to achieve the
232 dramatic cellular transitions that characterize MZT, including maternal transcript degradation.

233 Studies in *Drosophila* showed that some pathways of maternal mRNA clearance are inherited in the oocyte
234 cytoplasm, while others are synthesized de novo by the embryo, highlighting a combined action of both the
235 maternal cytoplasm and zygotic nucleus to the MZT (Bashirullah et al, 1999). The presence of two main
236 modes of mRNA degradation, maternal and zygotic, was confirmed in mouse embryos, where a subset of

1
2
3 237 maternal transcripts is degraded soon after fertilization, while others show later decreases around EGA at the
4
5 238 two-cell stage (Hamatani et al, 2004). Two waves of transcript destabilization were observed also in human
6
7 239 embryos (Dobson et al, 2004; Zhang et al, 2009). As maternal and zygotic degradation activities appear to be a
8
9 240 general feature of the metazoan MZT, the existence of similar mechanisms in sheep may be hypothesized. In
10
11 241 this species EGA occurs around the 8 cell stage, so we may postulate that the first waves of transcript
12
13 242 degradation observed around oocyte maturation and fertilization are controlled by products of maternal origin,
14
15 243 while the final phases of transcript clearance that occur as EGA approaches depend on the proper activation of
16
17 244 the embryonic genome. This hypothesis would ascribe the higher mRNA abundance observed in 2C and 4C
18
19 245 prepubertal embryos to impairment of the first degradation activity, which depends on molecules of maternal
20
21 246 origin. Accordingly, prepubertal oocytes at the GV stage show lower transcript abundance, which may impair
22
23 247 its developmental competence. Oocytes with a proper transcriptome will in fact have better chances to achieve
24
25 248 fertilization, early embryo development and MZT (including maternal transcript clearance) and to complete
26
27 249 preimplantation and further development (Table 2). Conversely, oocytes carrying an inadequate transcriptome
28
29 250 may have impaired pathways of maternal mRNA clearance that prevent proper EGA and further development.
30
31 251 In the present work, the analysis of gene expression was performed on pools of ten oocytes/embryos, so the
32
33 252 observed patterns describe the mean abundance of potentially very different individual mRNA levels. It is
34
35 253 likely that each prepubertal pool comprises single oocytes/embryos with transcript levels similar to the adults
36
37 254 (prepubertal oocytes/embryos with better quality that will proceed with development) together with ones with
38
39 255 altered abundance (prepubertal oocytes/embryos with lower quality that are more likely to arrest at some point
40
41 256 of preimplantation development). Therefore, we propose that embryos with significant delay in transcript
42
43 257 degradation are likely to arrest during *in vitro* development, because they fail to proceed to MZT and EGA.
44
45 258 The observation of similar transcript level at 8-cell stage suggests that such arrest may occur at 2- and 4- cell
46
47 259 stage, so that only embryos with higher competence (and proper transcript degradation) would proceed to the
48
49 260 8- cell stage. Accordingly, expression patterns at the 16 cell and blastocyst stage support the hypothesis that
50
51 261 embryos able to activate their genome do not show altered transcript abundance on the basis of oocyte donor
52
53 262 origin. The similar expression in adults and prepubertals of the only mRNA of embryonic origin, *NANOG*,
54
55 263 further sustains such theory (Figure 4A and B). In other words, while pools of oocytes and very early embryos
56
57 264 include competent and not competent elements (many of which will not proceed to EGA and reach the

1
2
3 265 blastocyst stage), the selection that occurs during *in vitro* development allows only more competent embryos
4
5 266 to proceed with development, especially as EGA approaches. Accordingly, embryos that do not reach the
6
7 267 blastocyst stage often stop around the time of EGA during *in vitro* culture (Memili and First, 1999).

8
9 268 Although it is accepted that maternal transcript degradation around EGA is critical for embryo development,
10
11 269 the exact functions of this important mechanism are not clear (Tadros and Lipshitz 2009). Removal of
12
13 270 maternal mRNAs might be necessary to avoid abnormal mRNA dosage in the embryo. The persistence of
14
15 271 maternal mRNAs after EGA would indeed result in altered transcript dosage, as observed in often lethal
16
17 272 chromosomal aneuploidies (Lindsley et al, 1972). Alternatively, elimination of specific maternal transcripts
18
19 273 per se could be essential for early development and be instructive or permissive (Tadros and Lipshitz 2009). In
20
21 274 the former case, the gradual decrease in maternal mRNA levels in early embryos exerts a specific function, for
22
23 275 instance modulating the action of the relative encoded protein. Conversely, permissive maternal transcript
24
25 276 elimination would allow patterned embryonic transcripts to exert their influence. For example, ubiquitously
26
27 277 distributed maternal mRNAs could be eliminated to achieve a a spatially and temporally patterned
28
29 278 transcription of their embryonic counterparts. Finally, clearance may be aimed to remove transcripts that are
30
31 279 crucial during oogenesis (e.g. to protect the oocyte) but which are no longer needed in the embryo (Zeng et al,
32
33 280 2004; Pan et al, 2005). These hypotheses are not mutually exclusive and most probably all underlie the
34
35 281 importance of transcript clearance in early embryos. Although further research is needed to clarify the specific
36
37 282 effects of impaired maternal mRNA degradation during MZT, the evidence achieved so far clearly indicates
38
39 283 detrimental effects for embryo further development.

40
41 284 The present work has some limitations. Firstly, the paucity of material did not allow the quantification of the
42
43 285 RNA used for gene expression analysis. This opens up to different interpretations of the over abundance of
44
45 286 specific transcripts, such as stabilization of single RNAs on a background of general RNA degradation.
46
47 287 Another concern is that the study addressed only mRNA expression, while relative proteins were not
48
49 288 examined. Transcript levels do not necessarily represent the exact protein abundance, as gene expression is
50
51 289 controlled at multiple levels. Therefore it is not clear whether the increased transcript levels in prepubertal
52
53 290 embryos result in altered protein abundance, or if only transcript clearance is affected. Further research is
54
55 291 needed to clarify such issues.

56 292
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 293 In conclusion, our work confirmed that oocytes derived from adult or prepubertal donors have different *in*
4 294 *vitro* developmental capabilities and kinetics. We showed a cytoplasmic insufficiency of prepubertal GV
5
6 295 oocytes in terms of transcript abundance and for the first time a consistent delay in maternal transcript
7
8 296 degradation in prepubertal early embryos. We propose that the molecular shortage in the oocyte may affect its
9
10 297 developmental potential and impair the early pathways of maternal mRNA clearance in the embryo. We
11
12 298 suggest that such abnormal maternal transcript persistence may hinder further development and contribute
13
14 299 with a novel perspective to the complexity of developmental competence.
15

16
17 300
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

301 **Materials and Methods**

302 All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical CO. (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise stated.

303

304 **Oocyte Recovery and *In Vitro* Maturation**

305 Oocytes were recovered from the ovaries of pre-pubertal (30–40 days of age, body weight 6–10 kg) and adult
306 (4–5 years of age, body weight 35–40 kg) Sarda sheep, collected at a local slaughterhouse and transported to
307 the laboratory within 1 h in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with antibiotics. After washing in
308 fresh medium, ovaries were sliced using a microblade and the follicle content was released in TCM-199
309 medium (with Earle's salts bicarbonate) supplemented with 25 mmol HEPES, 0.1 g/L penicillin, 0.1 g/L
310 streptomycin and 0.1% (w/v) polyvinyl alcohol.

311 Cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) derived from both prepubertal and adult donors, showing several intact
312 cumulus cell layers and a compact cytoplasm, were selected and matured *in vitro* (IVM) in TCM 199
313 supplemented with 10% heat treated oestrus sheep serum (OSS), 0.1 IU/ml FSH and 0.1 IU/ml LH (Pergonal,
314 Serono Italy) and 100 μ M cysteamine.

315 Then, 30–35 COCs were cultured for 24 h in 5% CO₂ in air at 38.5°C in four-well Petri dishes (Nunc; Nalge
316 Nunc, Roskilde, 100 Denmark) with 600 μ L maturation medium, layered with 300 μ L mineral oil. After
317 IVM, oocytes underwent cumulus and corona cells removal by gentle pipetting and only oocytes presenting
318 compact cytoplasm and the first polar body were selected.

319

320 ***In vitro* fertilization and embryo development**

321 Frozen-thawed spermatozoa of one single ram of proven fertility (Sarda breed, 4 years old, body weight 55 kg)
322 were used for all *in vitro* embryo production experiments. Two straws were thawed per IVF and spermatozoa
323 were selected by swim-up technique (1×10^6 spermatozoa * mL). IVM oocytes were incubated in synthetic
324 oviductal fluid (SOF) medium (Tervit et al, 1972) with 2% OSS, 1 μ g/mL heparin and 1 μ g/mL hypotaurine
325 for 22 h at 38.5°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 5% O₂ in N₂ in four-well Petri dishes (Nunc, Nalge
326 Nunc). Thereafter, presumptive zygotes were transferred and cultured for 8 days in four-well Petri dishes
327 containing SOF plus essential and non-essential amino acids at oviductal concentrations (Walker et al, 1996)

328 +0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) under mineral oil, in a maximum humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂,
329 5% O₂ and 90% N₂ at 38.5°C (Bogliolo et al. 2011).

330 At 24 and 30 hr post-fertilization the number of cleaved oocytes, showing two distinct blastomeres, was
331 recorded.

332 The cleaved oocytes were transferred to a culture medium composed of SOF medium supplemented with 4
333 mg/ml BSA and essential and non essential amino acids at oviductal concentration (Walker et al, 1996) and
334 kept in maximum humidified atmosphere at 38.5°C, 5% O₂, and 5% CO₂ up to the blastocyst stage.

335

336

337 **Gene expression analysis**

338 Details on gene expression analysis by real-time PCR are described according to the MIQE guidelines (Bustin
339 et al, 2009).

340

341 **Oocyte and embryo collection for gene expression analysis**

342 The RNA samples were isolated from oocytes and embryos obtained during several IVM, IVF and IVC
343 sessions, as follows:

- 344 • pools of denuded germinal vesicles (GV) and IVM metaphase II (MII) oocytes derived from
345 prepubertal (P) or adult (A) donors (n=5 pools of 10 oocytes per stage and experimental group).
- 346 • *in vitro* produced (IVP) embryos at two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), 16- (16C) cell stage derived
347 from P or A donors (n=3 pools of 10 embryos per stage and experimental group)
- 348 • IVP blastocysts derived from P or A donors (n=3 pools of 7 blastocysts per experimental group)

349 Oocytes and embryos were denuded via gentle pipetting in order to completely remove any somatic cell and
350 added to 30 µl RLT buffer (RNeasy Micro Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and
351 stored at – 80°C until RNA isolation.

352

353 **RNA Isolation and reverse transcription**

1
2
3 354 Total RNA was isolated from the pools of oocytes or embryos with the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen, Hilden,
4
5 355 Germany) following manufacturer's instructions. Five pg of luciferase mRNA (Promega) were added to each
6
7 356 group prior to RNA extraction to account for RNA loss during the isolation process.

8
9 357 During the procedure, RNA was treated with DNase I to exclude any potential genomic DNA contamination.
10
11 358 Isolated RNA was eluted in 15 μ L RNase-free water and 13.5 μ L immediately used for reverse transcription–
12
13 359 polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

14
15 360 Reverse-transcription was performed in a final volume of 20 μ L, consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 75
16
17 361 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM dNTPs, 2.5 μ M random hexamer primers, 20 U RNase OUT and
18
19 362 100 U SuperScript III RT (all purchased at Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). The reaction tubes were
20
21 363 incubated at 25°C for 10 min, then at 42°C for 1 h and finally at 70°C for 15 min to inactivate the reaction.
22
23 364 One tube without RNA and one with RNA, but without reverse transcriptase, were analysed as negative
24
25 365 controls. To quantify the mRNA recovery rate, 5 pg of luciferase mRNA (not subjected to RNA isolation) was
26
27 366 subjected to cDNA synthesis as well and subsequently quantified by Real Time PCR.

28
29 367

30 368 **Real time-polymerase chain reaction**

31
32 369 Primers for all analysed genes were designed to be intron-spanning and are listed in Table 4.

33
34 370 Relative quantification of transcripts was performed by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) in a
35
36 371 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The PCR was performed in a 15 μ L reaction
37
38 372 volume containing 7.5 μ L 2 \times SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), 200 nM
39
40 373 of each primer and cDNA equivalent to 0.25 oocytes or embryos.

41
42 374 The PCR protocol consisted in two incubation steps (50°C for 5 min and 95°C for 2 min), followed by 40
43
44 375 cycles of amplification program [95°C for 15 seconds (s) and gene-specific annealing temperature (see Table
45
46 376 4) for 30 s], a melting curve programme (65–95°C, starting fluorescence acquisition at 65°C and taking
47
48 377 measurements at 10-s intervals until the temperature reached 95°C) and finally a cooling step to 4°C.
49
50 378 Fluorescence data were acquired during the annealing step.

51
52 379 To minimise handling variation, all samples to be compared were run on the same plate using a PCR master
53
54 380 mix containing all reaction components apart from the sample.

1
2
3 381 The PCR products were analysed by generating a melting curve to check the specificity and identity of the
4
5 382 amplification product. For each primer pair, the efficiency of the PCR reaction was determined by building a
6
7 383 standard curve with serial dilutions of a known amount of template, covering at least 3 orders of magnitude, so
8
9 384 that the calibration curve's linear interval included the interval above and below the abundance of the targets.
10
11 385 Only primers achieving an efficiency of reaction between 90 and 110 % ($3.6 > \text{slope} > 3.1$) and a coefficient
12
13 386 of determination $r^2 > 0.99$ were used for the analysis.

14
15 387 The sizes of the RT-PCR products were further confirmed by gel electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel stained
16
17 388 with Sybr Safe (Invitrogen) and visualised by exposure to blue light. The PCR products were sequenced
18
19 389 (Model 3130 xl Genetic Analyzer; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) after purification with
20
21 390 MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and sequence identities were confirmed with BLAST
22
23 391 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/>).

24
25 392 The relative quantification of the transcripts was performed after normalization against the exogenous
26
27 393 *luciferase* mRNA levels and the number of oocytes and embryos (Su et al, 2007; Ohsugi et al, 2008; Evsikov
28
29 394 and Evsikova 2009). Real-time RT-PCR data are presented as ΔC_q , mean \pm SEM. The relative amount of the
30
31 395 target mRNA of each specific sample was obtained after subtraction of the calibrator expression level (ΔC_q
32
33 396 sample = C_q sample – C_q calibrator), where the calibrator was the sample showing the highest abundance (in
34
35 397 most cases a pool of oocytes at the GV stage).

36
37 398

38 399 **Statistical analysis**

40
41 400 Gene expression data were analysed using the MINITAB Release 12.1 software package (Minitab Inc., State
42
43 401 College, PA, USA). After testing for normality and equal variance using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and
44
45 402 Levene's tests respectively, transcript data were analysed with general linear model analysis of variance
46
47 403 (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's *post hoc* comparisons when P values were significant.

48
49 404 Differences in maturation, fertilization, cleavage and blastocyst rates between prepubertal and adult oocytes
50
51 405 were determined by the Chi-square test.

52
53 406 Differences were considered significant when $P < 0.05$.

54
55 407

56 57 408 **Acknowledgments**

1
2
3 409 The authors have no competing interest to declare.
4
5 410

6 7 411 **Figure legends**

8
9 412 **Figure 1.** Relative expression of the housekeeping genes *ACTB*, *RPL19*, *SDHA*, *YWHAZ* and *ATP1A1* in ovine
10 413 immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C)
11 414 embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔCq
12 415 and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each
13 416 stage (each replicate= pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

14
15
16
17
18 417 A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the
19 418 developmental stages.

20
21
22
23 419 B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of
24 420 oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

25
26
27 421

28
29 422 **Figure 2.** Relative expression of the maternal effect genes *DPPA3*, *GDF9*, *NPM2* and *ZARI* in ovine
30 423 immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C)
31 424 embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔCq
32 425 and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each
33 426 stage (each replicate= pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

34
35
36
37
38 427 A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the
39 428 developmental stages.

40
41
42
43 429 B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of
44 430 oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

45
46
47 431

48
49 432 **Figure 3.** Relative expression of the genes involved in cell cycle regulation *CCNBI*, *CDKI* and *MELK* in
50 433 ovine immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell
51 434 (16C) embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as
52 435 ΔCq and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for
53 436 each stage (each replicate= pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

1
2
3 437 A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the
4
5 438 developmental stages.

6
7 439 B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of
8
9 440 oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

10
11 441

12
13 442 **Figure 4.** Relative expression of genes involved in pluripotency *POU5F1* and *NANOG* in ovine immature
14
15 443 (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C) embryos
16
17 444 derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔCq and show
18
19 445 the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each stage (each
20
21 446 replicate= pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

22
23 447 A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the
24
25 448 developmental stages.

26
27 449 B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of
28
29 450 oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

30
31 451

32
33 452 **Figure 5.** Relative expression of genes involved in epigenetic mechanisms *DNMT1*, *DNMT3A*, *DNMT3B* and
34
35 453 *IGF2R* in ovine immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C),
36
37 454 and 16-cell (16C) embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are
38
39 455 expressed as ΔCq and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C)
40
41 456 replicates for each stage (each replicate= pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

42
43 457 A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the
44
45 458 developmental stages.

46
47 459 B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of
48
49 460 oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

50
51 461

462 **References**

- 463 Armstrong DT, Holm P, Irvine B, Petersen BA, Stubbings RB, McLean D, Stevens G, Seamark RF. 1992.
464 Pregnancies and live birth from *in vitro* fertilization of calf oocytes collected by laparoscopic follicular
465 aspiration. *Theriogenology* 38:667–678.
- 466 Armstrong DT, Kotaras PJ, Earl CR. 1997. Advances in production of embryos *in vitro* from juvenile and
467 prepubertal oocytes from the calf and lamb. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 9:333–339.
- 468 Bartel DP. 2009. MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. *Cell* 136:215–233.
- 469 Bashirullah A, Halsell SR, Cooperstock RL, Kloc M, Karaiskakis A, Fisher WW, Fu W, Hamilton JK, Etkin
470 LD, Lipshitz HD. 1999. Joint action of two RNA degradation pathways controls the timing of maternal
471 transcript elimination at the midblastula transition in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *18:2610-2620*.
- 472 Bebbere D, Ariu F, Bogliolo L, Masala L, Murrone O, Fattorini M, Falchi L, Ledda S. 2014. Expression of
473 maternally derived KHDC3, NLRP5, OOEP and TLE6 is associated with oocyte developmental competence
474 in the ovine species. *BMC Dev Biol* 14:40.
- 475 Bernal-Ulloa SM, Heinzmann J, Herrmann D, Hadelier KG, Aldag P, Winkler S, Pache D, Baulain U, Lucas-
476 Hahn A, Niemann H. 2016. Cyclic AMP Affects Oocyte Maturation and Embryo Development in Prepubertal
477 and Adult Cattle. *PLoS One* 11(2):e0150264.
- 478 Bogliolo L, Ariu F, Leoni G, Uccheddu S, Bebbere D. 2011. High hydrostatic pressure treatment improves the
479 quality of *in vitro*-produced ovine blastocysts. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 23:809–817.
- 480 Bushati N, Stark A, Brennecke J, Cohen SM. 2008. Temporal Reciprocity of miRNAs and Their Targets
481 during the Maternal-to-Zygotic Transition in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 18:501–506.
- 482 Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, Mueller R, Nolan T, Pfaffl MW, Shipley
483 GL et al. 2009. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real time PCR
484 experiments. *Clinical Chemistry* 55:611–622.
- 485 Dobson AT, Raja R, Abeyta MJ, Taylor T, Shen S, Haqq C, Pera RA. 2004. The unique transcriptome through
486 day 3 of human preimplantation development. *Human Mol Genet* 13:1461-1470.
- 487 Earl CR, Irvine BJ, Kelly JM, Rowe JP, Armstrong DT. 1995. Ovarian stimulation protocols for oocyte
488 collection and *in vitro* embryo production from 8- to 9-week-old lambs. *Theriogenology* 43:203.
- 489 Evsikov AV, Marín de Evsikova C. 2009. Gene expression during the oocyte-to embryo transition in
490 mammals. *Mol Reprod Dev* 76:805–818.
- 491 Giraldez AJ, Mishima Y, Rihel J, Grocock RJ, Van Dongen S, Inoue K, et al. 2006. Zebrafish MiR-430
492 promotes deadenylation and clearance of maternal mRNAs. *Science* 312:75–79.

- 1
2
3 493 Hamatani T, Carter MG, Sharov AA, Ko MS. 2014. Dynamics of global gene expression changes during
4 494 mouse preimplantation development. *Dev Cell* 6:117-131.
- 5
6 495 Khatir H, Lonergan P, Carolan C, Mermillod P. 1996. Prepubertal bovine oocyte: A negative model for
7 496 studying oocyte developmental competence. *Mol Reprod Dev* 45:231-239.
- 8
9 497 Landry DA, Bellefleur AM, Labrecque R, Grand FX, Vigneault C, Blondin P, Sirard MA. 2016. Effect of cow
10 498 age on the *in vitro* developmental competence of oocytes obtained after FSH stimulation and coating
11 499 treatments. *Theriogenology* 86:1240-1246.
- 12
13
14 500 Ledda S, Bogliolo L, Leoni G Naitana S. 1999. Production and lambing rate of blastocysts derived from *in*
15 501 *vitro* matured oocytes after gonadotrophin treatment of prepubertal ewes. *J Anim Sci* 77:2234–2239.
- 16
17
18 502 Ledda S, Bebbere D, Ariu F, Pescatori M, Pau S, Zedda MT, Bogliolo L. 2012. Unveiling mRNA changes
19 503 during meiotic progression and pre-implantation development: help from large animal models. *Curr Pharm*
20 504 *Des* 18:256–263.
- 21
22
23 505 Leoni GG, Succu S, Berlinguer F, Rosati I, Bebbere D, Bogliolo L, Ledda S, Naitana S. 2006. Delay on the *in*
24 506 *vitro* kinetic development of prepubertal ovine embryos. *Anim Reprod Sci* 92:373–383.
- 25
26 507 Leoni GG, Bebbere D, Succu S, Berlinguer F, Mossa F, Galioto M, Bogliolo L, Ledda S, Naitana S. 2007.
27 508 Relations between relative mRNA abundance and developmental competence of ovine oocytes. *Mol Reprod*
28 509 *Dev* 74:249–257.
- 29
30
31 510 Leoni GG, Palmerini MG, Satta V, Succu S, Pasciu V, Zinellu A, Carru C, Macchiarelli G, Nottola SA,
32 511 Naitana S. 2015. Differences in the kinetic of the first meiotic division and in active mitochondrial distribution
33 512 between prepubertal and adult oocytes mirror differences in their developmental competence in a sheep model.
34 513 *PLoS ONE* 10 e0124911.
- 35
36
37 514 Lindsley DL, Sandler L, Baker BS, Carpenter AT, Denell RE, Hall JC, Jacobs PA, Miklos GL, Davis BK,
38 515 Gethmann RC et al, 1972. Segmental aneuploidy and the genetic gross structure of the *Drosophila* genome.
39 516 *Genetics* 71:157-84.
- 40
41
42 517 Lund E, Liu M, Hartley RS, Sheets MD, Dahlberg JE. 2009. Deadenylation of maternal mRNAs mediated by
43 518 miR-427 in *Xenopus laevis* embryos. *RNA* 15:2351–2363.
- 44
45
46 519 Majerus V, De Roover R, Etienne D, Kaidi S, Massip A, Dessy F, Donnay I. 1999. Embryo production by
47 520 ovum pick up in unstimulated calves before and after puberty. *Theriogenology* 52:1169-1179.
- 48
49 521 Masala L, Burrai GP, Bellu E, Ariu F, Bogliolo L, Ledda S, Bebbere D. 2017. Methylation dynamics during
50 522 folliculogenesis and early embryo development in sheep. *Reproduction*. 153:605-619. Erratum in:
51 523 *Reproduction* 2017 154(1):X1.
- 52
53
54 524 McGee EA, Hsueh AJW. 2000. Initial and Cyclic Recruitment of Ovarian Follicles. *Endocrine Reviews*
55 525 21:200–214.

- 1
2
3 526 Memili E, First NL. 1999. Control of gene expression at the onset of bovine embryonic development. *Biol*
4 527 *Reprod* 61:1198-207.
5
6 528 Morton KM. 2008. Developmental capabilities of embryos produced *in vitro* from prepubertal lamb oocytes.
7 529 *Reprod Domest Anim* 43:137–143.
8
9 530 Nicholas FW. 1996. Genetic improvement through reproductive technology. *Anim Reprod Sci* 42:205–214.
10
11 531 O'Brien JK, Dwarte D, Ryan JP, Maxwell WMC, Evans G. 1996. Developmental capacity, energy metabolism
12 532 and ultrastructure of mature oocytes from prepubertal and adult sheep. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 8:1029-1037.
13
14 533 Ohsugi M, Zheng P, Baibakov B, Li L, Dean J. 2008. Maternally derived FILIA MATER complex localizes
15 534 asymmetrically in cleavage-stage mouse embryos. *Development* 135:259–269.
16
17 535 Oropeza A, Wrenzycki C, Herrmann D, Haderler KG, Niemann H. 2004. Improvement of the developmental
18 536 capacity of oocytes from prepubertal cattle by intraovarian insulin-like growth factor-I application. *Biol*
19 537 *Reprod* 70:1634-1643.
20
21 538 Pan H, O'Brien MJ, Wigglesworth K, Eppig JJ, Schultz RM. 2005. Transcript profiling during mouse oocyte
22 539 development and the effect of gonadotropin priming and development *in vitro*. *Dev Biol* 286:493-506.
23
24 540 Revel F, Mermillod P, Peynot N, Renard JP, Heyman Y. 1995. Low developmental capacity of *in vitro*
25 541 matured and fertilized oocytes from calves compared with that of cows. *J Reprod Fertil* 103:115–120.
26
27 542 Romar R, De Santis T, Papillier P, Perreau C, Th  lie A, Dell'Aquila ME, Mermillod P Dalbi  s-Tran R. 2011.
28 543 Expression of maternal transcripts during bovine oocyte *in vitro* maturation is affected by donor age.
29 544 *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* 46:23–30.
30
31 545 Su YQ, Sugiura K, Woo Y, Wigglesworth K, Kamdar S, Affourtit J, Eppig JJ. 2007. Selective degradation of
32 546 transcripts during meiotic maturation of mouse oocytes. *Dev Biol* 302:104–117.
33
34 547 Tadros W, Lipshitz HD. 2009. The maternal-to-zygotic transition: a play in two acts. *Development* 136:3033-
35 548 3042.
36
37 549 Tervit HR, Whittingham DG, Rowson LE. 1972. Successful culture *in vitro* of sheep and cattle ova. *J Reprod*
38 550 *Fertil* 30:493–497.
39
40 551 Walker SK, Hill JL, Kleemann DO, Nancarrow CD. 1996. Development of ovine embryos in synthetic
41 552 oviductal fluid containing amino acids at oviductal fluid concentrations. *Biol Reprod* 55:703–708.
42
43 553 Walser CB, Lipshitz HD. 2011. Transcript clearance during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *Curr Opin*
44 554 *Genetics Dev* 21:431–443.
45
46 555 Yartseva V, Giraldez AJ. 2015. The Maternal-to-Zygotic Transition During Vertebrate Development: A Model
47 556 for Reprogramming. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 113:191-232.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 557 Zeng F, Baldwin DA, Schultz RM.2004. Transcript profiling during preimplantation mouse development. Dev
4 558 Biol 272:483-496.
5
6 559 Zhang P, Zucchelli M, Bruce S, Hambiliki F, Stavreus-Evers A, Levkov L, Skottman H, Kerkela E, Kere J,
7 560 Hovatta O. 2009. Transcriptome profiling of human pre-implantation development. PLoS ONE 4:e7844.
8
9 561
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

	Oocytes	Matured	Cleaved (in hours post-fertilization)		
			24 hr	30 hr	Total
Prepubertal	740	697 (94.2%)	160 (38.1%) ^a	261 (61.9%) ^a	421 (60.4%) ^a
Adult	671	642 (95.7%)	341 (76.2%) ^b	106 (23.7%) ^b	447 (69.6%) ^b

Table 1. IVM and cleavage rates of prepubertal and adult oocytes.

Maturation rate is calculated on the initial number of oocytes. Total cleavage rates are calculated on the number of mature oocytes. Cleavage rates at 24 and 30 hr are calculated on total cleaved embryos. Different letters within each column indicate a significant difference ($P < 0.05$). Hr: hours post-fertilization.

For Peer Review

	Cleaved embryos	Blastocysts			Total Blastocysts
		6d	7d	8d	
Prepubertal	421	7 (1.67%) ^a	54 (12.8%) ^a	44 (10.4%) ^a	105 (24.94%) ^a
Adult	447	78 (17.45%) ^b	134 (29.9%) ^b	55 (12.3%) ^a	267 (59.73%) ^b

Table 2. Blastocyst rates of prepubertal and adult oocytes.

Blastocyst rates are calculated on the number of cleaved embryos. Different letters within each column indicate a significant difference ($P < 0.01$).

d= days post fertilization.

For Peer Review

	GV	MII	2C	4C	8C	16C	BL
Housekeeping genes							
<i>ACTB</i>	A>P						
<i>ATP1A1</i>							
<i>RPL19</i>	A>P		P>A*	P>A			
<i>SDHA</i>			P>A	P>A			
<i>YWHAZ</i>							
Maternal Effect Genes							
<i>DPPA3</i>	A>P		P>A**				
<i>GDF9</i>			P>A	P>A			
<i>NPM2</i>		P>A	P>A				
<i>ZAR1</i>	A>P		P>A				
Genes involved in cell cycle regulation							
<i>CCNB1</i>						P>A***	
<i>CDK1</i>			P>A	P>A			
<i>MELK</i>	A>P		P>A*	P>A			
Genes involved in pluripotency							
<i>NANOG</i>	A>P						
<i>POU5F1</i>	A>P*		P>A	P>A			
Genes involved in epigenetic mechanisms							
<i>DNMT1</i>							
<i>DNMT3A</i>			P>A	P>A			
<i>DNMT3B</i>							
<i>IGF2R</i>			P>A				

Table 3. Transcripts with different abundance by stage ($P < 0.05$).

A= Adult, P=Prepubertal. Grey and orange backgrounds indicate significant higher abundance in adult- and prepubertal- derived gametes/embryos, respectively.

* $P = 0.054$; ** $P = 0.052$; *** $P = 0.083$

Gene	GenBank accession n.	Primers	Annealing temperature	Size (bps)
<i>ACTB</i>	NM_001009784	F: 5' TTCCTGGGTATGGATCCTG 3' R: 5' GGTGATCTCCTTCTGCATCC 3'	60° C	162
<i>ATP1A1</i>	X02813	F: 5' GCTGACTTGGTCATCTGCAA 3' R: 5' CATTCCAGGGCAGTAGGAAA 3'	58° C	129
<i>CCNB1</i>	L26548	F: 5' CAGTGTATGACAGGTAATGC 3' R: 5' CGTAGTCCAGCATAGTTAGT 3'	56° C	134
<i>CDK1</i>	NM_001142508	F: 5' TCCTGGTCAGTTCATGGATTTC 3' R: 5' CTGTGGAGAACTCTTCTAGAG 3'	56° C	96
<i>DNMT1</i>	NM_001009473	F: 5' CAGCTCTCGTACATCCACAG 3' R: 5' AATCTCGCGTAGTCTTGGTC 3'	60° C	158
<i>DNMT3A</i>	XM_015094252	F: 5' GTGATGATTGATGCCAAAGA 3' R: 5' GGTCTCACCCTTGTGCTGAACT 3'	60° C	165
<i>DNMT3B</i>	XM_012189044	F: 5' ATTGCAACAGGGTACTTGGT 3' R: 5' ATATTTGATGTTGCCCTCGT 3'	60° C	122
<i>DPPA3</i>	XM_004006906	F: 5' GAGTGAGCGGAGATACAGGA 3' R: 5' CTTCGCACTCTTGATCGAAT 3'	58° C	135
<i>GDF9</i>	AF078545	F: 5' CAGACGCCACCTCTACAACA 3' R: 5' CAGGAAAGGGAAAAGAAATGG 3'	58° C	198
<i>IGF2R</i>	AF353513	F: 5' CATTACTTCGATGGGAGGAC 3' R: 5' ATCAAGACCAGCGGTGCTTA 3'	60° C	137
<i>luciferase</i>	AF093685	F: 5' GCTGGGCGTTAATCAGAGAG 3' R: 5' GTGTTCTTCTTCTCCAGT 3'	58° C	151
<i>MELK</i>	XM_004004250	F: 5' TGCCTGAAGAACCTGAGAC 3' R: 5' GCTGTGCACATAAGCAACTG 3'	60° C	220
<i>NPM2</i>	XM_004004208	F: 5' GGAGGAAGATGATGATGACG 3' R: 5' CATGGTTCTTCTTGGTCACT 3'	60° C	208
<i>POU5F1</i>	NM_174580	F: 5' GAGGAGTCCCAGGACATCAA 3' R: 5' CCGCAGCTTACACATGTTCT 3'	56° C	204
<i>RPL19</i>	XM_004012837	F: 5' CAACTCCCAGCAGAT 3' R: 5' CCGGAATGGACAGTCACA 3'	56 ° C	127
<i>SDHA</i>	XM_004017097	F: 5' CATCCACTACATGACGGAGCA 3' R: 5' ATCTTGCCATCTCAGTTCTGCTA 3'	60 ° C	125
<i>YWHAZ</i>	NM_001267887	F: 5' TGTAGGAGCCCGTAGGTCATCT 3' R: 5' TTCTCTGTATTCTCGAGCCATCT 3'	60 ° C	168
<i>ZAR1</i>	HM037367	F: 5' CACTGCAAGGACTGCAATATC 3' R: 5' CAGGTGATATCCTCCAATC 3'	60° C	137

Table 4. Primers used for Real-Time PCR experiments.




Figure 1. Relative expression of the housekeeping genes ACTB, RPL19, SDHA, YWHAZ and ATP1A1 in ovine immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C) embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔCq and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each stage (each replicate = pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the developmental stages.

B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review




Figure 2. Relative expression of the maternal effect genes DPPA3, GDF9, NPM2 and ZAR1 in ovine immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C) embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔCq and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each stage (each replicate = pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the developmental stages.

B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review




Figure 3. Relative expression of the genes involved in cell cycle regulation CCNB1, CDK1 and MELK in ovine immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C) embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔC_q and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each stage (each replicate = pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the developmental stages.

B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 4. Relative expression of genes involved in pluripotency *POU5F1* and *NANOG* in ovine immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C) embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔCq and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each stage (each replicate = pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the developmental stages.

B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Figure 5. Relative expression of genes involved in epigenetic mechanisms DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B and IGF2R in ovine immature (GV) and IVM MII oocytes (MII), in IVMFC two- (2C), four- (4C), eight- (8C), and 16-cell (16C) embryos derived from adults (A) or prepubertal (P) donors. Relative abundance values are expressed as ΔCq and show the mean value \pm s.e.m. of five (GV and MII) and three (2C, 4C, 8C and 16C) replicates for each stage (each replicate= pool of 10 oocytes/embryos).

A. Different letters indicate a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) among the developmental stages.

B. * indicates a significant difference in relative mRNA abundance ($P < 0.05$) in pairwise comparisons of oocytes/embryos at the same developmental stage derived from adult or prepubertal donors (A vs P).

190x254mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review