Assessing the effectiveness of land restoration interventions in dry lands by multitemporal rer	note sensing - A
case study in Ouled Dlim (Marrakech, Morocco)	

Questa è la versione Post print del seguente articolo:

#### Original

Assessing the effectiveness of land restoration interventions in dry lands by multitemporal remote sensing – A case study in Ouled Dlim (Marrakech, Morocco) / Zucca, Claudio; Wu, Weicheng; Dessena, Leonarda; Mulas, Maurizio. - In: LAND DEGRADATION & DEVELOPMENT. - ISSN 1085-3278. - 26:(2015), pp. 80-91. [10.1002/ldr.2307]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11388/139997 since: 2022-05-23T12:13:05Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1002/ldr.2307

Terms of use:

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

1	ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LAND RESTORATION
2	INTERVENTIONS IN DRYLANDS BY MULTITEMPORAL REMOTE SENSING
3	A CASE STUDY IN OULED DLIM (MARRAKECH, MOROCCO)
4	
5	ASSESSING LAND RESTORATION EFFECTIVENESS BY REMOTE SENSING
6	
7	Claudio Zucca <sup>1</sup> , Weicheng Wu <sup>2</sup> , Leonarda Dessena <sup>3</sup> , Maurizio Mulas <sup>3</sup>
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Agraria, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy
10	<sup>2</sup> International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)/CGIAR, 11195 Amman,
11	Jordan
12	<sup>3</sup> Department of Nature and Environmental Sciences, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy.
13	
14	
15	Corresponding author:
16	
17	Claudio Zucca
18	Università di Sassari, Dipartimento di Agraria, V.le Italia, 39, 07100 Sassari. Italy.
19	Tel. +39 079 213104 Fax +39 079 229222
20	E-mail: clzucca@uniss.it;
21	
22	

23	ABSTRACT
73	ARSIRALI

Atriplex nummularia has been extensively planted in Northern Africa to combat desertification. However, few studies evaluated the effectiveness of these interventions. This study aimed at assessing the dynamic performance of a number of Atriplex plantations located in the Marrakech province in terms of multitemporal dry biomass production. Three SPOT 5 images (2004, 2008, and 2012), and field biomass measurements were integrated to quantify the dry biomass production dynamics of plantations established from 1996 to 2007. Different plant ages covered the whole plant life cycle curve. Vegetation indices were derived from the images and those of 2012 were coupled to the measured biomass of 2012 to formulate biomass models. An analysis of shrub biomass production was conducted in plantations and in adjacent rangelands, covering varying degree of plant development, and an estimate of the economic benefits generated by the plantations in terms of available fodder biomass was performed. The results show that, on average, the plantation sites produced 2.21 to 3.61 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of dry biomass more than the surrounding rangelands. The best performing plantations yielded even greater differences, up to more than 7 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. It was observed that the most performing plantations, while contributing to mitigating land degradation, have generated economic value and could compensate the economic cost of the intervention even under drought conditions. However, in several cases the plantation performance was far from sustainability, particularly due to poor management (early and/or over grazing), revealing that management is a critical factor for the success of this restoration practice.

**Keywords:** desertification, *Atriplex*, biomass, vegetation indices, rangeland, rehabilitation, assessment, monitoring.

### INTRODUCTION

Desertification, as a consequence of both natural and human activity, is the major threat and challenge for human societies in arid and semiarid regions (UNCCD, 1994). Desertification is a complex issue, taking on different forms and processes in different ecosystems (Warren, 2002; D'Odorico *et al.*, 2013). Its direct causes can be mainly ascribed to land mismanagement, such as overgrazing, deforestation, inappropriate use of irrigation, and non-conservative agriculture practices (Thomas & Middleton, 1994; Geist & Lambin, 2004; Geist, 2005) which are driven by underlying forces such as policy implementation, demand of national and international markets, and poverty (Geist & Lambin, 2004). Desertification and land degradation can take place in different climatic regions of the world (e.g., Symeonakis *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2014; Izzo *et al.*, 2014). Desertification is particularly contributing to the depletion of soil resources in arid

- 58 and semiarid rangelands, increasingly threatened by population growth and overexploitation
- 59 (Cerdà & Lavee, 1999; Reynolds & Stafford Smith, 2002; Bedunah & Angerer, 2012).
- 60 The actions to combat desertification and land degradation can be broadly classified as
- prevention, mitigation, and restoration interventions (Zucca et al., 2013a). The restoration
- actions often involve the improvement of vegetation cover through, for example, the
- 63 (re)introduction of adapted species, the control of invasive species, and reforestation. Soil and
- water conservation are examples of prevention and mitigation and may include a range of
- approaches, among which improved soil management (Zhao et al., 2013; Mcdonagh &
- 66 Semalulu, 2014). Many technical options are available to recover the productivity of the
- degraded rangelands, which are adapted to the different ecosystem conditions and local contexts
- 68 (King & Hobbs, 2006; Kinyua et al., 2009). They include passive (grazing exclosure; e.g.,
- 69 Gökbulak & Hizal, 2013), and active strategies, such as managed and rotational grazing, control
- of shrub encroachment (Fulbright, 1996), vegetation reseeding (Wiedemann, 1987) and planting
- of fodder shrubs and trees (Le Houérou, 2000).
- The development of analytical methods for evaluating the success of the actions to combat
- desertification is considered as crucial by the scientific community (Reynolds et al., 2007), and
- is actively promoted by the UNCCD. However, land degradation and restoration processes may
- 75 produce complex and contrasting effects on the different ecosystem services involved, making
- desertification assessment a challenging exercise (Sommer et al., 2011; Zucca et al., 2012).
- Although an increasing number of scientific articles was devoted to this subject, particularly in
- 78 dry rangeland areas (Mekuria & Aynekulu, 2011; Thomaz & Luiz, 2012; Roa-Fuentes et al.,
- 79 2013; Kröpfl et al., 2013), few studies aimed at assessing the effectiveness of the interventions
- 80 to combat desertification (e.g., De Pina Tavarez et al., 2014). The available assessments were in
- 81 many cases carried out in a non-integrated way, e.g., by neglecting the social and economic
- 82 implications. Furthermore, they were often done on a small plot scale, and large scale
- 83 monitoring was rarely performed.
- To conduct the evaluation over a wider area or region, the spatial observation tool, remote
- sensing, should be employed (Rango et al., 2002) as satellites can macroscopically and
- 86 periodically observe the rather large area or region of interest, and obtain multitemporal and
- 87 even time-series land surface information (Wu, 2009; Wu et al., 2013a). Thanks to these
- advantages, remote sensing has been widely applied to land characterization in dryland systems,
- 89 including land cover change, desertification monitoring, land degradation assessment, and
- analysis of the of land management policies. That was achieved by applying the thresholding-
- 91 and-differencing and classification techniques on albedo (Courel et al., 1984; Otterman &
- 92 Tucker, 1985), or vegetation indices (Malo & Nicholson, 1990; Tucker et al., 1991; Evans &

93	Gerken, 2004; Wu, 2009; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013b), taking the response of vegetation
94	to rainfall into account, or by spectral unmixing approach (Shoshany & Svoray, 2002; Hostert et
95	al., 2003) A number of studies have demonstrated the quantification of the photosynthetically
96	active herbaceous and shrub biomass production in rangelands and savannahs either by the
97	integrated spectral vegetation indices of the growing period (Tucker et al., 1985; Wessels et al.,
98	2007) or by the annual peak or maximum vegetation indices (Tucker et al., 1985; Wylie et al.,
99	1995; Bénié et al., 2005; Wu & De Pauw, 2010; Wu et al., 2013a, 2013b) through
100	coupling/modelling procedure. However, few remote sensing studies have focused on assessing
101	the effectiveness of human interventions, e.g., restoration/recovery through land management. It
102	is hence necessary to develop effective remote sensing-based approaches for such assessment in
103	a wider area, which can be, theoretically, undertaken by tracking the change in albedo or
104	greenness or change in biomass production. The latter is the direct indicator of land productivity
105	and is thus more relevant than the other indicators for this purpose.
106	This research targeted a specific type of rangeland rehabilitation intervention (fodder shrub
107	plantation) extensively carried out in Morocco to combat desertification. There, Atriplex
108	nummularia L. (Amaranthaceae; Oldman saltbush) plantations were widely implemented in
109	pilot sites, particularly in the Marrakech region since the 1990s. Such species, native to
110	Australia, was introduced in the northern Mediterranean area due to its resistance to aridity and
111	grazing, becoming the most important exotic shrub species utilized on a large scale to date in
112	the Mediterranean Basin (Le Houérou, 1992). It is palatable for livestock and provides a high
113	fodder production, green biomass for all-year grazing (Le Houérou, 1992).
114	The objective of this study was to develop a biomass-based remote sensing approach to assess
115	the dynamic performance and the effectiveness of those restoration interventions. Multitemporal
116	remote sensing data were obtained and field measurements were conducted for an integrated
117	analysis.
118	
119	STUDY AREA AND METHODS
120	
121 122	Study area  The study was conducted in pilot sites located in the Rural Municipalities of Ouled Dlim and
123	M'nabha (Marrakech region; Figure 1). The region is characterized by dry and hot summers
123	spanning from May to October. The average annual precipitation was 202 mm in Ouled Dlim
125	
125	and 222 mm in Marrakech in the period 1983-2012. The annual potential evapotranspiration (PET) calculated based on the FAO Penman-Monteith method (Allen <i>et al.</i> , 1998) is about
126	1590-1820 mm in Marrakech. The aridity index (P/PET) is between 0.098 and 0.166 ("arid"
	·
128	according to UNEP, 1997).

129 The central part of the area is characterized by the Jebilet relieves, where schist constitute the 130 main bedrock (Huvelin, 1970). Relieves are gently undulating. Soils are shallow and degraded 131 because of overgrazing and subsistence cropping. Although cereal harvesting (rainfed barley 132 and wheat) is carried out only in particularly rainy years, in some areas soil is ploughed almost 133 every year for fodder production. Sparse individuals of Ziziphus lotus L (Rhamnaceae), and rare 134 Acacia horrida L.(Mimosaceae), along with scattered shrub species typical of the degraded 135 pasturelands, such as *Peganum harmala* L. (Nitrariaceae), constitute the perennial vegetation 136 cover. 137 In the study area Atriplex plantations were established along linear furrows made through a 138 ripper. Plant density varies between around 1000 and 700 plants per ha (with somewhat regular 139 planting grids of, respectively, 3 m  $\times$ 3 m or 4.5 m  $\times$  3 m). The intervention were mainly funded 140 and carried out by the local administration with the participation of the local communities, 141 which were stimulated to create cooperative organization to better manage the planted land. 142 Typical plantation time is February-April. Plots are opened to grazing only at the end of 143 summer of the third year, to protect the earliest plant development phase. During the following 144 years the users are recommended to implement controlled grazing strategies. However, due to 145 poor enforcement and monitoring, grazing management may vary significantly across the 146 different beneficiary communities. 147 148 Atriplex biomass sampling 149 Field sampling and measurement were conducted in 10 plantation sites on 21-29 March 2012. 150 The Atriplex biomass of each site was determined in 5 plots including four to five plants (or less, 151 in case of missing plants) belonging to two plantation lines, and covering a surface of 50-70 m<sup>2</sup>, 152 depending on the planting density. The 5 plots were regularly located in a 2500 m<sup>2</sup> area (Figure 153 1). Plant size was measured by determination of the plant height and of two orthogonal plant 154 canopy maximum diameters (North-South and East-West). In consideration of the variability of 155 A. nummularia a plant shape was assigned to the plants: elliptic, spherical or hemispheric. The 156 canopy volume of the plants was calculated based on the recorded biometric data and to the 157 assigned plant shape. One plant per plot was sampled for fresh and dry biomass weight 158 determination. Data on fresh and dry biomass were used to calculate the mean quantity of 159 biomass per plot area, according to a procedure described in detail by Zucca et al. (2013b). 160 Overall, total fresh (wet) biomass (TFB; Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>), total dry biomass (TDB; Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and 161 canopy cover (CC; %) were determined in 49 plots. The centre location and the average biomass 162 of each plot were obtained and matched to the 10 m size pixels of SPOT images.

#### 164 Planted and non-planted polygons 165 Polygons were drawn by means of the ArcGIS software to delimitate relatively large and 166 homogeneous plantations sites, both around the field plots and in additional plantations areas. In 167 all cases, control polygons of similar size were drawn in the surrounding non-planted (control) 168 rangeland areas (Figure 1). Care was taken in order to ensure the comparability between 169 plantation and reference polygons in term of bedrock, landform, and soils, based on previous 170 studies (Zucca & Previtali, 2007; Zucca et al., 2011) and on the visual interpretation of the 171 remote sensing (RS) data. 172 The herbaceous cover was estimated along 50-m long linear transects in both the planted and 173 non-planted sites. The canopy cover of the woody wild shrubs (Ziziphus lotus) was visually 174 estimated for the non-planted sites based on high resolution satellite images. 175 176 Satellite imagery and rainfall data. 177 Three multitemporal SPOT 5 images including both multispectral (10 m resolution) and 178 panchromatic fused (sharpened) bundles (XS1, XS2 and XS3 with resolution of 2.5 m) dated 06 March 2004, 11 March 2008 and 27 February 2012 were obtained (Table S1). The period 179 180 February-March represents the peak greenness of the herbaceous vegetation. Monthly rainfall 181 data in the period 1983-2012 were obtained from the station of Ouled Dlim, in the study site, 182 and daily and monthly data from the stations of Marrakech, Safi, Essaouira, Kasba-Tadla, Beni 183 Mellal, and Nouasseur in the surrounding region. Annual rainfall data for the Ouled Dlim 184 station are shown in Figure 2. 185 186 **Image processing** 187 188 Atmospheric correction 189 The numerical level (or digital number) of each band (XS) of all SPOT 5 images was first 190 converted into at-satellite radiance $(L_k)$ in line with the instruction of CNES (2012) by the 191 following equation (Eq. 1): 192 $X_k = A_k G_{mk} L_k$ or $L_k = X_k / A_k G_{mk}$ (1) 193 where $L_k$ is at-satellite spectral radiance of band k (W m<sup>-2</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup> $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup>), $X_k$ is the numerical level (or 194 digital number); $A_k$ is the absolute calibration coefficient (W<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>2</sup> sr $\mu$ m) and $G_{mk}$ is the 195 electronic gain of band k. The combined $A_kG_{mk}$ can be found for each band in the head file of 196 images (Table S1). Then the FLAASH (Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral

Hypercubes) Model (Perkins et al., 2005), which allows to correct both additive and

198	multiplicative atmospheric effects, was applied to conduct atmospheric correction and to							
199	convert at-satellite radiance into ground reflectance.							
200								
201	Conversion of multispectral vegetation indices							
202	Many vegetation indices (VIs) have been developed in the past decades. Those suitable for							
203	SPOT 5 images are mostly 2-band VIs such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index							
204	(NDVI) proposed by Rouse et al. (1973) and extended by Tucker (1979), the Simple Ratio							
205	index (SR; Birth & McVey; 1968), the Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI; Huete, 1988),							
206	the Optimized Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (OSAVI; Rondeaux et al., 1996), the 2-band							
207	Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI2; Jiang et al., 2008), and the Normalized Difference Infrared							
208	Index (NDII; Hardisky et al., 1983), among the others. These VIs were transformed from the							
209	atmospherically corrected SPOT 5 images. Furthermore, a new vegetation index, the							
210	Generalized Difference Vegetation Index (GDVI; Wu, 2014) with power number of 2, 3 and 4							
211	was tested as it is considered to be sensitive to low vegetal biomes and to amplify the dynamic							
212	range of the vegetal information in dryland areas (Wu, 2014). The formulae of the tested							
213	vegetation indices are illustrated in Table 1.							
214	Although the acquired panchromatic fused bundles have higher resolution (2.5 m), during							
215	fusion or sharpening the original pixel values were changed. These images are suitable for							
216	visual interpretation or classification but not appropriate for biophysical spectral analysis							
217	including vegetation indices. That's why only the images with ground resolution of 10 m were							
218	used for this study.							
219								
220	Spatial analysis							
221	To understand the performance of the shrub plantations (Atriplex) in space and time, a cost-							
222	effective way is to couple the field measurements with the greenness indicators derived from							
223	satellite imagery, such as the vegetation indices. The procedure adopted in this study consisted							
224	of the following steps:							
225	Grouping the field measurements							
226	Field measurements were undertaken in plantations of different age, and can be combined into							
227	three groups:							
228	• Young plantations (2006/2007) with moderate biomass development (YA-1), 10 plots;							
229	• Young plantations (2006/2007) with good biomass production (YA-2), 25 plots; and							
230	• Old plantations (2000/2002) with mature biomass development (MA), 14 plots.							

Interpolation of the climate data

- Annual rainfall and four months' rainfall (4MRF) prior to image acquisition, i.e. November to
- 233 February of 2003/2004, 2007/2008 and 2011/2012 from seven weather stations, were
- 234 interpolated using the Kriging approach, assuming that vegetation greenness in images was
- related to the cumulative contribution of rainfall in the 3-4 antecedent months before image
- acquisition.
- 237 Extraction of vegetation indices (VIs) and rainfall (4MRF) data
- The field measurement plots were spatially overlaid to the vegetation indices and to the
- interpolated rainfall data, and the values of NDVI, SAVI, EVI2, GDVI^2, GDVI^3, GDVI^4,
- NDII, and 4MRF corresponding to the location of each sampling plot were extracted.
- 241 Correlation analysis and multiple linear regression modelling
- 242 A Pearson correlation analysis was applied to understand the relationship between the shrub
- biomass (TFB and TDB) and CC, the VIs, and the 4MRF calculated for 2012.
- Multiple linear regressions modelling at a confidence level of 95% was then performed to
- couple the shrub biomass with the VIs, or with the combination of VIs and 4MRF data, to
- 246 construct biomass models.
- 247 Then, a test against the field measurement data was made to compare the relevance of the
- 248 different models in terms of shrub biomass prediction. The most relevant one was selected for
- 249 the successive shrub biomass characterization.
- 250 Selection of the herbaceous biomass models
- Among the considered herbaceous biomass models, that of Devineau et al. (1986; Eq. 2) was
- selected. This model was developed using the annual maximum or peak NDVI (Tucker et al.,
- 253 1985; Wu et al., 2013a). Our case is similar, since we are employing images acquired in the
- period February-March, representing the peak greenness of the herbaceous vegetation. The
- 255 model equation is reported below:

256 
$$B_H = 0.00216 (100*NDVI)^{1.7} (Mg ha^{-1})$$
 (2)

- where  $B_H$  is dry herbaceous biomass.
- 258 Estimation of shrub and herbaceous biomass in the planted and non-planted (rangeland) sites
- 259 The selected shrub biomass model was applied to the VIs of 2004, 2008 and 2012 to estimate
- the dry shrub biomass production (TDB, including both wood and leave) in the planted and non-
- planted sites. Then, Eq.2 was applied to NDVI to acquire the herbaceous biomass in both
- 262 planted and non-planted sites based on the estimated natural vegetation cover data. For the
- 263 plantations where field herbaceous vegetation cover measurement was not covered, an average
- of 14.1% calculated from the measured plantation plots was used.
- 265 Biomass weighting and combination

266	Biomass weighting (Wu et al., 2013a) was conducted based on the shrub and herbaceous cover
267	in each planted and non-planted site. Taking a plantation polygon as an example, if the Atriplex
268	and herbaceous covers are respectively 80% and 14.1%, we consider that the total biomass of a
269	pixel in the given polygon is contributed respectively from the shrubs in 80% of the pixel and
270	from the annual herbs in 14.1% of the pixel area. The combined dry biomass of the given pixel
271	in the plantation polygon is calculated as $TDB*80\% + B_H*14.1\%$ . Similarly, for any pixel in the
272	non-plantation polygon, if its natural shrub cover is 1%, the combined biomass would be
273	$TDB*1\% + B_H*99\%.$
274	Total combined dry biomass comparison between planted and non-planted sites
275	After weighting and combination, the mean dry biomass production at polygon level was
276	extracted and a comparison was conducted between plantations and rangelands to understand
277	the shrub growing performance and the effectiveness of the interventions to combat
278	desertification.
279	
280	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
281	Correlation coefficients
282	Correlation analysis illustrates that all the observed VIs except for NDII are strongly correlated
283	with each other (Table 2). This correlation indicates that the major information carried by
284	different VIs derived from the combinations of near infrared (NIR) and red (R) bands is more or
285	less the same and VIs should be selectively input for biomass model development.
286	Table 3 shows that both total fresh and dry biomass, at hectare-level, are strongly correlated
287	with CC, VIs and 4MRF in mature plantations (MA), moderately correlated in young
288	plantations with good biomass development (YA-2), and weakly correlated in young plantations
289	with moderate biomass development (YA-1). TFB seems better correlated with VIs than TDB,
290	and SAVI is the best shrub biomass indicator followed by EVI2 in this case study, probably
291	because both SAVI and EVI2 have taken soil influence into account. In order to better
292	understand this aspect, the scattering of the data points for the different VIs vs. TFB and CC are
293	presented in Figure 2.
294	Surprisingly, the measured biomass of 2012 is also highly correlated with VIs of 2004 (Table 3)
295	Two reasons most likely concur to explain this phenomenon: one is that Atriplex shrub planted
296	in 2000 had already developed a considerable biomass in 2004, as confirmed by local breeders;
297	the other is that, due to higher rainfall, there might be more herbaceous vegetation mixed with
298	Atriplex in spring 2004 (also see subsection 3.4).
299	

Remote sensing shrub biomass models

- 301 Multiple linear regression analysis allowed us to obtain two sets of biomass models for mature
- 302 plantations (MA): VI-based and VI-4MRF-based (Tables 4 and 5). To evaluate which of the two
- sets of TDB models is more relevant for shrub biomass characterization, they were respectively
- applied back to SAVI, NDII of 2012, and 4MRF of 2011/2012 to predict shrub dry biomass.
- 305 TDB-CC-4MRF and TDB-4MRF biomass models, despite of their high multiple R<sup>2</sup> values,
- 306 have a clear over- and underestimation due to their heavy dependence on rainfall (Table 5),
- which is completely dominated by the distribution density of weather stations.
- 308 As for the VI-based models, TFB seems slightly better correlated with VIs than TDB (Table 4).
- 309 However, the TDB-VI models directly predict dry shrub biomass, of which the results look
- better than those of the TFB-VI model when compared with the field measured data.
- For the set of VI-based biomass models (Table 4), the evaluation of the results performed using
- regression analysis reveals that the TDB-VIs model has higher accuracy ( $R^2 = 0.855$ ) than the
- TDB-CC-VIs model ( $R^2 = 0.741$ ) against ground measured biomass. Thus, the TDB-VIs model
- is more pertinent for predicting shrub biomass.

## Biomass growing performance in plantations and rangelands

- The polygon-level shrub-herb combined mean dry biomass of the years 2008 and 2012 for both
- 318 the planted and non-planted sites are shown in Table 6. The pixel-based combined mean dry
- biomass (MDB) of both plantations and non-planted rangeland for 2012, taken as an example, is
- presented in Figure 3.
- Table 6 shows that the difference in the combined biomass production between plantations and
- rangelands is strong as the observed maxima reach respectively 6.57 and 0.25 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2008,
- and 7.92 and 0.33 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2012 in the planted and non-planted areas.
- 324 The biomass values in rangelands are generally low, reflecting a situation of widespread
- overgrazing (Figure 4a). An average increases from 0.12 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2008 to 0.22 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in
- 326 2012 (Table 6) can be observed. Although the two years show similar rainfall conditions (Figure
- 327 3), 2008 is the second year of a longer drought period. According to the information collected in
- 328 the field, these conditions caused more intense and widespread overgrazing in 2008 compared to
- 329 2012. In 2012 the observed absolute rangeland productivity values range from 0.13 and 0.33 Mg
- ha<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding, respectively, to the very degraded land of site 11 (Chehibat), and to the
- more productive soils of site 16 (in M'nabha).
- The planted polygons show more complex behaviour (Table 6). If the youngest plantations are
- considered (those planted in 2007), the 2008 biomass values mainly depend on the recovery of
- the natural vegetation cover during the grazing exclusion period. The values range between 0.68
- and 2.00 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. They can be much higher compared to the surrounding non-planted land,

reflecting the different rangeland resilience. The 2012 data, ranging from 1.60 to 3.95 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 336 337 represent the biomass production of a 5 years old plantation. At this age the plantation is in full 338 production, since in the study area Atriplex plants reach their maximum green biomass 339 production at an age of 4 to 7 years. Due to the effect of grazing and aridity, the herbaceous 340 component of the observed biomass is much lower compared to the shrub one. So, the observed 341 values mostly reflect the different plant development, which is related to both the site fertility 342 and the management quality. Although the local authorities recommend grazing the plantations 343 only after the end of the herb growing season, this indication is often not respected. Site 20 is an 344 example of plantation implemented on harsh land conditions, where the mortality rate is high, 345 and the plant development limited (Figure 4b). On the other hand, less unfavourable and better 346 managed polygons such as site 28 (Figure 4c) show a considerable biomass production. 347 Two sites planted in 2006 (16 and 33) already show high values in 2008 (6.57 and 4.86 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 348 just before the end of the grazing exclusion period, due to the combined effect of fast plant 349 development and high herbaceous cover. These sites are located in very gently sloping areas and 350 are the most productive of the study area. Site 16 is characterized by a thick, very hard, shallow 351 calcareous crust, but after breaking by the ripper, the plant roots can easily reach the underlying 352 deep and nutrient-rich layers. Site 33 has a relatively deep, clayey and fertile soil, formerly 353 cropped for cereals and moderately affected by channelled water erosion. These two sites also 354 show the highest biomass production in 2012, particularly site 16, where a prominent plant 355 development compensates the herbs removal operated by grazing (Figure 4d). 356 Concerning the other 2006 polygons, sites 8 and 10 show good biomass values in 2012, and a 357 relatively regular increase between 2008 and 2012. Site 32 is an exception, characterized by 358 weaker development in both years. 359 Site 2 (2005) shows particularly low biomass values in 2008 (0.52 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to 2012 360 (2.03 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>). This is a documented case of mismanagement, where early grazing was carried 361 out during the 2007 drought, before the end of the grazing exclusion period, with severe 362 consequences on the plant development (Figure 4e). In the same period, the neighbouring site 1 363 was correctly managed (Figure 4f). The other 2005 site (14), along with the 2004 site (31), show 364 little or no increase between 2008 and 2012. 365 When the Atriplex plants are about 10 years old, they become senescent, produce less biomass, 366 and their woody fraction increases consistently. In fact, the highest average increase in biomass 367 from 2008 to 2012 was observed for the 2006 plantations (1.69 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>). For this reason, to 368 better record the plant life cycle curve, the 2004 image was also processed for the oldest 369 plantation polygons (sites 0, 3, 34, and 11). As discussed in the methods section, the biomass 370 calculation for that year is less accurate.

) / 1	The 2002 site (11) shows a high biomass value in 2004 (4.55 Mg ha ), a performance
372	comparable to the second best site of 2006 (33). Although the soil fertility of site 11 is lower,
373	rainfall conditions during the two years of grazing exclusion were favourable (236 and 283 mm
374	compared to an average of 202 mm). During the following years site 11 was subjected to intense
375	grazing by the local breeders, particularly during the mentioned 2007-2008 drought period. The
376	behaviour of site 3 (planted in 2000) is similar, but with stronger decrease in 2008, and lower
377	recovery in 2012, associated to advanced senescence conditions (Figure 4g).
378	Site 34 constitutes an extraordinary success case. Here, due to favourable soil and rainfall
379	conditions, and careful management by the exploiting breeders, an exceptional biomass
380	production (12.66 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) was observed in 2004 (Figure 4h), which continues to be high in
381	2008 and 2012, in spite of the plant age.
382	Finally, site 0 constitutes a unique exception. It is the oldest one, and has been subjected to new
383	extensive planting works during the years 2006-2010. Old, dying plants were uprooted, and new
384	seedlings were conducted, explaining the high value observed in 2012 (6.52 Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ).
385	
386	Benefits generated by the intervention to combat desertification
387	The objective of the rangeland rehabilitation interventions by means of A. nummularia
388	plantations was twofold: mitigating land degradation and generating income for the local
389	communities.
390	Previous studies analyzed some of the ecological impacts of these plantations. Zucca et al.
391	(2011) measured a significant increase in topsoil organic carbon under canopy (+32%),
392	contrasted by a larger increase in sodium adsorption ratio (+139%). Zucca et al. (2013b)
393	considered the ecological functions of the landscape by means of the landscape function
394	analysis (LFA) approach, observing that the young and well developed plantations have the
395	stronger impacts on all the LFA indices, although these effects are mostly linked to the localized
396	synergistic action of the plant-furrow association.
397	No study has been undertaken so far to quantify the economic benefits obtained by the local
398	communities. This research, by means of the areal quantification of the fodder biomass provided
399	by Atriplex plots, could provide a proxy estimation.
400	Although the multitemporal analysis was mostly based on two images only, the high number of
401	well documented plantation sites allowed for a detailed interpretation of the results obtained.
102	Furthermore, by using images related to two dry years (2008 and 2012), characterized by
403	relatively low herbaceous cover, it was possible to emphasize the contribution given by the
104	fodder shrubs to the overall dry biomass production.

405 On average, in 2008 the plantation sites produced 2.21 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of dry biomass more than the 406 rangelands (Table 6). This difference became 3.61 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2012. Well managed plantations, 407 without early and overgrazing, yielded greater differences, up to 7 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. These values are not 408 negligible, considering that, when the plantations are opened to grazing, the majority of the 409 combined dry biomass is constituted by the Atriplex shrubs. However, the woody fraction of the 410 shrubs (stem and branches), which is not available to sheep grazing, accounts for 50 to 95% of 411 the total dry biomass (Zucca et al., 2013b). It increases with the plant age and is influenced by 412 the site and management conditions. Poorly developed plantations, such as sites 20 and 25, 413 which produced only around 1.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> more than the surrounding rangelands in 2012, can 414 provide a significant fodder contribution only when the woody fraction is still low. When this 415 value is high, as for sites 3 and 11 (respectively 90% and 95% in 2012; Zucca et al., 2013b), 416 only very well developed plantations can still constitute a fodder resource. 417 On the other hand, considering an average woody fraction of 75%, several "good" plots showed 418 important yearly production of green biomass, around 1 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> on average, and up to 2. This 419 production level can be maintained for more or less 5 years, from the end of the grazing 420 exclusion to the shrub senescence phase. This amount must be considered as a potential 421 availability, because part of the fresh biomass is not reached by the grazing animals due to the 422 height of the branches. In order to estimate the corresponding economic value, the experts of the 423 local DPA (Direction Provincial de l'Agriculture; personal communications) applied the price 424 of a standard barley dose (3 Dirham/kg), after multiplying the dry green Atriplex biomass by a 425 factor of 0.45. So, 1 Mg ha-1 would correspond to a monetary value of 1350 Dirham/ha, or 426 around 120 Euro/ha of potential income increase. However, the cost of the plantation 427 establishment is around 4500 Dirham/ha, or 405 Euro/ha. Furthermore, the community loses 428 income during the grazing exclusion period. Most likely, only in the case of the well developed 429 /well managed plantations (whose production is above the average performance) the economic 430 benefits are higher than the costs. The uncertain economic performance could be compensated 431 by the positive ecological benefits arising from the interventions. However, this would require a 432 long term land management strategy to keep the restored land under protection (either by new 433 plantations at the end of the plant life cycle, or by grazing control). Otherwise, overgrazing "as 434 usual" would soon degrade the land again.

435436

437

438

#### CONCLUSIONS

The remote sensing-based diachronic assessment of the areal biomass production of the studied interventions to combat desertification was achieved with the following conclusions.

439	Among the observed 2-band vegetation indices, SAVI was the most representative indicator of
440	the Atriplex nummularia biomass production in large areas. The investigated biomass
441	production dynamics were driven by the combined effects of soil and land conditions and
442	grazing management, along the life cycle curve of the plant. Although the plant life cycle is
443	short, well developed and well managed plantations could provide important fodder resources
444	for several years, e.g. with an annual production of 2.2-7.5 Mg ha-1 more than the surrounding
445	rangelands, compensating the economic cost of the intervention. However, the plantation
446	performance in several cases was not sustainable, mainly due to poor management, for example
447	early and/or over grazing. The research confirmed that management is a critical factor for the
448	success of these restoration practices. To preserve the temporary ecological benefits generated
449	by the interventions beyond the plantation life cycle, an effective long term strategy would be
450	needed. Furthermore, a more comprehensive social and micro-economic analysis would be
451	suggested to perform a thorough cost-benefit analysis of the interventions in future.
452	
453	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
454	Research supported by the projects C.N.E.S-ISIS552 "ARDE" and the EC-FP7 PRACTICE
455	(Grant 226818). The authors are indebted to the Direction Provinciale de l'Agriculture of
456	Marrakech, particularly to M. Mortaji, for the fundamental technical support. The authors are
457	grateful to M. Pulido-Fernandez and F. Fava for helpful discussion and support in field data
458	collection.
459	
460	REFERENCES
461	Allen RG, Pereira LS, Raes D, Smith M 1998. Crop evapotranspiration - Guidelines for
462	computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. FAO, Rome.
463	Bedunah DJ, Angerer JP 2012. Rangeland Degradation, Poverty, and Conflict: How Can
464	Rangeland Scientists Contribute to Effective Responses and Solutions? Rangeland Ecology
465	& Management 65: 606-612. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2111/REM-D-11-00155.1.
466	Bénié GB, Kaboré SS, Goita K, Courel MF 2005. Remote sensing-based spatio-temporal
467	modeling to predict biomass in Sahelian grazing ecosystem. Ecological Modelling 184: 341-
468	354. DOI:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.10.012.
469	Birth GS, McVey GR 1968. Measuring the Color of Growing Turf with a Reflectance
470	Spectrophotometer. Agronomy Journal 60: 640-643.
471	Cerdà A, Lavee H 1999. The effect of grazing on soil and water losses under arid and
472	mediterranean climates. Implications for desertification. Pirineos 153-154: 159-174.

- 473 CNES 2012. SPOT image quality performance. Document No. C443-Nt-0-296-CN. Centre
- National d'Etudes Spatiales. http://www2.astrium-
- geo.com/files/pmedia/public/r438\_9\_spot\_quality\_performances\_2013.pdf (accessed in Sep.
- 476 2013).
- 477 Courel MF, Kandel RS, Rasool SI 1984. Surface albedo and the Sahel drought. *Nature* **307**:
- 478 528–531.
- 479 De Pina Tayares J, Ferreira AJD, Reis EA, Baptista I, Amoros R, Costa L, Furtado AM, Coelho
- 480 C 2014. Appraising and selecting strategies to combat and mitigate desertification based on
- stakeholder knowledge and global best practices in Cape Verde Archipielago. Land
- Degradation & Development **25:** 45-57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2273.
- Devineau JL, Fournier A, Lamachere JM 1986. Programme d'evaluation preliminaire SPOT.
- PEPS No. 149 SPOT Oursi. Centre ORSTOM de Ouagadougou, 52 pp.
- 485 D'Odorico P, Bhattachan A, Davis KF, Ravi S, Runyan CW, 2013. Global desertification:
- Drivers and feedbacks. *Advances in Water Resources* **51**: 326–344. DOI:
- 487 10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.01.013.
- Evans J, Geerken R 2004. Discrimination between climate and human-induced dryland
- degradation. Journal of Arid Environment 57: 535-554. DOI:10.1016/S0140-
- 490 1963(03)00121-6.
- 491 Fulbright TE 1996. Viewpoint: A theoretical basis for planning woody plant control to maintain
- species diversity. *Journal of Range Management* **49**: 554-559.
- 493 Geist HJ 2005. The Causes and Progression of Desertification. Ashgate Publishing Limited,
- 494 Aldershot, England.
- 495 Geist HJ, Lambin EF 2004. Dynamic Causal Patterns of Desertification. *BioScience* **54**: 817–
- 496 829. DOI: 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0817:DCPOD]2.0.CO;2.
- 497 Gökbulak, F, Hizal, A 2013. Exclosure effects on recovery of selected soil properties in a mixed
- bradleaf recreation site. *Land Degradation & Development* **24**: 266- 276. DOI:
- 499 10.1002/ldr.1123.
- Hardisky MA, Klemas V, Smart RM 1983. The influences of soil salinity, growth form, and leaf
- moisture on the spectral reflectance of Spartina alterniflora canopies. *Photogrammetric*
- 502 Engineering & Remote Sensing 49: 77-83.
- Hostert P, Roder A, Hill J 2003. Coupling spectral unmixing and trend analysis for monitoring
- of long-term vegetation dynamics in Mediterranean rangelands. Remote Sensing of
- 505 Environment **87**: 183 197. DOI: 10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00145-7.
- Huete AR 1988. A soil adjusted vegetation index (SAVI). Remote Sensing of Environment 25:
- 507 295–309.

- 508 Huvelin P 1970. Carte géologique et gîtologique des Jebilet 1:200000. Service Géologique du
- 509 Maroc.
- 510 Izzo M, Araujo N, Aucelli PPC, Maratea A, Sánchez A 2013. Land sensitivity to Desertification
- in the Dominican Republic: an adaptation of the ESA methodology. Land Degradation &
- Development **24:** 486-498. DOI 10.1002/ldr.2241.
- Jiang Z, Huete AR, Didan K, Miura T 2008. Development of a two-band enhanced vegetation
- 514 index without a blue band. Remote Sensing of Environment 112: 3833–3845.
- 515 DOI:10.1016/j.rse.2008.06.006.
- King EG, Hobbs RJ 2006. Identifying linkages among conceptual models of ecosystem
- degradation and restoration: towards an integrative framework. *Restoration Ecology* **14**:
- 518 369–378. DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-100X.2006.00145.x.
- Kinyua D, McGeoch LE, Georgiadis N, Young TP 2010. Short-Term and Long-Term Effects of
- Soil Ripping, Seeding, and Fertilization on the Restoration of a Tropical Rangeland.
- *Restoration Ecology* **18**, 226-233. DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-100X.2009.00594.x.
- 522 Kröpfl AI, Cecchi GA, Villasuso NM, Distel RA 2013. Degradation and recovery processes in
- semi-arid patchy rangelands of northern Patagonia, Argentina. Land Degradation &
- 524 Development **24**: 393–399. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1145.
- Le Houérou HN 1992. The role of salt bushes (Atriplex spp.) in arid land rehabilitation in the
- Mediterranean basin: a review. *Agroforestry Systems* **18**: 107-148.
- Le Houérou HN 2000. Use of fodder trees and shrubs (trubs) in the arid and semi-arid zones of
- West Asia and North Africa: history and perspectives. In: Gintzburger G, Bounejmate M,
- Nefzaoui N (Eds), Fodder Shrub Development in Arid and Semi-arid Zones, Proceedings of
- the Workshop on Native and Exotic Fodder Shrubs in Arid and Semi-arid Zones, ICARDA,
- 531 Aleppo, Vol. I: 9-53.
- Malo AR, Nicholson SE 1990. A study of rainfall and vegetation dynamics in the African Sahel
- using normalized difference vegetation index. *Journal of Arid Environment* **19:** 1–24.
- Mcdonagh J, Lu Y, Semalulu O. 2014. Adoption and adaptation of improved soil management
- practices in the Eastern Ugandan Hills. *Land Degradation & Development* **25:** 58-70.
- 536 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ldr.1143.
- 537 Mekuria W, Aynekulu E 2011. Exclosure land management for restoration of the soils in
- degraded communal grazing lands in northern Ethiopia. Land Degradation and Development,
- 539 DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1146.
- 540 Otterman J, Tucker CJ 1985. Satellite measurements of surface albedo and temperatures in
- semi-desert. Journal of Applied Meteorology **24**: 228–234.

- Perkins T, Adler-Golden S, Matthew M, Berk A, Anderson G, Gardner J, Felde G 2005.
- Retrieval of atmospheric properties from hyper and multispectral imagery with the FLAASH
- atmospheric correction algorithm, In: Schäfer K, Comerón AT, Slusser JR, Picard RH,
- Carleer MR, Sifakis N (Eds), Remote Sensing of Clouds and the Atmosphere X, Proceedings
- 546 of SPIE, Vol. 5979.
- Rango A, Goslee J, Herrick J, Chopping M, Haystad K, Huenneke L, Gibbens R, Beck R,
- McNeely R 2002. Remote sensing documentation of historic rangeland remediation
- treatments in southern New Mexico. *Journal of Arid Environments* **50**: 549 -572.
- Reynolds JF, Stafford Smith DM 2002. Do humans cause deserts? In: Reynolds JF, Stafford
- 551 Smith DM (Eds), Global Desertification. Do Humans Cause Deserts?, Dahlem Workshop
- Series, Dahlem University Press, Berlin, Vol. 88: 1–21.
- Reynolds JF, Stafford Smith DM, Lambin EF, Turner BL, Mortimore M, Batterbury SPJ,
- Downing TE, Dowlatabadi H, Fernández RJ, Herrick JE, Huber-Sannvald E, Leemans R,
- Lynam T, Maestre FT, Ayarza M, Walker B 2007. Global desertification: Building a science
- for dryland development. *Science* **316**: 847–851. DOI:10.1126/science.1131634.
- Roa-Fuentes LL, Martínez-Garza C, Etchevers J, Campo J 2013. Recovery of soil C and N in a
- tropical pasture: passive and active restoration. Land Degradation & Development. DOI:
- 559 10.1002/ldr.2197.
- Rondeaux G, Steven M, Baret F 1996. Optimization of Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index. *Remote*
- *Sensing of Environment* **55**: 95-107.
- Rouse JW, Haas RH, Schell JA, Deering DW 1973. Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great
- plains with ERTS. In: Proceedings of the Third ERTS-1 Symposium, NASA SP-351(1):
- 564 309-317.
- 565 Shoshany M, Svoray T 2002. Multidate adaptive unmixing and its application to analysis of
- ecosystem transitions along a climatic gradient. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **82**: 5–20.
- 567 DOI: 10.1016/S0034-4257(01)00346-7.
- 568 Symeonakis E, Karathanasis N, Koukoulas S, Panagopoulos G 2014. Monitoring sensitivity to
- land degradation and desertification with the environmentally sensitive area index: the case
- 570 of Lesvos island. Land Degradation & Development. Article first published online: 3 APR
- 571 2014. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2285.
- 572 Sommer S, Zucca C, Grainger A, Cherlet M, Zougmore R, Sokona Y, Hill J, Della Peruta R,
- Roehrig J, Wang G 2011. Application of indicator systems for monitoring and assessment of
- desertification from national to global scales. Land Degradation & Development 22: 184–
- 575 197. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1084.

- 576 Thomas DSG, Middleton NJ 1994. Desertification; Exploding the Myth. John Wiley & Sons,
- 577 Chichester.
- 578 Thomaz EL, Luiz JC 2012. Soil loss, soil degradation and rehabilitation in a degraded land area
- in Guarapuava (Brazil). Land Degradation & Development 23: 72–81. DOI:
- 580 10.1002/ldr.1052.
- Tucker CJ 1979. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation.
- *Remote Sensing of Environment* **8**: 127-150.
- Tucker CJ, Vanpraet CL, Sharman MJ, Van Ittersum G 1985. Satellite remote sensing of total
- herbaceous biomass production in the Senegalese Sahel: 1980-1984, Remote Sensing of
- 585 Environment 17: 232-249.
- Tucker CJ, Dregne HE, Newcomb WW 1991. Expansion and contraction of Sahara Desert from
- 587 1980 to 1990. Science **253**: 299-301.
- 588 UNCCD 1994. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification in those Countries
- Experiencing Serious Drought and/or Desertification, Particularly in Africa. UNEP, Geneva.
- 590 UNEP 1997. World atlas of desertification. 2ED. UNEP, London.
- Wang T, Yan CZ, Song X, Li S 2013. Landsat images reveal trends in the aeolian
- desertification in a source area for sand and dust storms in China's Alashan plateau (1975–
- 593 2007). *Land Degradation & Development*. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1138.
- Warren A 2002. Desertification is contextual. Land Degradation and Development 13: 449-
- 595 459. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.532.
- 596 Wessels KJ, Prince SD, Malherebe J, Small J, Frost PE 2007. Can human-induced land
- degradation be distinguished from the effects of rainfall variability? A case study in South
- 598 Africa. *Journal of Arid Environments* **68**: 271-297. DOI:10.1016/j.jaridenv.2006.05.015.
- 599 Wiedemann HT 1987. Engineering seeding systems for restoration of rangelands. In Frasier
- 600 GW, Evans RA, (Eds), Proceedings of the Symposium "Seed and seedbed ecology of
- rangeland plants", USDA-ARS, Tucson, 292 -298.
- Wu W 2009. Monitoring land degradation in drylands by remote sensing. In: Marini, A. and
- Talbi, M. (Eds.), Desertification and Risk Analysis Using High and Medium Resolution
- Satellite Data, Springer, pp.157-169.
- 605 Wu W, De Pauw E 2010. Policy impacts on land degradation: Evidence revealed by remote
- sensing in Western Ordos, China. In: Zdruli P et al. (Eds.), Land Degradation and
- Desertification: Assessment, Mitigation and Remediation, Springer Science, pp.219-232.
- Wu W, De Pauw E, Hellden U 2013a. Assessing woody biomass in African tropical savannahs
- by multiscale remote sensing. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **34**: 4525-4529.
- 610 DOI:10.1080/01431161.2013.777487.

611 Wu W, De Pauw E, Zucca C 2013b. Using remote sensing to assess impacts of land 612 management policies in the Ordos rangelands in China. International Journal of Digital 613 Earth 6 (Supplement 2): 81-102. DOI:10.1080/17538947.2013.825656. 614 Wu W 2014. The Generalized Difference Vegetation Index (GDVI) for dryland characterization. 615 Remote Sensing **6:** 1211-1233. DOI:10.3390/rs6021211. 616 Wylie BK, Dendra I, Piper RD, Harrington JA, Reed BC, Southward GM 1995: Satellite-based 617 herbaceous biomass estimates in the pastoral zone of Niger. Journal of Range Management 618 **48**: 159-164. 619 Zhao G, Mu X, Wen Z, Wang F, Gao P 2013. Soil erosion, conservation, and Eco-environment 620 changes in the Loess Plateau of China. Land Degradation & Development 24: 499-510. DOI 621 10.1002/ldr.2246. 622 Zucca C, Previtali F 2007. Sols et Paysages de la zone d'étude du projet SMAP dans la 623 Commune Rurale d'Ouled Dlim, Marrakech (Maroc). In Bellavite D, Zucca C, Belkheiri O, 624 Saidi H [Eds]. Etudes techniques et scientifiques à l'appui de l'implémentation du projet 625 démonstratif SMAP de Lutte Contre la Désertification. NRD, Université de Sassari, Italie. 626 Zucca C, Julitta F, Previtali F 2011. Land restoration by fodder shrubs in a semi-arid agro-627 pastoral area of Morocco. Effects on soils. Catena 87: 306-312. 628 DOI:10.1016/j.catena.2011.06.017. 629 Zucca C, Della Peruta R, Salvia R, Sommer S, Cherlet M, 2012. Towards a World 630 Desertification Atlas. Relating and selecting indicators and datasets to represent complex 631 issues. Ecological Indicators 15: 157-170. DOI:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.09.012.

632

633

638

Actions on Soil and Landscape Functions: Atriplex nummularia L. plantations in Ouled Dlim

Zucca C, Bautista S, Orr BJ, Previtali F 2013a. Desertification: Prevention and Restoration. In:

Jorgensen S.E. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Environmental Management. Taylor & Francis, New

637 (Central Morocco). *Soil & Tillage Research* **133**: 101-110. DOI:10.1016/j.still.2013.04.002.

Table 1: Vegetation indices relevant for SPOT 5 images and used in this study

Index	Full Name	Formula	References
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index	$(\rho_{NIR}-\rho_R)/(\rho_{NIR}+\rho_R)$ $\rho_{NIR} \text{ and } \rho_R \text{ are respectively reflectance of the}$ near infrared (NIR) and red (R) bands	Rouse <i>et al</i> . (1973) and Tucker (1979)
SR	Simple Ratio Index	$ ho_{NIR}/ ho_{R}$	Birth & McVey (1968)
SAVI	Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index	$(1+L)(\rho_{NIR}-\rho_R)/(\rho_{NIR}+\rho_R+L)$ Low vegetation, $L=1$ , intermediate, $L=0.5$ , and high $L=0.25$	Huete (1988)
NDII	Normalized Difference Infrared Index	$(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{MIR})/(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{MIR})$ $\rho_{MIR}$ is the reflectance of the middle infrared band (e.g. TM band 5 or SPOT 5 XS 4)	Hardisky <i>et al.</i> (1983)
OSAVI	Optimized Soil- Adjusted Vegetation Index	$(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_R)/(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_R + 0.16)$	Rondeaux <i>et al.</i> (1996)
EVI2	Enhanced Vegetation Index 2	$2.5(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_R)/(\rho_{NIR} + 2.4\rho_R + L)$ L = 1	Jiang et al. (2008)
GDVI	Generalized Difference Vegetation Index	$(\rho_{NIR}^n - \rho_R^n)/(\rho_{NIR}^n + \rho_R^n)$ <i>n</i> is the power number, a non-zero integer of the values of 1, 2, 3, 4 <i>n</i> .	Wu (2014)

Table 2: Pearson correlation matrix of all vegetation indices (VIs) of 2012 in the mature (MA) plantations.

	NDVI	SAVI	OSAVI	EVI2	GDVI^2	GDVI^3	GDVI^4	NDII
NDVI	1.000							
SAVI	0.975	1.000						
OSAVI	0.997	0.990	1.000					
EVI2	0.984	0.999	0.995	1.000				
GDVI^2	1.000	0.976	0.997	0.985	1.000			
GDVI^3	0.999	0.977	0.997	0.986	1.000	1.000		
GDVI^4	0.997	0.978	0.996	0.986	0.998	0.999	1.000	
NDII	-0.205	-0.389	-0.273	-0.355	-0.206	-0.206	-0.207	1.000

Table 3: Correlation coefficients between measured fresh/dry biomass and vegetation indices (Vis) of

2012 (YA-1, YA-2, and MA) and 2004 (MA). MA, Mature plantation; YA-1, Young plantation, moderate

biomass development; YA-2, Young plantation, good biomass development.

Plantation group	Biomass (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	CC	NDVI	GDVI^2	GDVI^3	GDVI^4	NDII	EVI2	SAVI	OSAVI	4MRF
YA-1 (2012)	TFB	-0.304	0.028	0.033	0.034	0.035	0.290	-0.125	0.155	-0.125	/
	TDB	-0.244	-0.158	-0.151	-0.154	-0.154	0.139	-0.058	-0.028	-0.058	/
YA-2 (2012)	TFB	0.347	0.474	0.486	0.502	0.519	0.382	0.517	0.529	0.497	0.598
	TDB	0.343	0.408	0.416	0.426	0.437	0.268	0.433	0.439	0.421	0.529
MA (2012)	TFB	0.972	0.789	0.787	0.784	0.780	0.587	0.840	0.847	0.815	0.948
	TDB	0.951	0.742	0.740	0.737	0.733	0.648	0.805	0.815	0.773	0.951
MA (2004)	TFB	0.972	0.905	0.895	0.878	0.855	0.331	0.923	0.924	0.914	0.923
	TDB	0.951	0.856	0.844	0.826	0.802	0.238	0.880	0.883	0.868	0.951

Table 4: Mature shrub biomass models obtained from the imagery of 2012

Models	Equations	Error	Multiple R <sup>2</sup>
TFB-CC	TFB (Mg $ha^{-1}$ ) = -1.703+0.374CC	± 1.281	0.945
TFB-VIs	TFB (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) = -13.65 +195.048SAVI - 22.856NDII	± 2.576	0.795
TFB-SAVI	TFB (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) = -15.30+226.631SAVI	± 2.897	0.717
TDB-VIs	TDB (Mg $ha^{-1}$ ) = -6.481+ 97.199SAVI - 16.106NDII	± 1.417	0.793
TDB-SAVI	TDB (Mg $ha^{-1}$ ) = -7.644+119.452SAVI	± 1.729	0.664
TDB-CC-VIs	TDB (Mg $ha^{-1}$ ) = -0.589-9.396NDII +0.176CC	± 0.740	0.944
CC-VIs	CC = -37.232+615.76SAVI	± 6.576	0.784

Table 5: Rainfall-related shrub biomass models

Models <sup>1</sup>	Equations	Error	Multiple R <sup>2</sup>	
TFB-CC-	TFB (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) = -210.036+0.164CC+2.178RF	± 1.005	0.969	
4MRF	113 (Mg Ma ) 210.050+0110 (20+211) 51d	= 1.003	0.707	
TFB-4MRF	TFB (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) = $-360.992+3.758$ RF	± 1.155	0.955	
TDB-4MRF	TDB (Mg $ha^{-1}$ ) = -193.599+2.019RF	± 0.847	0.919	
CC-VI-4MRF	CC (%) = -740.312+93.937NDVI+7.642RF	± 3.342	0.949	

<sup>1</sup>4MRF is expressed in RF in equations.

Table 6: Comparison of the combined Mean Dry Biomass (MDB) between planted and non-planted (rangelands) areas in 2008 and 2012

ID	Plantation Year	Area (ha)	2012 MDB in plantations (A; Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2012 MDB in non- planted areas (B; Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Difference between planted and non-planted (A-B; Mg ha	2008 MDB in plantations (C; Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2008 MDB in non- planted areas (D; Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2008 Difference between planted and non-planted (C-D; Mg ha	2008-2012 MDB increase in plantations (A-C; Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2004 MDB in plantations (E; Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
20	2007	28.67	1.6024	0.1646	1.4378	0.6801	0.0814	0.5988	0.9223	
23	2007	56.84	2.7550	0.1650	2.5899	1.3879	0.0726	1.3153	1.3671	
25	2007	23.93	1.7460	0.1467	1.5993	0.7418	0.0962	0.6456	1.0042	
28	2007	10.27	3.9515	0.3072	3.6443	2.0094	0.1393	1.8701	1.9421	
8	2006	57.15	4.7901	0.2615	4.5286	3.1880	0.1257	3.0623	1.6021	
10	2006	68.58	4.2606	0.2038	4.0568	2.4202	0.1233	2.2969	1.8404	
32	2006	7.98	1.2158	0.1851	1.0306	0.2260	0.0929	0.1331	0.9898	
33	2006	2.98	7.5436	0.2037	7.3399	4.8556	0.1582	4.6974	2.6880	
16	2006	81.84	7.9187	0.3242	7.5945	6.5667	0.2545	6.3122	1.3520	
1	2005	17.14	4.1790	0.3326	3.8463	1.6718	0.1526	1.5192	2.5072	
2	2005	58.66	2.0343	0.1343	1.9000	0.5220	0.0666	0.4554	1.5123	
14	2005	27.93	4.6268	0.3106	4.3162	4.6056	0.2038	4.4017	0.0212	
31	2004	47.15	2.9603	0.2045	2.7558	2.0210	0.1342	1.8868	0.9392	
11	2002	79.99	2.4120	0.1251	2.2869	1.3612	0.0726	1.2886	1.0508	4.3462
3	2000	208.89	1.0685	0.1459	0.9225	0.3051	0.0516	0.2535	0.7634	2.8531
34	2000	173.75	5.5257	0.2224	5.3033	4.5325	0.1369	4.3957	0.9931	12.6578
0	1996	561.39	6.5183	0.2268	6.2915	2.6052	0.1117	2.4936	3.9130	8.0954
Mean			3.8299	0.2155	3.6144	2.3353	0.1220	2.2133	1.4946	

# 663 Figure 1: Location of the planted and non-planted reference sites (blue and red polygons with 664 corresponding numbers) and of the biomass sampling areas (green squares). In each sampling area, 665 666 five sampling plots were defined (red squares). Inset map: location of the study area. 667 Figure 2: Scattering points revealing the correlation between VIs and TFB/CC for mature 668 plantations. 669 670 Figure 3: Combined shrub and herb dry biomass in planted (dark blue outline) and non-planted (red 671 outline) areas in 2012. The grey background is the NDVI image dated Feb.27, 2012. Inset figure: 672 Annual rainfall in the Ouled Dlim station (cumulated amount September to August). The annual 673 674 rainfall of 2003-2004, 2007-2008 and 2011-2012 were labelled. The 2011-2012 value does not include precipitations after the end of February 2012. 675 676 Figure 4: a) Sheep and goats feeding on herbs and shrubs in the study area rangelands. Photo by C. 677 Zucca, March 2012. b) Site n° 20 (Ouled Nejim). Plant development (in the background) is limited 678 by harsh land conditions. Photo by C. Zucca, February 2011. c) Site n° 28 (Draa Jebbar), showing 679 considerable biomass production after 5 years, notwithstanding the harsh land conditions. Photo by 680 C. Zucca, February 2011. d) Site n° 16 (M'nabha) has the highest combined biomass production in 681 both 2008 and 2012. Photo by C. Zucca, February 2011. e) Site n° 2 (Menaa el Kahla) showed 682 particularly low biomass values in 2008 due to early grazing during the 2007 drought. Photo by C. 683 Zucca, January 2007. f) Site n° 1 (Dehar el Kidar), very close to site n° 2, and same age, but not 684 685 subjected to early grazing in 2007. Photo by C. Zucca, January 2007. g) Site n° 3 (Kdadra), one of the oldest plantations, subjected to intense grazing. In 2012, most of the plants are senescent. Photo 686 by C. Zucca, March 2012. h) Site n° 34 (El Ahntri). Exceptional development observed in the four 687 year old plantation. Photo by C. Zucca, February 2004. 688 689

**Figure captions** 

662