Contribution of biological crust to soil CO2 efflux in a Mediterranean shrubland ecosystem

Questa è la versione Post print del seguente articolo:

Original

Contribution of biological crust to soil CO2 efflux in a Mediterranean shrubland ecosystem / Morillas, Lourdes; Bellucco, Veronica; LO CASCIO, Mauro; Marras, Serena; Spano, Donatella Emma Ignazia; Mereu, Simone. - In: GEODERMA. - ISSN 0016-7061. - 289:(2017), pp. 11-19. [10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.11.012]

Availability: This version is available at: 11388/176607 since: 2022-05-26T12:18:00Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.11.012

Terms of use:

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

1 Contribution of biological crust to soil CO₂ efflux in a Mediterranean shrubland ecosystem

- 2 Lourdes Morillas¹ (lmorvin@upo.es), Veronica Bellucco^{1, 2} (vbellucco@uniss.it), Mauro Lo
- 3 Cascio^{1, 2} (mlocascio@uniss.it), Serena Marras^{1, 2} (serenam@uniss.it), Donatella Spano^{1, 2}
- 4 (spano@uniss.it), Simone Mereu^{1, 2*} (si.mereu@gmail.com)
- 5
- ⁶ ¹ DipNET, Dipartimento di Scienze della Natura e del Territorio, University of Sassari, via Enrico
- 7 de Nicola, n 9, 07100, Sassari, Italy.
- 8 ² CMCC, Euro-Mediterranean Centre on Climate Change Foundation, IAFES Division, Sassari, via
- 9 Enrico de Nicola, n 9, 07100, Sassari, Italy.
- 10 *Corresponding author: Simone Mereu (email: si.mereu@gmail.com)

11 Abstract

12 In Mediterranean ecosystems, the soil biological crust (hereafter biocrust) plays a crucial role in maintaining ecosystem functioning. In these ecosystems, soil water content can often be a 13 stronger driver of soil CO₂ efflux than soil temperature, or at least comparable. However, little is 14 15 known on the contribution of the biocrust to soil CO₂ efflux or how the respiration of the biocrust responds to soil water content and temperature. A manipulative experiment was performed in a 16 Mediterranean shrubland ecosystem in Sardinia (Italy) to assess the contribution of the bicocrust to 17 soil CO₂ efflux and to identify the main environmental drivers of the CO₂ efflux. For 19 months, in 18 situ soil CO₂ efflux was measured over two different surfaces: soil deprived of biocrust (hereafter 19 Soil) and intact soil (hereafter Soil+BC), and estimated by subtraction in a third surface: biocrust 20 (hereafter BC). CO₂ efflux emitted by Soil, BC and Soil+BC were uniquely driven by soil moisture 21 and temperature: BC respiration was mainly controlled by soil moisture at 5 cm depth, whereas both 22 soil temperature and water content at 20 cm depth determined Soil CO₂ efflux. Soil temperature and 23 water content at 5 cm depth drove Soil+BC respiration. We also found that biocrusts can contribute 24 substantially (up to 60%) to the total soil respiration depending on its moisture content. This 25 contribution persists even in periods in which deeper soil layers are inactive, as small water pulses 26 can activate the metabolism of carbon in soils through lichens, mosses and cyanobacteria associated 27 with the biocrust, while deeper soil layers remain dormant. The important differences observed in 28 CO₂ efflux between Soil and Soil+BC suggest that carbon models and budgets may underestimate 29 soil CO₂ efflux in spatially heterogeneous Mediterranean areas. Our results highlight the importance 30 of accounting for the biocrust contribution to soil respiration and its response to environmental 31 drivers. We provide an accurate estimation of this key component of the carbon cycle at the 32 ecosystem level in water limited ecosystems. 33

Keywords: Soil temperature; Soil moisture; Semiarid ecosystems; Soil respiration; Spatial and
 temporal heterogeneity; Carbon cycling

36 1. Introduction

Soil CO₂ efflux is the sum of autotrophic respiration by plant roots, heterotrophic respiration 37 by decomposition of organic carbon (C) substrates operated by soil microbes, catabolic processes of 38 mycorrhizas, respiration by arthropods, soil worms and other animals occupying different trophic 39 niches (Hanson et al., 2000) and carbonate weathering (Rey, 2015). Soil CO₂ efflux is an important 40 component of the C cycle (Fernandez et al., 2006) and is estimated to account for over 25% of 41 global CO₂ emissions (Bouwmann and Germon, 1998). Despite its relevance and the determined 42 efforts to studying this process, present knowledge still lacks reliable field data to enable better 43 estimates of soil-atmosphere fluxes. Importantly, such knowledge gaps hinder our potential to 44 accurately predict the effects of global environmental change such as rising atmospheric CO₂ and 45 climate change on the C cycle. 46

The uncertainty surrounding the response of soil CO₂ efflux to environmental factors is 47 greater for water-limited environments such as Mediterranean ecosystems. There are relatively 48 49 fewer articles where CO₂ efflux has been quantified and the controlling factors established in dry areas compared to more humid environments (Castillo-Monroy et al., 2011). Mediterranean 50 ecosystems are often characterized by complex spatial vegetation patterns, with patches devoid of 51 vegetation that vary in size and shape depending on water availability and role of engineering plants 52 (Gilad et al., 2007). Differences in the distribution of soil organic matter content (Reynolds et al., 53 2007), biological activity (Stubbs and Pyke, 2005) and microbial abundance (Gallardo and 54 Schlesinger, 1992), are typically found between plant patches and bare soils and are largely 55 responsible for the high spatial variation in soil respiration (R_s) in these areas (Maestre and Cortina 56 2003). Despite its recognized relevance for ecosystem functioning, the effects of this small-scale 57 spatial variation in CO₂ efflux remain scarcely understood. 58

59 One key aspect of the spatial heterogeneity in Mediterranean ecosystems is the presence of the biological soil crusts (hereafter biocrust), which can encompass up to 70% of the cover in 60 absence of vascular plants (Belnap and Lange, 2003). Biocrusts are specialized communities that 61 cover the first millimeters of the soil surface in water-limited ecosystems worldwide. Such 62 communities are composed of cyanobacteria, lichens, liverworts, fungi, eukaryotic algae and 63 mosses in various proportions (Belnap and Lange, 2001; Eldridge and Greene 1994). They largely 64 affect the ecosystem functioning by influencing soil nutrient cycling (Castillo-Monroy et al. 2010; 65 Morillas and Gallardo, 2015), soil stability and water infiltration (Eldridge et al., 2010; Kidron, 66 2014; Reynolds et al. 2001), local hydrological cycle (Belnap et al., 2005), nitrogen fixation and 67 transformations (Belnap, 2002; Evans and Lange, 2003; Hu et al., 2015), and C cycling (Maestre 68 69 and Cortina, 2003; Thomas and Hoon, 2010; Zhao et al., 2014). Thus, the need to improve our estimation of soil-atmosphere fluxes is more acute in crusted soils due to the increased spatial 70 heterogeneity of fluxes. 71

In Mediterranean ecosystems, the metabolic activity of microbes and roots is restricted by 72 low temperatures in the humid winter and limited soil moisture during the warm summer (de Dato 73 74 et al., 2010; Morillas et al., 2013). Thomas et al. (2008) showed that R_s does not respond to a large range of temperatures unless moisture levels are above a critical threshold, whereas temperature 75 responses are much greater when moisture is sufficient to allow microbial respiration of organic 76 77 matter. These findings highlight the pivotal role played by the interaction between temperature, moisture and CO₂ efflux in Mediterranean ecosystems. Indeed soil CO₂ efflux models for water 78 limited environments must account for the exponential effect of soil temperature (T_s) on the 79 metabolism of soil organisms and roots, and the limiting effects of soil moisture (de Dato et al., 80 2010; Lloyd and Taylor, 1994; Reichstein, 2002; Vargas et al., 2011). Although the importance of 81 the biocrust for the ecosystems biogeochemistry is widely recognized (Belnap, 2006, 2003; Belnap 82 and Lange, 2003), its contribution to soil CO₂ efflux dynamics, which could be decoupled from the 83

respiration of the deeper soil layers, has been neglected. Indeed, biocrust organisms can be activated
by dew formation (Herrnstadt and Kidron, 2005; Kidron et al., 2002; Veste et al., 2008) that does
not affect the deeper soil layers, and this can occur at any time of the year (Moro et al., 2007). There
are a number of unique and subtle processes affecting soil CO₂ efflux in crusted soils, such as
changes in diffusion gradients of CO₂ in soil pore spaces due to the biocrust cover (Belnap et al.,
2003; Thomas et al., 2008), or CO₂ uptake by autotrophic biocrust components, which increases the
complexity of assessing the biocrust role on soil CO₂ efflux.

In these circumstances, soil CO₂ efflux models may lose accuracy and neglect the 91 contribution of the biocrust to this flux. Recently, some research efforts have been made to bridge 92 this gap. Zhang et al. (2013) reported that the biocrust contributed up to 2/3 to total R_s in a 93 temperate desert. Accordingly, Castillo-Monrroy et al. (2011) found that biocrust-dominated areas 94 are the main contributor to the total R_s in a semiarid ecosystem. On the other hand, Wilske et al. 95 (2008) reported that the highest biocrust-related net CO₂ uptake occurred during the wet winter, 96 whereas low soil water content (SWC) resulted in low uptake rates which can hardly compensate 97 for biocrust respiration. As this contribution depends on the biocrust cover and type (Zhao et al., 98 99 2014), it is of paramount importance to collect data from a broad range of environments. Therefore, understanding the biocrust contribution to soil CO₂ efflux in water-limited environments is crucial 100 to better comprehend the functioning of these ecosystems and improve prognostic modeling. 101

In this article, we present the results of a manipulative experiment in a Mediterranean shrubland ecosystem in Sardinia, Italy. The objectives were to quantify the biocrust contribution to R_s and identify the main environmental factors affecting it. The objectives were addressed by measuring *in situ* soil CO₂ efflux over two different surfaces: soil deprived of biocrust (hereafter *Soil*), and intact soil (hereafter *Soil+BC*), and estimating by subtraction the CO₂ efflux associated with the biocrust (hereafter *BC*). Three hypothesis were tested: (i) the contribution of the biocrust to soil CO₂ efflux varies over the seasons being minimum during the dry months due to its large dependence on superficial moisture, (ii) CO_2 efflux of the studied surfaces (*Soil*, *BC* and *Soil+BC*) would respond differently to SWC and T_s as a consequence of the very different exposure to environmental factors regimes experienced by the biocrust and deep soil layers, (iii) since SWC is a main driver for respiration and since small rain pulses can increase the moisture content of the upper soil layers but have a minor effect on the soil moisture of the deeper layers, a two layer model would disentangle how the biocrust contribution to R_s occurs in periods when deeper soil layers are inactive and estimate its contribution on an annual base.

116 **2. Materials and methods**

117 *2.1. Study site*

This study was carried out in a maquis shrubland coastal ecosystem at the National reserve 118 Portoconte - Capo Caccia in northwestern Sardinia (40° 36' 18" N, 08° 09' 07" E; mean altitude 74 119 m asl), located 326 m from a deep sea cliff. The climate is sub-humid Mediterranean, with mild 120 winter season and warm dry summer (usually from May to September). Strong predominant north-121 west (Mistral) and south-west winds blow in this area. Average annual air temperature (1970-2012) 122 is 16.5 ± 5.0 °C ranging from a minimum of 6.2 ± 4.9 °C to a maximum of 32.7 ± 5.6 °C. The 123 coldest month is February with an average temperature of 10.4 ± 1.1 °C, whereas the hottest month 124 is August with an average temperature of 23.8 ± 1.5 °C. Spring and autumn are the rainy seasons, 125 126 and mean annual rainfall is 494 ± 153 mm.

The underlying substrate is a Mesozoic limestone, and the main soil types are Lithic 127 Xerorthent and Typic Rhodoxerarfs (USDA 1993). Soil texture is a clay-silt, with 55 – 60 % clay, 128 20 - 30 % silt and 10 - 25 % sand. Soil depth is 30 cm - 40 cm and because of its texture is highly 129 erodible (Spano et al., 2009). Soil water holding capacity is 24 % and total Leaf Area Index ranges 130 between $2.7 - 3.0 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ (Marras et al., 2011). Maximum canopy height is around 3 m, and the 131 discontinuous vascular vegetation cover is 80 % on average. In this area, Mediterranean maquis 132 appears as a shrubland of different species, mainly Juniperus phoenicea L. (53 % of total cover) but 133 also Pistacia lentiscus L., Phyllirea angustifolia L., Smilax aspera L. and Chamerops humilis L. (27 134 % of total cover). The remaining 20 % are the inter spaces between shrubs, colonized by a well-135 developed lichen-dominated biocrust with a depth of about 0.5 cm. The dominant lichens are 136 Cladonia sp. (23.8 % of the inter spaces between shrubs), Squamarina cartilaginea, Diploschistes 137 138 sp. and *Collema* sp. (10.4 % all together). Green mosses cover 14.4 %, whereas litter accumulation and bare soil areas cover 26.3 and 10.0 % of these patches, respectively. 139

140

In April 2012, 16 permanent polyvinyl chloride (PVC) collars of 20 cm diameter were 141 installed on the soil surface covered by biocrust (*Soil+BC*). In March 2013, eight additional PCV 142 collars were installed in areas where the biocrust was physically removed using a spatula i.e. the 143 144 Soil surface. All the 24 collars were placed at random within eight inter spaces between shrubs: patches were between 10 m and 50 m from each other and collars within each patch were at a 145 minimum of 2 m distance. Soil CO₂ efflux was measured from June 2013 to January 2015. 146 Measurements did not have a specific frequency, but they were rather performed in order to 147 measure over the widest range of combinations of T_s and SWC. All measurements were taken at 148 least three days after the last precipitation event to avoid measuring at moments when the SWC was 149 above its maximum field condition. In doing so, we attempted to minimize the disturbance 150 generated in our long-term experimental site by trampling into the plots while soil was muddy. 151 Thus, we avoided the CO₂ pulses that typically follow wetting of dry soils (Birch effect; Birch, 152 1964) and the physical displacement of CO₂-enriched pore space gases by water during rainfall, as 153 we were interested in the effect of T_s and SWC on R_s rather than on the effect of rewetting on R_s. In 154 any case, preliminary results showed that R_s was inhibited within 24 hours after a rain event in this 155 study site, most likely due to the impeded diffusion of gas through the saturated clay soil. Direct 156 measurements were collected on Soil+BC and Soil surfaces, whereas the CO₂ efflux emitted by BC 157 surface was estimated by subtracting the soil CO₂ efflux of *Soil* surfaces from that of *Soil+BC*. 158

Soil CO₂ efflux was measured *in situ* using a portable LI-COR 8100 (Lincoln, Nebraska – USA) containing an infrared gas analyser system equipped with a flow-through closed chamber LI-COR 8100-103 connected to the central unit. The chamber of 20 cm of diameter was inserted 5 cm into the collar, and measurements were preformed in a dark condition. Measurements took 105 s per collar, and were performed between 8:00 and 9:00 a.m. (local time, GMT + 1) to avoid direct radiation from reaching the collars, which ensures minimization of variability in T_s within the sampling day. However, this experimental design could have underestimated daily efflux as a result of the time lags involved in diurnal variations in T_s , i.e. the soil surface will heat very quickly and there will be a short time lag between peak air and soil temperatures, whereas at deeper depths this lag could be of several hours. The offset of each collar was measured and taken into account to calculate the headspace of each collar and quantify the CO_2 emission. Soil temperature at 3 cm depth adjacent to the collars was measured at the same time as CO_2 efflux measurements using a probe connected to the LI-COR 8100.

Since 2004, a meteorological and radiometric station (2 m and 3.5 m above the ground, 172 respectively) are located within the experimental site. Air temperature and relative humidity were 173 measured hourly using a HMP45C probe (Campbell Scientific Inc., USA) and an aerodynamic rain 174 gauge (ARG100, Environmental Measurements Limited, UK) was used to record precipitation data 175 every hour. SWC was monitored at 20 cm depth using two Time-Domain Reflectometry (TDR) 176 probes (Model CS616-L, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA). In January 2012, two 177 additional T_s and SWC sensors (EC-5, Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) were placed at 5 178 cm depth. All sensors acquired data every minute and were stored as half-hourly averages. 179

In April 2014, 8 soil cores (2 cm in diameter) between 0 cm and 5 cm depth and between 5 180 cm and 10 cm depth below the mineral soil were collected. The samples were dried at 40 °C for 72 181 h and sieved using a < 2 mm mesh. Sieved samples were ground using Brinkmann Retsch Mortar 182 183 Grinder (Model: RMO Polished), then 0.15 g analysed with an Elemental analyser (CHN 628, Leco, St. Joseph, USA), to determinate the total C and nitrogen (N) soil content. Soil pH was determined 184 in a water slurry (1:5 w/v in H₂O). The effective cation concentration, Ca, Mg and Na, was 185 186 extracted by cationic exchange capacity method, in solution of BaCl₂ according to ISO 11260, then concentration was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy analyser (Analyst 200, Perkin 187 Elmer, Waltham, USA). Available phosphorus (P_2O_5) was extracted as in Olsen et al. (1954) and 188

quantified by spectrophotometric analysis (Cary 60 UV-Vis, Agilent, Santa Clara, USA). Calcium
 carbonate (CaCO₃) was quantified using a "Dietrich-Fruhling" calcimeter.

ANOVA for repeated measurements was used to test for differences among *Soil+BC*, *Soil*, and *BC* in soil CO₂ efflux measurements. Prior to ANOVA analysis, data were tested for assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances, and were log-transformed when necessary. Partial correlations were performed to account for collinearity and thus to test the effects of T_s on soil CO₂ efflux of *Soil+BC*, *Soil* and *BC* while controlling for SWC at 5 cm and 20 cm depth (SWC-_{5cm} and SWC-_{20cm}, respectively) and *vice versa*. All statistical analyses were performed by using the IBM SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Different functions can be used to simulate soil CO_2 efflux response to environmental conditions. In this study, the dependency of R_s on T_s and SWC was investigated for the three studied surfaces (*Soil+BC*, *Soil* and *BC*). Three different approaches were used: a simple linear regression accounting for both T_s , and SWC-_{5cm} and SWC-_{20cm}, a multi-linear equation to account for the combined effect of these variables, and a modified version of the most common used Lloyd and Taylor (LLT) model (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994). The LLT function is an Arrhenius type equation which is temperature-driven and given by:

206
$$R_{s_{LLT}} = R_{ref} \cdot exp\left[E_0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T_{ref} - T_0} - \frac{1}{T_s - T_0}\right)\right]$$
(1)

where R_s is soil respiration, R_{ref} is the ecosystem respiration rate at a reference temperature (T_{ref}) and E_0 is an activation energy parameter that determines the temperature sensitivity. In the original study (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994), T_{ref} and T_0 are set to 10°C and -46°C respectively, and T_s is used as predictor. Although the Lloyd and Taylor equation fits well to the experimental data during standard water availability conditions, in semi-arid ecosystems the dependence of ecosystem respiration on SWC must also be considered. Here we used the modified Lloyd and Taylor equation (LLT_{mod}) proposed by Reichstein et al. (2002), which multiplies the original temperature-driven model (equation 1) by a moisture function:

215
$$R_s = R_{s_{LLT}} \cdot \frac{s_{WC-S_WC_0}}{(s_{WC_{1/2}} - s_{WC_0}) + (s_{WC} - s_{WC_0})}$$
(2)

where SWC₀ is the residual SWC at which respiration is absent, and SWC_{1/2} is the SWC at 50% of the maximal respiration (Reichstein et al., 2002). Equation 2 is the third approach used in this study to simulate R_s as function of T_s and SWC_{-5cm} and SWC_{-20cm}. Models performances were evaluated using the following statistical indices: the Pearson's correlation coefficient (r), the root mean square error (RMSE), the index of agreement (d) and the Akaike's information criterion (AIC test, Akaike, 1974).

To calculate the contribution of *BC* to R_s , the best models were run at a 30 minute timestep for two years (2013-2014) thus accounting for the variations of both T_s and SWC. Daily amounts of *BC* and *Soil* CO₂ efflux were first computed separately and then summed to obtain *Soil+BC* respiration rate. *BC* contribution to *Soil+BC* respiration rate was thus calculated as the ratio of the daily *BC* and the estimated *Soil+BC* respiration rates.

228 **3. Results**

229 Average annual rainfall during the study period was 430 mm, slightly under the 42-year average (494 mm), while annual mean T_{air} was 16.8 °C (Figure 1). SWC_{-20cm} was generally higher 230 than SWC_{-5cm} during the entire study period, ranging from 19.0 % to 43.1 % for SWC_{-20cm}, and 231 from 12.2 % to 33.6 % for SWC_{-5cm} (Figure 2a). At both depths, SWC followed the same overall 232 pattern of seasonal changes showing higher values in spring, autumn, and winter (average values of 233 26.9 % and 17.7 % for SWC_{-20cm} and SWC_{-5cm} respectively, Figure 2a) and lower during summer 234 (20.6 % and 13.3 % for SWC_{-20cm} and SWC_{-5cm} respectively, Figure 2a). Daily averages of T_s 235 followed a sinusoidal pattern with maximum daily values of 33.3 °C and 31.1 °C recorded between 236 June and August 2013 and 2014 respectively, and minimum values of 5.2 °C and 3.6 °C recorded 237 during the cold season of 2013 and 2014, respectively (Figure 2b). We did not find any significant 238 differences in soil chemical properties between 5 cm and 10 cm depth (Table 1). 239

Significant differences in CO₂ efflux were found among *Soil*, *BC* and *Soil*+*BC* (Figure 3a, b, 240 c, Repeated Measures [RM] ANOVA; F = 1916, df = 2, P <0.001). Respiration rates for *Soil* ranged 241 from 0.80 to 2.17 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ whereas that for *Soil+BC* ranged from 1.36 to 2.59 μ mol CO₂ 242 m⁻² s⁻¹ (Figure 3), which was always significantly higher than that of *Soil* (RM ANOVA; P <0.001). 243 Soil respiration rates estimated for *BC* ranged from -0.12 to 1.32 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, and were lower 244 than Soil (RM ANOVA; P < 0.001) except for one event in autumn 2014. The BC contribution to 245 Soil+BC respiration rate was on average 6.9 %, ranging from -16.5 % in the driest and hottest 246 period to a peak of 60.1 % recorded in autumn 2014 (Figure 3b). This event took place when the 247 soil was rewetted after the rainless summer period. The minimum BC contribution to Soil+BC 248 respiration (-1.6 to -16.5 %) was found during the dry months (i.e. July, August and September) in 249 both 2013 and 2014, whereas higher values of contribution (-0.3 to 60.1 %) were found during the 250 rest of the year (Figure 3b). 251

Partial correlations revealed a significant effect of T_{s} , SWC-_{5cm} and SWC-_{20cm} on the *Soil* CO₂ efflux (Table 2). The CO₂ efflux of *Soil*+*BC* was significantly correlated with SWC-_{5cm} (p<0.001) and SWC-_{20cm} (p=0.005), but not with T_{s} , although the probability level was almost significant (p_{5cm}=0.059; p_{20cm}=0.056, Table 2). Finally, the respiration rate of *BC* was only significantly correlated with SWC-_{5cm} (p=0.001, Table 2).

When simulating *Soil* CO₂ efflux, the Lloyd and Taylor (1994) model modified according to Reichstein et al. (2002) to also account for SWC_{-20cm}, outperformed the other models as it is shown by r (0.81, p<0.001), RMSE (0.13 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), d (0.89),and the lowest AIC test value (=-8.1) (Table 3). The simple linear model which uses SWC_{-5cm} as independent variable, was the best model predicting estimated *BC* respiration rates according to all the statistical indexes (r=0.75, p=0.002, RMSE=0.15 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, d=0.84, AIC= -7.00). Also the multilinear model with T_s and SWC_{-5cm} showed good performances with a slightly higher AIC test result (AIC=-5.5) (Table 3).

 $Soil+BC CO_2$ efflux was best explained by the multilinear model accounting for both T_s and 264 SWC_{-5cm} (r=0.75, p=0.002, RMSE=0.19, d=0.85, AIC=1.7). However, the modified Lloyd and 265 Taylor model accounting for SWC_{-20cm}, and the linear model using SWC_{-5cm} as independent 266 variable, performed similarly but showed higher AIC test results (AIC>3.6) (Table 3). In agreement 267 with these models, the CO₂ efflux of all the three surfaces increased with soil moisture (Figures 2 268 and 3). For Soil and Soil+BC, T_s and CO₂ efflux were positively correlated until the soil dried (see 269 270 Figures 2 and 3) whereas for *BC* the metrics suggests that respiration was mainly controlled by SWC_{-5cm} with a seasonal pattern decoupled from that of *Soil* or *Soil+BC* (Figure 3). 271

Table 4 reports the coefficients of the best performing models for *BC*, *Soil* and *Soil+BC*. The daily respiration rate for the two layer model ranged from 89.8 to 406.7 mol $CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, with the daily modeled values for the *Soil* layer ranged from 87.0 to 181.1 mol $CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ for *Soil*, and those for the *BC* layer between 0.2 and 193.2 mol $CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Figure 4a). Modeled respiration rates

- showed the greater temporal variability found in *BC* CO₂ efflux compared to *Soil* (Figure 4a),
- highlighting the key role of the biocrust in *Soil+BC* respiration rates through the seasons. The
- modeled *BC* contribution to *Soil+BC* respiration rate was on average 23.6 %, ranging from 0.1 % in
- June 2012 to a peak of 61.9 % in February 2012 (Figure 4b). Following the trend found in estimated
- 280 BC contribution to Soil+BC respiration, modeled BC contribution was minimum during the rainless
- season and became a relevant CO₂ source in the wet months (Figure 4b).

283 4. Discussion

The importance of biocrust for ecosystems functions has received increasing attention from the scientific community in recent years (Grote et al. 2010; Ladron de Guevara et al. 2014; Maestre and Cortina 2003; Thomas and Hoon, 2010). However, despite previous attempts to assess CO_2 efflux from biocrust in dryland ecosystems (Castillo-Monroy et al., 2011; Thomas, 2012; Thomas et al., 2008, 2011, 2014; Wilske et al., 2008, 2009; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2014), there is still a large uncertainty on the estimation and controlling factors of biocrust contribution to soil CO_2 efflux.

Wilske et al. (2008) followed an experimental design similar to ours to assess biocrust CO₂ 291 292 efflux in a Mediterranean shrubland, but measuring net CO₂ exchange instead of R_s. Regardless of 293 this difference, Wilske et al. (2008) also pointed out the key role of biocrust moisture in regulating CO₂ exchange, reporting high net uptake rates under wet conditions and decreased net uptake in 294 rainless periods. Accordingly, Wilske et al. (2009) assessed the annual biocrust net C fluxes in the 295 296 same study site using a model where SWC had a central role. Zhang et al. (2013) modeled the effect of moisture at different soil depths on both biocrust and rhizosphere respiration in a temperate 297 desert, and they found that the former was determined by superficial water content, whereas the 298 latter was driven by deep SWC. However, the soil depths considered in this study were rather 299 300 coarse compared to those in our study, as superficial water content accounted from 0 cm to -20 cm 301 soil layer, and deep SWC referred from -30 cm to -210 cm soil layer. Thomas et al. (2014) applied two different techniques to isolate biocrust efflux on a salt pan: by subtraction (same approach 302 applied in this article) and by removing the biocrust and sitting in on a bed of inert substrate. 303 Although both approaches have shortcomings, the second method is very manipulative and 304 consequently, the CO₂ efflux measurements are performed in a highly artificial environment, which 305 may make the subtraction method the most suitable for estimating the biocrust contribution to R_s. In 306 their study, they found that the biocrust was a small contributor to R_s in the dry season and reported 307

308 a net uptake of CO_2 to the biocrust when following the subtraction method in the wet season. The major short coming of the subtraction method is that the removal of the biocrust from the soil 309 surface as part of the experiment may also have changed the natural soil to air diffusion gradient 310 and may have increased the measured CO₂ efflux, without any biological processes being involved. 311 That is, if by removing the biocrust the natural diffusion gradient between the soil and atmosphere 312 313 has been increased, the subsoil CO₂ efflux would be enhanced to without any increased respiration, which would lessen the difference between R_s on *Soil+BC* and *Soil*. In this likely situation, the 314 biocrust contribution to R_s would have been even greater than that reported. The relatively small 315 and negative estimated values for BS respiration found in summer suggest that the possible increase 316 in CO₂ fluxes due to the biocrust removal was not large and partially attributable also to spatial 317 318 variation. It is well known that soil CO₂ efflux may change greatly even over short distances and that the relative differences in space also change in time as consequence e.g. of the mosaic pattern 319 of clumps of vegetation and patches of soil typical of Mediterranean ecosystems. In fact, Qi et al. 320 (2010) proved that CO_2 fluxes from the soil under the canopy of shrubs were significantly higher 321 than those from the soil covered with biocrusts and the bare soil in the interplant spaces of a 322 semiarid ecosystem. It is thus likely that our negative values for BC are partially due to an artefact 323 created by both the subtraction method and spatial variability. However, these two components are 324 difficult to separate and would require *ad-hoc* experiments to do so. 325

Zhao et al. (2014) and Thomas et al. (2011, 2008) reported that soil moisture was the primary limiting factor to biocrust CO_2 efflux in the Kalahari and Tengger deserts, respectively, but these studies did not estimate the contribution of biocrust to total R_s. In agreement with our results, both Zhang et al. (2013) and Castillo-Monroy et al. (2011) proved that the biocrust respiration is a major player in CO_2 efflux, contributing ca. 66 % and 42 % to total R_s in a temperate desert and a semiarid ecosystem, respectively. In the light of these findings and our own results which show a biocrust contribution of ca. 23.6 % in a sub-humid Mediterranean ecosystem, it could be inferred that the biocrust contribution to R_s increases with the aridity of the site. A higher contribution of deeper soil layers in the less arid ecosystems can be due to a number of factors promoting both root and microbial respiration such as higher root density, microbial biomass and soil organic C.

It is worth noting that the interpretation of CO₂ efflux data is complex, since it involves 336 337 several different processes, such as soil microbial, root, arthropods and mycorrhizal respiration, and therefore changes occurring in any of these processes can be masked by opposite changes in other 338 ones (Buvanovsky and Wagner, 1995). Consequently, it has been pointed out that soil CO₂ efflux 339 data are of moderate value in differentiating soil processes (Raich and Tufekcioglu, 2000). 340 However, as we always waited for soil to drain for three days after rainfall before measuring CO₂ 341 efflux to minimize the plot disturbance while soil was muddy and to focus on the effect of T_s and 342 SWC on R_s rather than on the effect of rewetting on R_s , we can exclude the possibility of a 343 contribution from two typically important processes in this type of ecosystems. First, the physical 344 displacement of higher concentrations of CO₂ following rainfall that would be accumulated in pore 345 spaces between rainfall pulses (Huxman et al., 2004). Second, the rewetting of dry soils which 346 frequently produces a R_s peak, also known as Birch effect (Birch, 1964). This process is particularly 347 relevant in Mediterranean ecosystems, as it is known to constitute a large proportion of the total Rs 348 in water limited ecosystems (Jarvis et al., 2007; Unger et al., 2010). On the other hand, our 349 experimental design, which accounted for R_s in dark conditions, was conceived to exclude the 350 contribution of the biocrust autotrophic components because we were interested in assessing R_s 351 352 instead of soil net CO₂ exchange. Determining the length of the dark period required before the biocrust autotrophic activity stops is a controversial issue. Ladron de Guevara et al. (2014) 353 354 measured R_s for 120 s leaving no time in dark conditions before measurement in a semiarid ecosystem, whereas Grote et al. (2010) darkened crusted soils for 10 minutes before measuring dark 355 R_s in a desert ecosystem. In general, respiration rate appears to change over time so that CO_2 356 measurements are in part relative to the protocol used. 357

358 In agreement with other authors, respiration rates of Soil decreased as the soil dried (Inglima et al, 2009; Unger et al, 2012) and increased with T_s (de Dato et al., 2010; Poll et al., 2013). These 359 results are in line with those from many previous studies that reported that T_s is a strong predictor of 360 R_s, as rising temperatures increase soil decomposition rates, producing a proportionate increase in 361 CO₂ efflux (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994). The Lloyd and Taylor (1994) model has been reported to give 362 good results for a variety of soil types (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994) and has been successfully used in a 363 number of soil and ecosystem models (Adair et al., 2008; Kucharik et al., 2000; Thornton et al., 364 2002). However, as proposed by Reichstein et al. (2002), it was necessary to include soil moisture 365 as a predictor to have an improved fit, confirming the importance of the interaction between T_s and 366 SWC for R_s in Mediterranean ecosystems. According to this, the Lloyd and Taylor (1994) model 367 modified by Reichstein (2002) was the best model for Soil respiration in our experimental site. 368

As microbial activity in drylands is limited by the availability of moisture and organic 369 370 substrates (Zak et al., 1994), increased water content in the subsoil is likely to produce an augmented population and activity of heterotrophs, which is reflected by an increased soil CO₂ 371 efflux. In line with these findings, Conant et al. (2000) found that soil moisture was the main factor 372 determining R_s in three semiarid ecosystems in Arizona. However, although increased soil moisture 373 generally leads to a rise of CO₂ efflux, respiration rates in *Soil* reached its minimum peak under the 374 highest recorded SWC (35%) that was found in November 2014. Decreased respiration under high 375 soil moisture has been previously reported (Grote et al., 2010; Maestre and Cortina, 2003), and is 376 377 likely driven by the CO₂ affinity for water thus dissolving in soil water during wet periods, or alternatively by impeded diffusion of CO₂ through soil when the pore spaces are filled with water. 378

According to previous research (Ladron de Guevara et al., 2014; Thomas and Hoon, 2010; Thomas et al., 2011; Wilske et al., 2008), our results reveal that the respiration rate of the *BC* is strongly influenced by its moisture content and less by T_s . The biocrust can contribute to the R_s even in periods in which the deeper soil layers are inactive; consistently respiration rates of *Soil*

depend on SWC_{-20cm}, whereas the correlation of respiration of the BC with SWC is strongest at 5 383 cm depth. The SWC at 20 cm and 5 cm depths strongly co-vary, however small water pulses can 384 increase the moisture only of the top layer, and the top layer may dry much faster than the deeper 385 ones. Thus, small water pulses can activate lichens, mosses and cyanobacteria associated to the 386 biocrust, and the metabolism of C in soils, while deeper soil layers remain dormant. Likewise, 387 respiration in the deeper soil layers may persist in periods when the biocrust is inactive. In line with 388 these findings, Cable and Huxman (2004) found that the relative contributions of biocrust and deep 389 soil layers to CO_2 efflux in Arizona varied with rainfall magnitude. Using $\delta 13C$ and CO_2 data, they 390 reported that over 80 % of the respiration originated from biocrust during small rainfall events, 391 392 whereas 98 % was originated from deep soil layers after-intense rainfall events. Consequently, the 393 proportional contribution of the biocrust changes over the seasons, playing an important role when 394 the soil is wet and being minimum during the dry months (i.e. July, August and September).

395 We found that both the modeled and estimated BC contribution to Soil+BC respiration rate peaked following the soil rewetting after the rainless summer period. Similar results have been 396 found by Zhao et al. (2014) when studying mixed biocrust (composed of mosses, algae and lichens) 397 398 and algae-dominated biocrust respiration responding to an extreme rainfall event in a mesocosm experiment. Zhao et al. (2014) reported that CO₂ efflux was inhibited for several days after the 399 rainfall event in both biocrust types, and then it increased. However, less influence of extreme 400 401 rainfall events on R_s was found for moss-dominated biocrusts. The authors attributed these findings 402 to the increased soil hydrophobicity due to the biocrust extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) secretions (Mager and Thomas, 2011). EPS can block superficial soil pores (Avnimelech and Nevo, 403 404 1964) leading to water accumulation in the surface of mixed and algae-dominated biocrust after heavy rainfall. As EPS are decomposed by microbes (Fischer 2009), soil pores will reopen 405 producing a burst in CO₂ efflux in mixed and algae-dominated biocrust. In contrast, the higher 406 porosity and infiltration rates that characterizes moss tissue allows water to percolate deep into the 407

408 soil avoiding water accumulation on the soil surface in moss-dominated biocrusts (Frey and Kürschner, 1991; Chamizo et al., 2012). An alternative explanation for these findings is that a 409 relatively thick film of water for the first days after the rainfall may restrict the exchange of gases, 410 impeding in turn photosynthesis and respiration until water evaporation (Lange et al., 1992). The 411 higher infiltration on moss-dominated biocrust could also be attributed to the low amount of EPS at 412 these crusts due to the fact that mosses do not excrete EPS (Kidron et al., 2003). Given that the soil 413 rewetting dynamics have been proved to affect differently to the contributions of distinct biocrust 414 types to R_s (Zhao et al., 2014), especially after large rainfall pulses (>10 mm), it is crucial to 415 account for the biocrust composition in this type of studies. 416

Dew events in water limited ecosystems are common throughout the year, and typically 417 range from 0.15 mm to 0.30 mm per day (Jacobs et al., 2000; Moro et al., 2007). Previous studies 418 reported that biocrust organisms can utilize the morning dew as a window of opportunity for 419 activating their metabolism (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013; Thomas and Hoon, 2010; Veste et al., 420 2008). However, other authors have found that while dew can wet rock and stones in a dew desert 421 such as the Negev, its capability to wet the soil is very limited, since the activation threshold of 0.1 422 423 mm (Lange et al., 1992) is rarely reached on the soil surface (Agam and Berliner, 2006; Kidron et al., 2002). Our experimental design was focused on understanding the general effect of SWC and T_s 424 and not of rain pulses nor of dew on biocrust respiration or the limiting effect of over saturated soil 425 on diffusion. The estimation of superficial soil moisture is most likely an even stronger predictor for 426 biocrust respiration than SWC.5cm. Perhaps, if the superficial SWC had been monitored, an even 427 greater decoupling of BC respiration from that of Soil would have been reported. However the 428 429 isolation of superficial moisture from the background soil under field conditions is quite challenging. 430

This high dependence of *BC* respiration on SWC is not surprising given previous findings
that linked SWC to soil microbial biomass (Van Gestel et al. 1992) and to biocrust biomass (Kidron

et al., 2009), biocrust respiration to biocrust biomass (Kidron et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2012), and 433 superficial and deep soil layers moisture to biocrust activity (Kidron and Benenson, 2014). Thus, 434 the biocrust biomass, soil microflora and biocrust type are all shaped by the soil superficial moisture 435 (Kidron et al., 2010). As biocrust respiration is highly dependent on biocrust type, our findings 436 support that lichen-dominated biocrusts performance depends to a large degree on soil moisture 437 conditions, contributing to total R_s mainly during the wet months. These findings complement those 438 of Adebayo and Harris (1971) and Austin et al. (2004), which found that fungal-dominated 439 microbial communities are more desiccation-tolerant than those dominated by cyanobacteria. 440 Interestingly, although mosses generally dry during the dry season ceasing their metabolic activity 441 442 and regrow in the wet season, Deltoro et al. (1998) found that mosses adapted to xeric conditions can maintain maximal levels of quantum efficiency when drying down to about 5 % soil moisture. 443

According to both the modeled and estimated *BC* contribution to *Soil+BC* respiration rate, *BC* represents a significant source of CO₂ during humid seasons, showing the highest peaks in autumn and winter coinciding with the maximum values of SWC_{-5cm} and SWC_{-20cm}. On the other hand, although in water limited ecosystems the biocrust is wet and metabolically active less than 10 % of the time (Lange et al., 1994), and high soil moisture likely does not occur regularly, the results of this study reveal the potential for the biocrust respiration rates under a broad range of SWC.

We found no effect of T_s on *BC* respiration, suggesting that in Mediterranean ecosystems T_s is not as an important driver of biocrust respiration as SWC. Johnson et al. (2012) and Zelikova et al. (2012) also found very limited sensitivity of the metabolic activity of biocrust communities dominated by cyanobacteria, cyanolichens, and mosses to T_s . Similar results were reported by Grote et al. (2010) in cyanobacteria- and lichen-dominated biocrust from the Chihuahuan desert and the Colorado Plateau.

We found higher Rs rates in Soil+BC than in Soil. Such difference can be explained by the 456 fact that Soil has been devoid of habitats for a dependent foodweb of arthropods, lichens, fungi, 457 bacteria, and other soil organisms typically found in the biocrust (Belnap and Lange, 2003), whose 458 activity significantly contribute to soil CO₂ efflux (Castillo–Monroy et al., 2011). Given the 459 decoupling of the respiration rates of *BC* and *Soil*, it was not possible to identify a model clearly 460 outperforming the others for Soil+BC. The resulting model can be seen as a mix of the model for 461 Soil and for BC as it responds to T_s and to SWC_{-5cm} instead of SWC_{-20cm}. Additionally, the model is 462 multilinear losing the physiological mechanism accounted for by the Lloyd and Taylor model. The 463 highly contrasting seasonal pattern of respiration observed in *Soil* is not visible in *Soil+BC*, 464 suggesting that the biocrust might be masking the seasonal trend showed by deeper soil layers in 465 466 *Soil+BC*, since the biocrust exhibits an independent dynamic driven by moisture in the first soil 467 centimeters.

468 **5.** Conclusions

469 Our findings show that CO₂ effluxes emitted by *Soil*, *BC* and *Soil*+*BC* are differently driven by T_s and SWC: BC respiration is mainly controlled by superficial SWC, whereas T_s and SWC_{-20cm} 470 mostly control Soil respiration, and T_s and SWC_{-5cm} drive Soil+BC respiration. Our results 471 complement those of previous studies highlighting the key role of the biocrust as modulator of R_s in 472 dryland ecosystems, and indicate that the biocrust has the ability to contribute to R_s responding to 473 474 small water pulses in periods when deeper soil layers are inactive. Thus, our results suggest that accounting for the biocrust contribution to R_s and its responses to environmental drivers is highly 475 relevant in providing accurate estimates of this key component of the C cycle at the ecosystem 476 level. Projections based solely on bare ground and vegetated areas have been used to predict C 477 budgets in semiarid (Rey et al., 2011) and temperate (Kim et al., 1992) grasslands. Nevertheless, the 478 important differences observed in CO₂ efflux between Soil and Soil+BC suggest that these 479 estimations may underestimate soil CO₂ efflux in spatially heterogeneous Mediterranean 480

481 ecosystems. Given the large areas covered by biocrust, not only in drylands, but also in temperate,
482 alpine and polar ecosystems (Belnap and Lange 2003), taking into account soil surface covered by
483 biocrust in future modeling studies can significantly contribute to improve our understanding of the
484 global C cycle and our ability to project the effects of global environmental change on soil CO₂
485 efflux.

486 6. Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by the Regione Autonoma della Sardegna through the project Ecoscale Lg.7. (CRP- 60646). Special thanks are extended to Giovanni Battista Neri and Mario Antonello Deroma for helping us with field and laboratory assistance, respectively. We are also grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their detailed comments on an earlier draft.

491 **References**

492	Adair, E. C., Parton, W. J., Del Grosso, S. J., Silver, W. L., Harmon, M. E., Hall, S. A., Burke, I. C.,
493	Hart, S. C., 2008. Simple three-pool model accurately describes patterns of long-term litter
494	decomposition in diverse climates. Glob. Change Biol. 14, 2636–2660.
495	Adebayo, A. A., Harris, R. F., 1971. Fungal growth responses to osmotic as compared to matric
496	water potential. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 35, 465-469.
497	Agam, N., Berliner, P. R., 2006. Dew formation and water vapor adsorption in semi-arid
498	environments - A review. J. Arid Environ. 65, 572-590.
499	Akaike, H., 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE. T. Automat. Contr. 19,
500	716–723.
501	Austin, A. T., Yahdjian, L., Stark, J. M., Belnap, J., Porporato, A., Norton, U., Ravetta, D. A.,
502	Schaeffer, S. M., 2004. Water pulses and biogeochemical cycles in arid and semiarid
503	ecosystems. Oecologia. 141, 221–235.
504	Avnimelech, Y., Nevo, Z., 1964. Biological clogging of sands. Soil Sci. 98, 222–226.
505	Belnap, J., Lange, O. L., 2001. Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function, and Management.
506	Springer, Berlin.
507	Belnap, J., 2002. Nitrogen fixation in biological soil crusts from southeast Utah, USA. Biol. Fertil.
508	Soils. 35, 128–35.
509	Belnap, J., 2003. Biological soil crusts in deserts: a short review of their role in soil fertility,
510	stabilization, and water relations. Arch. Hydrobiol. 109, 113-126.
511	Belnap, J., Lange, O. L., 2003. Biological soil crusts: structure, function and management. Springer,
512	Berlin.

- Belnap, J., Hawkes, C.V., Firestone, M.K., 2003. Boundaries in miniature: two examples from soil.
 BioSciences. 53, 739–749.
- Belnap, J., Welter, J. R., Grimm, N. B., Barger, N., Ludwig, J. A., 2005. Linkages between
 microbial and hydrologic processes in arid and semiarid watersheds. Ecology. 86, 298–307.
- Belnap, J., 2006. The potential roles of biological soil crusts in dryland hydrologic cycles. Hydrol.
 Proc. 20, 3159–3178.
- Birch, H.F., 1964. Mineralisation of plant nitrogen following alternate wet and dry conditions. Plant
 Soil. 20, 43–49.
- Bouwmann, A. F., Germon, J. C., 1998. Special issue: Soils and climate change: introduction. Biol.
 Fertil. Soil. 27, 219.
- Buyanovsky, G. A., Wagner, G. H., 1995. Soil respiration and carbon dynamics in parallel native
 and cultivated ecosystems, in: Lal, R., Kimble, J., Levine, E., Stewart, B. A. (Eds.), Soils
 and Global Change. CRC Press, Boca Raton, United States, pp. 209–217.
- Cable, J. M., Huxman, T. E., 2004. Precipitation pulse size effects on Sonoran Desert soil microbial
 crusts. Oecologia. 141, 317–324.
- Castillo-Monroy, A. P., Maestre, F. T., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Gallardo, A., 2010. Biological soil
 crusts modulate nitrogen availability in semi-arid ecosystems: insights from a Mediterranean
 grassland. Plant Soil. 333, 21–34.
- Castillo-Monroy, A. P., Maestre, F. T., Rey, A., Soliveres, S., Garcia-Palacios, P., 2011. Biological
 soil crust microsites are the main contributor to soil respiration in a semiarid ecosystem.
 Ecosystems. 14: 835–847.
- Chamizo, S., Canton, Y., Lazaro, R., Sole-Benet, A., Domingo, F., 2012. Crust composition and
 disturbance drive infiltration through biological soil crusts in semiarid ecosystems.
 Ecosystems. 15, 148–161.

537	Conant, R. T., Klopatek, J. M., Klopatek, C. C., 2000. Environmental factors controlling soil
538	respiration in three semiarid ecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 64, 383-390.
539	de Dato, G. D., De Angelis, P., Sirca, C., Beier, C., 2010. Impact of drought and increasing
540	temperatures on soil CO2 emissions in a Mediterranean shrubland (gariga). Plant Soil. 327,
541	153–166.
542	Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Maestre, F. T., Rodriguez, J. G. P., Gallardo, A., 2013. Biological soil
543	crusts promote N accumulation in response to dew events in dryland soils. Soil Biol.
544	Biochem. 62, 22–27.
545	Deltoro, V. I., Calatayud, A., Gimeno, C., Barreno, E., 1998. Water relations, chlorophyll
546	fluorescence, and membrane permeability during desiccation in bryophytes from xeric,
547	mesic, and hydric environments. Can. J. Bot. 76, 1923-1929.
548	Eldridge, D. J., Greene, R. S. B., 1994. Microbiotic soil crusts: a review of their roles in soil and
549	ecological processes in the rangelands of Australia. Aust. J. Soil Res. 32, 389-415.
550	Eldridge, D. J., Bowker, M. A., Maestre, F. T., Alonso, P., Mau, R. L., Papadopulos, J., Escudero,
551	A., 2010. Interactive effects of three ecosystem engineers on infiltration in a semi-arid
552	Mediterranean grassland. Ecosystems. 13, 499–510.
553	Evans, R. D., Lange, O. L., 2003. Biological soil crusts and ecosystem N and C dynamics, in:
554	Belnap, J., Lange, O. L. (Eds.), Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function and
555	Management. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 263–279.
556	Fernandez, D. P., Neff, J. C., Belnap, J., Reynolds R. L., 2006. Soil respiration in the cold desert
557	environment of the Colorado Plateau (USA): abiotic regulators and thresholds.
558	Biogeochemistry. 78, 247–65.
559	Fischer, T., 2009. Substantial rewetting phenomena on soil respiration can be observed at low water
560	availability. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 1577–1579.

- Frey, W., Kürschner, H., 1991. Morphological and anatomical adaptation of the species in terrestrial
 bryophyte communities along an ecological transect in the Judean Desert, Israel. Bot. Jahrb.
 Syst. 112, 529–552.
- Gallardo, A., Schlesinger, W. H., 1992. Carbon and nitrogen limitations of soil microbial biomass
 in desert ecosystems. Biogeochemistry. 18, 1–17.
- Gilad, E., von Hardenberg, J., Provenzale, A., Shachak, M., Meron, E., 2007. A mathematical
 model of plants as ecosystem engineers. J. Theor. Biol. 244, 680–691.
- Grote, E. E, Belnap, J., Housman, D. C., Sparks, J. P., 2010. Carbon exchange in biological soil
 crust communities under differential temperatures and soil water content: implications for
 global change. Glob. Change Biol. 16, 2763–2774.
- Hanson, P. J., Edwards, N. T., Garten, C. T., Andrews, J. A., 2000. Separating root and soil
 microbial contributions to soil respiration: A review of methods and observations.
 Biogeochemistry. 48, 115–146.
- Herrnstadt, I., Kidron, G. J., 2005. Reproductive strategies of Bryum dunense in three microhabitats
 in the Negev Desert. Bryologist. 108, 101–109.
- Hu, R., Wang, X. P., Pan, Y. X., Zhang, Y. F., Chen, N., 2015. Seasonal dynamics of soil net
 nitrogen mineralization under moss crust in Shapotou region, northern China. Chinese J.
 Appl. Ecol. 26, 1106–1112.
- Huxman, T. E., Snyder, K. A., Tissue, D. T., Leffler, A. J., Ogle, K., Pockman, W. T., Sandquist, D.
- R., Potts, D. L., Schwinning, S., 2004. Precipitation pulses and carbon fluxes in semiarid
 and arid ecosystems. Oecologia. 141, 254–268.
- Inglima, I., Alberti, G., Bertollini, T., Vaccari, F. P., Gioli, B., Miglietta, F., Cotrufo, M. F.,
- 583 Peressotti, A., 2009. Precipitation pulses enhance respiration of Mediterranean ecosystems:

- The balance between organic and inorganic components of increased soil CO2 efflux. Glob.
 Change Biol. 15, 1289–1301.
- ISO 11260, 1994.Soil quality –Determination of effective cation exchange capacity and base
 saturation level using barium chloride solution. Paris, pp. 10.
- Jacobs, A. F. G, Heusinkveld, B. G., Berkowicz, S. M., 2000. Dew measurements along a
 longitudinal sand dune transect, Negev Desert, Israel. Int. J. Biometeorol. 43, 184–190.
- Jarvis, P. G., Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Rayment, M., Pereira, J. S., Banza, J., David, J. S., Miglietta, F.,
 Valentini, R., 2007. Drying and wetting of soils stimulates decomposition and carbon
 dioxide emission: the "Birch Effect". Tree Physiol. 27, 929–940.
- Johnson, S. L., Kuske, C. R., Carney, T. D., Housman, D. C., Gallegos-Graves, L. V., Belnap, J.,
 2012. Increased temperature and altered summer precipitation have differential effects on
- biological soil crusts in a dryland ecosystem. Glob. Change Biol. 18, 2583–2593.
- Kidron, G. J., Herrnstadt, I., Barzilay, E., 2002. The role of dew as a moisture source for sand
 microbiotic crusts in the Negev Desert, Israel. J. Arid Environ. 52, 517–533.
- <u>Kidron, G.J., Yair, A., Vonshak, A., Abeliovich, A</u> 2003. Microbiotic crust control of runoff
 generation on sand dunes in the Negev Desert. Water Resour. Res. 39, SWC51-SWC55.
- 600 Kidron, G. J., Vonshak, A., Abeliovich, A., 2009. Microbiotic crusts as biomarkers for surface
- stability and wetness duration in the Negev Desert. Earth Surf. Proc.Land. 34, 1594–1604.
- Kidron, G. J., Vonshak, A., Dor, I., Barinova, S., Abeliovich, A., 2010. Properties and spatial
 distribution of microbiotic crusts in the Negev Desert, Israel. Catena. 82, 92–101.
- Kidron, G. F., 2014. Sink plot for runoff measurements on semi-flat terrains: preliminary data and
 their potential hydrological and ecological implications. J. Hydrol. Hydromech. 62, 303–
 308.
- Kidron, G. F., Benenson, I., 2014. Biocrusts serve as biomarkers for the upper 30cm soil water
 content. J. Hydrol. 509, 398–405.

609	Kidron, G. J., Li, X. R., Jia, R. L., Gao, Y. H., Zhang, P., 2015. Assessment of carbon gains from
610	biocrusts inhabiting a dunefield in the Negev Desert. Geoderma. 253–254, 102–110.
611	Kim, J., Verma, S.B., Clements, R.J., 1992. Carbon dioxide budget in a temperate grassland
612	ecosystem. J. Geophys. Res. 97, 6057–6063.
613	Kucharik, C. J., Foley, J. A., Delire, C., Fisher, V. A., Coe, M. T., Lenters, J. D., Young-Molling,
614	C., Ramankutty, N., Norman, J. M., and Gower, S. T., 2000. Testing the Performance of a
615	dynamic global ecosystem model: water balance, carbon balance, and vegetation structure,
616	Glob. Biogeochem. Cy. 14, 795–825.
617	Ladron de Guevara, M., Lazaro, R., Quero, J. L., Ochoa, B., Berdugo, M., Ucles, O., Escolar, C.,
618	Maestre, F. T., 2014. Simulated climate change reduced the capacity of lichen-dominated
619	biocrusts to act as carbon sinks in two semi-arid Mediterranean ecosystems. Biodivers.
620	Conserv. 23, 1787–1807.
621	Lange, O. L., Kidron, G. J., Budel, B., Meyer, A., Kilian, E., Abeliovich, A., 1992. Taxonomic
622	composition and photosynthetic characteristics of the 'biological soil crusts' covering sand
623	dunes in the western Negev Desert. Funct. Ecol. 6, 519-527.
624	Lange, O. L., Meyer, A., Zellner, H., Heber, U., 1994. Photosynthesis and water relations of lichen
625	soil crusts: field measurements in the coastal fog zone of the Namib Desert. Funct. Ecol. 8,
626	253–264.

- Lange, O.L., 2003. Photosynthesis of soil-crust biota as dependent on environmental factors, in:
 Belnap, J., Lange, O. L. (Eds.), Biological soil crusts: structure, function, and management.
 Springer, Berlin, pp. 217–40.
- Lloyd, J., Taylor, J. A., 1994. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. Funct. Ecol. 8,
 315–323.

632	Mager, D. M, Thomas, A. D., 2011. Extracellular polysaccharides from cyanobacterial soil crusts: a
633	review of their role in dryland soil processes. J. Arid Environ. 75, 91-97.
634	Marras, S., Pyles, R. D., Sirca, C., Paw, U. K. T., Snyder, R. L., Duce, P., Spano, D., 2011.
635	Evaluation of the advanced canopy-atmosphere-soil algorithm (ACASA) model
636	performance over Mediterranean maquis ecosystem. Agr. Forest Meteorol. 151, 730-745.
637	Maestre, F. T., Cortina, J., 2003. Small-scale spatial variation in soil CO2 efflux in a Mediterranean
638	semiarid steppe. Appl. Soil Ecol. 23, 199–209.
639	Maestre, T., Bowker, M. A., Puche, M. D., Hinojosa, M. B., Martinez, I., Garcia-Palacios, P.,
640	Castillo, A. P., Soliveres, S., Luzuriaga, A. L., Sanchez, A. M., Carreira, J. A., Gallardo, A.,
641	Escudero, A., 2009. Shrub encroachment can reverse desertification in Mediterranean
642	semiarid grasslands. Ecol. Lett. 12, 930-41.
643	Morillas, L., Portillo-Estrada, M., Gallardo, A., 2013. Wetting and drying events determine soil N
644	pools in two Mediterranean ecosystems. Appl. Soil Ecol.72, 160-170.
645	Morillas, L., Gallardo, A., 2015. Biological soil crusts and wetting events: Effects on soil N and C
646	cycles. Appl. Soil Ecol. 94, 1–6.
647	Moro, M. J., Were, A., Villagarcia, L., Canton, Y., Domingo, F., 2007. Dew measurement by Eddy
648	covariance and wetness sensor in a semiarid ecosystem of SE Spain. J. Hydrol. 335, 295-
649	302.
650	Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanabe, F. S., Dean L. A., 1954. Estimation of Available Phosphorus in
651	Soils by Extraction with Sodium Bicarbonate. U. S. Department of Agriculture Circular No.
652	939. Banderis, A. D., Barter, D. H., Anderson, K. Agricultural and Advisor.
653	Poll, C., Marhan, S., Back, F., Niklaus, P. A., Kandeler, E., 2013. Field-scale manipulation of soil
654	temperature and precipitation change soil CO2 flux in a temperate agricultural ecosystem.
655	Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 165, 88–97.

656	Qi, YC., Dong, YS., Jin, Z., Peng, Q., Xiao S. –S., He, Y. –T. 2010. Spatial heterogeneity of soil
657	nutrients and respiration in the desertified grasslands of inner mongolia, China. Pedosphere.
658	20, 655-665.

- Raich, J.W., Tufekcioglu, A., 2000. Vegetation and soil respiration: correlations and controls.
 Biogeochemistry. 48, 71–90.
- Reichstein, M., Tenhunen, J. D., Roupsard, O., Ourcival, J. M., Rambal, S., Dore, S., Valentini, R.,
 2002. Ecosystem respiration in two Mediterranean evergreen Holm Oak forests: drought
 effects and decomposition dynamics. Funct. Ecol. 16(1), 27–39.
- Rey, A., Pegoraro, E., Oyonarte, C., Were, A., Escribano, P., Raimundo, J., 2011. Impact of land
 degradation on soil respiration in a steppe (Stipa tenacissima L.) semi-arid ecosystem in the
 SE of Spain. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 393–403.
- Rey, A., 2015. Mind the gap: Non-biological processes contributing to soil CO2 efflux. Glob.
 Change Biol. 21, 1752–1761.
- Reynolds, R., Belnap, J., Reheis, M., Lamothe, P., Luiszer, F., 2001. Aeolian dust in Colorado
 Plateau soils: nutrient inputs and recent change in source. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 98,
 7123–7127.
- Reynolds, J. F., Stafford Smith, M., Lambin, E. F., Turner, B. LII., Mortimore, M., Batterbury, S. P.
- J., Downing, T. E., Dowlatabadi, H., Fernandez, R.J., Herrick, J.E., Huber-Sannvald, E.,
 Leemans, R., Lynam, T., Maestre, F. T., Ayarza, M., Walker, B., 2007. Global
- desertification: building a science for dryland development. Science. 316, 847–51.
- Spano, D., Snyder, R. L., Sirca, C., Duce, P., 2009. ECOWAT-A model for ecosystem
 evapotranspiration estimation. Agr. Forest Meteorol. 149, 1584–1596.
- Stubbs, M. M., Pyke, D. A., 2005. Available nitrogen: a time-based study of manipulated resource
 islands. Plant Soil. 270,123–33.

- Thomas, A. D., Hoon, S. R., Linton, P. E., 2008. Carbon dioxide fluxes from cyanobacteria crusted
 soils in the Kalahari. Appl. Soil Ecol. 39, 254–263.
- Thomas, A. D., Hoon, S. R., 2010. Carbon dioxide fluxes from biologically-crusted Kalahari Sands
 after simulated wetting. J. Arid Environ. 74, 131–139.
- Thomas, A. D., Hoon, S. R., Dougill, A. J., 2011.Soil respiration at five sites along the Kalahari
 Transect: Effects of temperature, precipitation pulses and biological soil crust cover.
 Geoderma. 167–168, 284–294.
- Thornton, P. E., Law, B. E., Gholz, H. L., Clark, K. L., Falge, E., Ellsworth, D. S., Goldstein, A. H.,
 Monson, R. K., Hollinger, D., Falk, M., Chen, J., Sparks, J. P., 2002. Modeling and
 measuring the effects of disturbance history and climate on carbon and water budgets in
 evergreen needleleaf forests. Agric. Forest Meteorol. 113, 185–222.
- Unger, S., Máguas, C., Pereira, J.S., David, T.S., Werner, C., 2010. The influence of precipitation
 pulses on soil respiration—assessing the Birch effect by stable carbon isotopes. Soil Biol.
 Biochem. 42, 1800–1810.
- Unger, S., Maguas, C., Pereira, J. S., David, T. S., Werner, C., 2012. Interpreting post-drought
 rewetting effects on soil and ecosystem carbon dynamics in a Mediterranean oak savannah.
 Agric. Forest Meteorol. 154–155, 9–18.

USDA, Soil Conservation Service, 1983. National Engineering Handbook US Government Printing
 Office, Washington, DC.Vargas, R., Carbone, M. S., Reichstein, M., Baldocchi, D. D.,

- 699 2011. Frontiers and challenges in soil respiration research: from measurements to model-data
 700 integration. Biogeochemistry. 102, 1–13.
- Van Gestel, M., Ladd, J. N., Amato, M., 1992. Microbial biomass responses to seasonal change and
 imposed drying regimes at increasing depths of undisturbed topsoil profiles. Soil Biol.
 Biochem. 24, 103–111.

704	Veste, M., Heusinkveld, B. G., Berkowicz, S. M., Breckle, S. W., Littmann, T., Jacobs, A. F. G., et
705	al., 2008. Dew formation and activity of biological soil crusts, in: Breckle, S. W. (Ed.), Arid
706	Dune Ecosystems. Ecological Studies, vol. 200. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 305-318.
707	Wilske, B., Burgheimer, J., Karnieli, A., Zaady, E., Andreae, M. O., Yakir, D., Kesselmeier, J.,
708	2008. The CO ₂ exchange of biological soil crusts in a semiarid grass-shrubland at the
709	northern transition zone of the Negev desert, Israel. Biogeosciences. 5, 1411–1423.
710	Wilske, B., Burgheimer, J., Maseyk, K., Karnieli, A., Zaady, E., Andreae, M. O., Yakir, D.,
711	Kesselmeier, J., 2009. Modeling the variability in annual carbon fluxes related to biological
712	soil crusts in a Mediterranean shrubland. Biogeosciences discussions. 6, 7295–7324.
713	Yu, J., Kidron, G. J., Pen-Mouratov, S., Wasserstroma, H., Barness, G, Steinberger, Y., 2012. Do
714	development stages of biological soil crusts determine activity and functional diversity in a
715	sand-dune ecosystem?. Soil Biol. Biochem. 51, 66-72.
716	Zak, D. R., Tilman, D., Parmenter, R. R., Rice, C. W., Fisher, F. M., Vose, J., Milchunas, D.,
717	Martin, C. W., 1994. Plant production and soil microorganisms in late successional
718	ecosystems: a continental-scale study. Ecology. 75, 2333–2347.
719	Zelikova, T. J., Housman, D. C., Grote, E. D., Neher, D., Belnap, J., 2012. Biological soil crusts
720	show limited response to warming but larger response to increased precipitation frequency:
721	implications for soil processes on the Colorado Plateau. Plant Soil. 355, 265-282.
722	Zhang, Z., Li, X. R., Nowak, R. S., Wu, P., Gao, Y. H., Zhao, Y., Huang, L., Hu, Y. G., Jia, R. L.,
723	2013. Effect of sand-stabilizing shrubs on soil respiration in a temperate desert. Plant Soil.
724	367, 449–463.
725	Zhao, Y., Li, X. R., Zhang, Z., Hu, Y., Chen, Y., 2014. Biological soil crusts influence carbon
726	release responses following rainfall in a temperate desert, northern China. Ecol. Res. 29,
727	889–896.
728	

729 Figure captions

730	Figure 1. Monthly precipitation and average, minimum, and maximum air temperature at the study
731	site from January 2012 to December 2014.
732	Figure 2. (a) Daily sum of precipitation and soil water content (SWC) at 5 and 20 cm at the
733	experimental site. (b) Daily average, minimum, and maximum soil temperature (T_s)
734	measured at 5 cm depth.
735	Figure 3. CO ₂ efflux emitted by (a) soil deprived of biocrust (<i>Soil</i>), (b) biocrust surface (<i>BC</i>), and
736	(c) intact soil (<i>Soil+BC</i>). The <i>BC</i> contribution to <i>Soil+BC</i> respiration rate is also shown
737	(gray line). Error bars are \pm SE, n = 16 for <i>BC</i> and <i>Soil</i> + <i>BC</i> , n = 8 for <i>Soil</i> .
738	Figure 4. (a) Daily values of modeled respiration rates emitted by soil deprived of biocrust (Soil),
739	biocrust surface (BC), and intact soil (Soil+BC). (b) Modeled BC contribution to Soil+BC
740	respiration rate.

Table 1. Soil chemical properties in the top 5 and 10 cm of the soil profile at the experimental site.
No significant differences between depths were found. Soil sampling was performed in April 2014.
SE means Standard Error (n = 8).

Soil properties Soil depth				
	5 cm		10 cm	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
рН	7.25	0.12	7.26	0.11
Total C (%)	3.56	0.57	2.77	0.40
Total N (%)	0.194	0.03	0.162	0.02
C/N	19.25	2.34	16.62	1.31
Phosphate (P ₂ O ₅ , mg/kg)	1.75	0.45	1.12	0.30
CaCO ₃ (g/kg)	8.62	2.53	7.37	2.07
Organic matter (g/kg)	61.03	9.44	48.33	6.63
Ca (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	12.80	3.09	11.77	2.23
Mg (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	5.88	0.65	6.01	0.51
Na (meq 100 g^{-1})	0.99	0.10	1.11	0.13
K (meq 100 g ⁻¹)	1.32	0.10	1.53	0.14

Table 2. Summary of partial correlations among CO2 efflux, soil temperature (Ts) and soil watercontent (SWC) at 5 and 20 cm depth respectively for soil deprived of biocrust (Soil), biocrustsurface (BC) and intact soil (Soil+BC). Statistical differences are indicated as p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01(**), p < 0.001 (***). P values below 0.05 are indicated in bold.

			Ts	SWC-5cm		Ts	SWC-20cm
754	Soil	CO ₂ efflux	0.594*	0.546*	CO ₂ efflux	0.632**	0.563*
		T _s		-0.589*	T _s		-0.700**
755	BC	CO ₂ efflux	-0.178	0.710 ^{***}	CO ₂ efflux	-0.093	0.502
/55		Ts		-0.111	Ts		-0.396
	Soil+B	C CO ₂ efflux	0.495	0.749 ^{***}	CO ₂ efflux	0.499	0.643**
		Ts		-0.568*	Ts		-0.661**

Table 3. Summary of models simulating CO₂ efflux for soil deprived of biocrust (*Soil*), biocrust surface (*BC*) and intact soil (*Soil+BC*). Soil temperature (T_s) and water content (SWC), at both 5 and 20 cm depth, are used as main predictor in linear, multi-linear, and Lloyd and Taylor (LLT) equations. The best model predicting soil respiration rates for each surface is indicated in bold. Statistical differences are indicated as p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***). The Pearson's correlation coefficient (r), the root mean squared error (RMSE, µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), the index of agreement (d), and the Akaike's information criterion (AIC) test are calculated for each model.

		r	RMSE	d	AIC
Soil	Ts	0.403	0,199	0,534	0.57
	SWC-5cm	0.302	0.208	0.434	1.7
	SWC-20cm	0.218	0.212	0.327	2.4
	T _s +SWC-5cm	0.642*	0.167	0.763	-2.4
	T _s +SWC _{-20cm}	0.654*	0.165	0.769	-2.8
	LLT mod (SWC-5cm)	0.440	0.196	0.563	4.0
	LLT mod (SWC-20cm)	0.814***	0.127	0.887	-8.1
BC	Ts	0.367	0.212	0.488	2.3
	SWC-5cm	0.746**	0.152	0.838	-7.0
	SWC-20cm	0.589^{*}	0.184	0.711	-1.6
	T _s +SWC _{-5cm}	0.755**	0.149	0.847	-5.5
	T _s +SWC _{-20cm}	0.594*	0.183	0.710	0.3
	LLT mod (SWC-5cm)	0.616*	0.180	0.726	1.7
	LLT mod (SWC-20cm)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Soil+BC	Ts	0.128	0.291	0.189	11.2
	SWC-5cm	0.654^{*}	0.222	0.783	3.6
	SWC-20cm	0.482	0.258	0.631	7.7
	T _s +SWC _{-5cm}	0.754**	0.193	0.849	1.7
	T _s +SWC _{-20cm}	0.650^{*}	0.223	0.775	5.7
	LLT mod (SWC-5cm)	0.267	0.283	0.340	14.4

764

766	Table 4. Summary of the best models simulating CO ₂ efflux for soil deprived of biocrust (<i>Soil</i>),
767	biocrust surface (<i>BC</i>) and intact soil (<i>Soil</i> + <i>BC</i>). Statistical differences are indicated as $p < 0.05$ (*),
768	p < 0.01 (**), $p < 0.001$ (***). Depending on the model the intercept parameter (a), the T _s slope (b),
769	the SWC slope (c), the ecosystem respiration rate (R_{ref}), the activation energy parameter (E_0), the
770	residual SWC at zero repiration (SWC ₀) and SWC at half of maximal respiration rate (SWC _{$1/2$})
771	were calculated.

	Model	R _{ref}	E ₀	SWC_0	$SWC_{1/2}$	а	b	c
		1.54	84.62	18.49	18.98			
Soil	LLT mod (SWC-20cm)	(0.16)	(25.62)	(1.01)	(0.54)			
		***	**	***	***			
						-1.07		0.09
BC	SWC-5cm					(0.33)		(0.02)
						**		**
						-0.29	0.02	0.12
Soil+BC	T _s +SWC _{-5cm}					(0.66)	(0.01)	(0.03)
							*	**