Effects of vineyard floor cover crops on grapevine vigor, yield, and fruit quality, and the development of the vine mealybug under a Mediterranean climate

Effects of vineyard floor cover crops on grapevine vigor, yield, and fruit quality, and the development of the vine mealybug under a Mediterranean climate

Enrico Muscas [a], Arturo Cocco [a,*], Luca Mercenaro [a], Matteo Cabras [b], Andrea Lentini [a], Claudio Porqueddu [b], Giovanni Nieddu [a]

[a] Department of Agriculture, University of Sassari, Viale Italia 39, 07100 Sassari, Italy

[b] National Research Council (CNR) – ISPAAM, Traversa La Crucca, 3 – località Baldinca, 07100 Sassari, Italy

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: acocco@uniss.it (A. Cocco).

ABSTRACT

The influence of complete cover cropping (inter- and intra-row) on grapevine growth, yield and must quality was evaluated in a three-year field trial in a commercial vineyard in northwestern Sardinia (Italy). Effects on developmental and reproductive parameters of the vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), were also investigated. The cover crop treatments were: natural covering, legume mixture, grass mixture, and conventional soil tillage, which was included as the reference treatment. Relative to soil tillage, cover crops reduced grape production by modifying yield components in different ways: legume mixture reduced the cluster weight, whereas grass mixture led to a lower number of clusters per vine coupled with a lower cluster weight. Cover crops also altered the must qualities relative to soil tillage. Grass mixture increased the content of sugar, anthocyanins and polyphenols, whereas legume mixture and natural covering reduced total polyphenols and anthocyanin content, respectively. All the *P. ficus* biological parameters examined were affected by the floor management practices. Mealybugs reared on grapevines subjected to soil tillage and legume covering showed a faster development time and higher survival, fecundity and fertility than those developed on natural covering and grass plots. The vine mealybug showed a higher performance on grapevines with a higher nitrogen content and vigor. Effects of cover crop treatments appear to be mediated through nutrient availability and content in grape plants. Consequently, utilizing competitive cover crops, while reducing yields, would improve must quality and reduce pest development.

Keywords: *Vitis vinifera*; Cover crops; Grape quality; *Planococcus ficus*; Mealybug development; Mealybug fecundity.

1. Introduction

Cover crops are important ecological vineyard management tools, which improve the soil structure and soil erosion control, enrich nitrogen and organic matter content, and regulate excessive grapevine vigor (Pardini et al., 2002). Many experiments have been carried out to better identify the influence of different floor covers in grapevine vegetative growth, yield, berry and wine quality (Monteiro and Lopes, 2007; Guerra and Steenwerth, 2012; Mercenaro et al., 2014). Today, cover crops are widely used in vineyard inter-rows combined with herbicide strips under the vines.

Cover cropping the entire vineyard floor (intra and inter-row) may increase the control of excessive vine vigor, with consequent changes in grape quality, and reduce the herbicide use and associated risks, such as plant injury by spray drift, evolution of weed resistance (Powles et al., 1997), contamination of groundwater (Thurman et al., 1996), and reduction in agro-ecosystem biodiversity (Danne et al., 2010; Sanguankeo and León, 2011). The reduction in herbicide use would also facilitate compliance with EU directives and regulations that restrict or ban the use of several pesticides and promote the development of integrated control techniques and the use of environmentally friendly tools (European Union, 2009a, 2009b).

Few studies have investigated the influence of complete floor cover crops (inter- and intra-row) on grapevine, especially when cultivated in semi-arid conditions. In a Chenin blanc vineyard under dryland conditions in South Africa, weeds and cover crops competed with grapevines during the growing season, thus decreasing vegetative growth and yield (Van Huyssteen and Weber, 1980). Other studies found similar effects, but alterations in the canopy architecture and reductions in grapevine vigor and crop yield were only observed after several years (Tesic et al., 2007; Gontier et al., 2011).

In order to reduce the excessive grape vigor and crop yield and thus improve the grape quality, several crop regulation techniques, such as shoot and cluster thinning (Naor et al., 2002; Calderon-Orellana et al., 2014; Gamero et al., 2014) and early defoliation (Poni et al., 2006; Silvestroni et al., 2016) have been evaluated. Inter-row cover crops have also been tested in multi-year experiments for regulating grape production. The overall results showed no influence on crop yield, while changes in the must composition were observed after 2-3 years (Lopes et al., 2008; Mercenaro et al., 2014). One of the aims of the present work was to study various complete floor cover crops as a cultural practice to reduce excessive grape vigor and productivity by evaluating grapevine growth, yield and fruit composition parameters.

Cover crops can also alter vineyard insect pest dynamics and may play a role in integrated pest management programs. Cover crops can affect pest dynamics through altering plant and natural enemy diversity (top-down effects) as well as modifying nutrient status and vigor of vines (bottom-up effects) (Landis et al., 2000; Thomson and Hoffmann, 2013; Veres et al., 2013). However, increasing plant diversity does not always increase pest control (Bone et al., 2009; D'Alberto et al., 2012). Evidence suggests that when cover crops reduce the nitrogen content in crops, the growth and development of plant-feeding insects are reduced as individual and population growth of these insects is typically N-limited (Wilson et al., 1988; Hunt et al., 1992; Cocco et al., 2015).

In vineyards, cover crops have had variable effects on pest densities. For example, competition for water and nutrients caused lower plant vigor and reduced leafhopper density due to a poorer host quality (Costello and Daane, 2003). On the other hand, a higher abundance of the vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* Signoret (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), was observed as a consequence of the suppression of tillage which promoted the development of ant populations and, therefore, the disruption of its natural enemies (Serra et al., 2006; Mgocheki and Addison, 2010; Mansour et al., 2012). *P. ficus* is a key widespread pest in the

main grape growing areas which severely reduces the economic yield of table grape and the quality of wine grape, in addition to being a vector of several viruses and diseases (Daane et al., 2012).

From the perspective of a more sustainable viticulture oriented towards high-quality production with a reduced use of insecticides and herbicides, we investigated the influence of different complete floor covers on the grapevine yield and must quality, and the bottom-up effects of cover crops on development and reproduction of the vine mealybug in a three-year survey conducted in a commercial vineyard under Mediterranean climatic conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study site and experimental design

The experiment was carried out between 2013 and 2015, in a 17-year-old vineyard, cv. Carignano, located at 40 m a.s.l. in northwestern Sardinia (Italy, 40°33'28''44 N; 08°19'19''56 E). Prior to this study, the site was used for a separate cover crop trial (Mercenaro et al., 2014). The cultivar Carignano is widely cultivated in Sardinia, Spain (known as Cariñena and Mazuela) and southern France (Carignan noir), and it is a highly productive and vigorous cultivar when cultivated in fertile soils (Christensen et al., 2003). Vines were grafted onto 779 P rootstock, trained by a spur-pruned cordon (commonly with five spurs with two buds each) and spaced 2.7 m between rows and 1.0 m within rows. The site has a relatively uniform calcareous alluvial soil, with an average depth of 60-70 cm, and the following physico-chemical characteristics: sand 51.0%, clay 24.9%, silt 24.1%; pH = 7.44; organic matter content = 16 g kg^{-1}. Vines were drip-irrigated three times per year from late June to mid August (corresponding to about 700 m^3 ha^{-1} $year^{-1}$). The experimental

vineyard is characterized by a typical central Mediterranean climate, with mild winters and hot dry summers, and precipitations concentrated between October and May (560 mm average total annual rainfall). Daily temperature, relative humidity and rainfall during the survey were recorded by a weather station positioned in the vineyard. In 2013, annual and spring rainfall were higher compared with 2014 and 2015, while summer precipitations were generally scarce, especially in 2014 when the dry season lasted from June to October. Temperatures varied among years. 2015 had a relatively colder winter and hotter summer, resulting in increased abiotic stress for plant growth.

The present study was conducted in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Each plot was 32 m long and 5.4 m wide (width of two inter-rows) and consisted of a central experimental row of 32 grapevines and two adjacent inter-rows on either side of the study row. Plots were separated by a single border row. The following floor management systems were compared: natural covering (NC) with a dominance of annual grasses (*Bromus hordeaceus* L., *Avena sterilis* L. and *Vulpia myuros* L.); cover crop of an annual self-reseeding legume mixture (LM): *Medicago polymorpha* L. cv Anglona (50%) and *Trifolium yanninicum* Katzn. and Morley cv Gosse (50%); grass mixture (GM) cover consisting of a summer semi-dormant perennial grass, *Dactylis glomerata* L. cv Currie (80%) and an annual self-reseeding grass, *Lolium rigidum* Gaud. cv Nurra (20%); soil tillage (ST) as the reference treatment. Grass and legume mixtures are expressed by the percentage of viable seed number m^{-2}. LM was over-seeded by hand in the inter-rows, whereas a full covering of *D. glomerata* was present in the GM inter-rows from the previous trial.

Cover crops were seeded along LM and GM rows in mid November 2012 at a rate of 30 kg ha^{-1}, and plots were rolled immediately afterwards. Since the re-establishment of LM in autumn 2013 was unsatisfactory due to adverse weather conditions, an over-sowing was performed in mid February 2014 at the rate of 20 kg ha^{-1}. No herbicides or fertilizers were

used on cover crop plots during the trial. The only exception was on LM plots where the non-residual herbicide glyphosate (Roundup Power 2.0, Monsanto, Milano, Italy) was sprayed once in late October 2012 at the rate of 2.5 L ha^{-1} before LM sowing in order to remove a severe infestation of annual and perennial grasses. Glyphosate is most effective against perennial weeds and less costly than pre-emergence herbicides or soil tillage (Monteiro and Moreira, 2004; Tourte et al., 2008).

2.2 Cover crop assessment

In each cover crop plot, the following parameters were observed:

- establishment and re-establishment of autumn swards by counting in each plot the number of seedlings (annuals) or plants (*D. glomerata*) in four sampling areas (25 × 50 cm) when legumes reached the third trifoliate leaf stage;
- seasonal sward covering rate (%) and presence of unsown species by monthly visual estimation of the whole plots;
- dry matter yield (DMY) and its botanical composition in four sampling areas of 100 × 50 cm in each plot. Swards were mowed when their height reached 10-15 cm in order to control the cover crop vegetative growth and ensure a proper establishment and self-reseeding of annuals. Plant samples were oven-dried at 60 °C to constant weight and then weighed to determine the above-ground dry matter yield.

2.3 Grapevine leaf nitrogen content, vegetative growth and crop yield

The content of nitrogen on leaves was estimated with the SPAD 502 Chlorophyll Meter (Minolta, Osaka, Japan), which is a non-destructive portable tool to measure the chlorophyll

concentration in leaves (Shaahan et al., 1999; Porro et al., 2001). The nitrogen content in grapevine leaves is closely related with SPAD readings (r^2 = 0.989) (Cocco et al., 2015). The leaf nitrogen content was estimated on six dates in spring-summer 2013 and 2014 and eight times in 2015 by measuring the SPAD values in five leaves opposite to basal clusters on each plant artificially-infested with *P. ficus* mealybugs.

The grapevine growth and productivity was evaluated in the central 20 vines of each experimental row. The supernumerary shoots were thinned after bud break, and the number of shoots per vine was then determined. Each year, the evolution of fruit composition was assessed from veraison to harvest in 600 berries per plot randomly collected approximately every two weeks starting from the stage of '50% veraison', corresponding to 60, 72 and 74 days after anthesis (DAA) in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. Berries were weighed and crushed, and total soluble solids (°Brix), pH and titratable acidity of juice were determined in accordance with the procedures of the Organisation Internationale de la Vigne et du Vin (O.I.V., 2006). Total anthocyanins and polyphenols were evaluated by spectrophotometry, measuring ultraviolet absorption at 520 nm and 700 nm, respectively (Di Stefano and Cravero, 1991). All the grapevines investigated were harvested on the same dates: 3 October 2013 (130 DAA), 7 October 2014 (127 DAA) and 12 October 2015 (137 DAA). Vine yield and yield composition (cluster and berry weights, and number of clusters per vine) were determined by weighing ten clusters randomly chosen for each replicate and ten berries randomly picked from each cluster. The weight of the dry pruning wood was recorded during the dormant season in order to estimate the vegetative growth and calculate the Ravaz index (determined as the ratio between crop yield and pruning wood).

2.4 Vine mealybug biological parameters

189 The response of *P. ficus* to different floor management systems was investigated in artificial
190 cohorts established on grapevines. Mealybugs were obtained from a mass-rearing colony
191 maintained on sprouted potato placed inside Plexiglas cages (30 × 30 × 30 cm) with two sides
192 covered with mesh for ventilation. The culture was maintained at 26 ± 1 °C, 60-70% RH, in
193 constant darkness. In order to obtain eggs of the same age, a number of ovipositing females
194 were placed with a sable-hair brush (gauge 000) in 2 × 2 cm strips of cardboard and allowed
195 to oviposit for 24 hours, after which females were removed. Eggs were counted under a
196 dissecting microscope and held in a growth chamber at 25 °C for seven days. Batches of 500
197 hatching eggs were used to infest one shoot from each of three separate plants per plot by
198 securing the cardboard strips to the abaxial surface of a median leaf in order to minimize *P.*
199 *ficus* handling.
200 Experimental plants were inspected before the study to ensure the absence of wild populations
201 of mealybugs in the canopy and under the bark. Trials started on 14 June 2013, 30 May 2014
202 and 3 June 2015 (egg release) and ended on 5 August 2013, 16 July 2014 and 20 July 2015
203 (count of remaining females). During their development, mealybugs were confined by
204 covering 3-4 leaves of the artificially-infested shoot with a cage of spun-bonded
205 polypropylene fabric (Agribon AG-15, 18.65 g m^{-2}, 90% light transmission) secured at both
206 ends with elastic bands. Cages protected mealybugs from natural enemies and prevented the
207 spread of *P. ficus* immatures within the canopy, which would have dramatically increased the
208 time and effort required for a daily check of the experimental plants.
209 Starting three weeks after egg release, all leaves, petioles and stems inside the cages were
210 inspected daily, and the first 20 females at the onset of oviposition were collected with a
211 sable-hair brush (gauge 00) and placed inside plastic containers. Ovipositing females were
212 stored in a cooler at ~10 °C during the transport back to the laboratory. The dates of collection
213 were recorded in order to determine the development time from egg eclosion to ovipositing

female. All the mealybugs from the different treatments were stored under the same laboratory conditions and allowed to complete oviposition inside the containers, upon which the fecundity was determined under a dissecting microscope by counting the number of first instar nymphs and unhatched eggs. In 2014 and 2015, the fertility was also calculated as the percentage of hatched first-instar nymphs. The survival to adulthood was estimated in each plant by counting adult females since males could not be counted due to their small size and short lifespan. The mealybug survival was estimated as follows: [adult females/(released eggs × percentage of female eggs released)] × 100, assuming a percentage of female eggs of 60.3% (Cocco et al., 2015).

2.5 Data analysis

The cover crop dry matter yield, the grapevine growth and yield variables, and the mealybug development and reproductive parameters were compared using a generalized linear mixed model (PROC GLIMMIX, SAS Institute 2008) with cover crops as fixed and blocks as random effects. In order to compare parameters among years, the treatment factor 'year' was included as a fixed effect (Giese et al., 2014). In the model, numerical and percentage data were assumed to follow normal and binomial distributions, respectively. The patterns of SPAD values and cover crop soil covering rates during the experiments were compared with the same treatment factors previously described (i.e. cover crops and year) and separated among treatments by analysis of variance with a repeated-measures design (PROC MIXED, SAS Institute 2008). Treatments and treatment interactions were compared by Tukey's post hoc test at the significance level of 0.05. When the interaction was significant, differences among cover crops were further investigated within each year. When needed, letter displays indicating significant treatment difference were generated with the %MULT macro within

239 PROC GLIMMIX (Piepho, 2012). Data from plants affected by esca disease were not
240 included in the statistical analyses.
241
242 **3. Results**
243
244 *3.1 Cover crop covering and composition*
245
246 Both NC and GM cover crops established quickly and provided consistent and similar cover
247 through seasons and among years (>77%) (Fig. 1). On the other hand, LM failed to re-
248 establish in the autumn of the first year, resulting in a significantly lower covering rate than
249 NC and GM in 2013. After the over-sowing in February 2014, LM had similar covering rate
250 to other treatments.
251 Growth of cover crops, and thus the mowing frequency, varied by year due to climate
252 conditions. Plots were mowed once in 2013 and 2014 and three times in 2015 (Fig. 2). The
253 production of dry matter differed significantly by mowing date and year, and main effect
254 interactions were also significant. NC produced significantly less dry matter than LM in 2013
255 and less than both LM and GM in 2014. In the last year of the study, LM and NC were in
256 general more productive than GM. Seeded species dominated the stands of LM and GM with
257 >61% and >85% of DMY, respectively. The most common weeds were: *Plantago lanceolata*
258 L., *Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronq., *Senecio vulgaris* L., *Avena sterilis* L., *Poa annua* L.,
259 *Sonchus oleraceus* L.
260
261 *3.2 Grapevine leaf nitrogen content, vegetative growth and crop yield*
262

263 The different floor management systems significantly affected the leaf nitrogen content of
264 grapevines, assessed as SPAD values, in all three years of the survey (Table 1). In 2013 and
265 2015, ST and LM treatments exhibited higher leaf nitrogen content (averaged across season)
266 than GM and NC, while the nitrogen concentration in 2014 differed in all treatment groups
267 (ST>LM>GM>NC, $P < 0.05$).
268 The number of shoots per vine did not vary across treatments in any of the years (Table 2) as
269 a consequence of the removal of supernumerary shoots. Relative to the grapevine vigor, the
270 GM treatment in the first year showed statistically lower pruning weights than all the other
271 treatments. In 2014 and 2015, ST grapevines produced significantly more pruning wood than
272 other treatments, while GM vines exhibited the lowest values confirming the observation of
273 the first year. The Ravaz index varied significantly by year but it was not affected by the
274 different floor management systems (Table 2).
275 Grapevine yield differed significantly among treatments during the trial. Soil tillage promoted
276 higher grape production than cover crops in all experimental years except in 2013 (Table 3).
277 Focusing on the various floor covers, yield in GM was consistently lower than that in NC and
278 LM plots in all three years of observations. Regardless of the treatment, the yield harvested in
279 2013 was higher and almost twice that of the following year, while in 2015 the production
280 was intermediate compared with 2013 and 2014 (Table 3).
281 In relation to yield components, the number of clusters per vine was lower in GM plots than
282 in other treatments, with significant differences in 2014 and 2015, suggesting that the lower
283 production depended on a lower number of clusters per vine (Table 3). Relative to ST, cover
284 crop effects on cluster weight were not consistent among years (cover crop × year interaction
285 $P < 0.05$), but tended to reduce the weight of clusters. These effects were most consistent in
286 GM plots. In 2013, cluster weight was similar in ST, NC and LM and greater than GM. ST
287 produced heavier clusters than GM and LM in 2014 and than all other treatments in 2015.

288 Berry weight was not affected by either soil tillage or cover crops in 2013, while it tended to
289 be lower in LM and higher in NC vines in the following years.
290 The floor management significantly influenced most of the fruit composition parameters at
291 harvest (Figs. 3 and 4), except for total acidity and pH (data not shown). However, the must
292 quality changed significantly from vintage to vintage. Overall, the 2013 vintage was
293 characterized by grapes with lower soluble solids content and higher acidity than the other
294 two vintages, while the highest sugar levels at harvest were achieved in 2014 regardless of
295 soil management. Focusing on differences in the phenolic component among vintages, the
296 total anthocyanins were the lowest in 2013 and highest in 2015. Conversely, the total
297 polyphenols were less influenced by vintage, and were significantly lower than in previous
298 years only in 2015.
299 Effects of cover crop treatments on the sugar content were not consistent among years (cover
300 crop × year interaction $P < 0.05$). No effects were observed at harvest in the first year of the
301 study but significant differences were found among treatments in the final two years. In 2014,
302 the sugar level detected on GM vines (22.7 °Brix) was higher than on LM vines (20.7 °Brix),
303 while soluble solids in 2015 were significantly higher on GM than on ST vines (20.8 and 18.9
304 °Brix, respectively) (Fig. 3). The total acidity was influenced by treatments only in the first
305 sampling dates of each season, while at harvest no differences among cover crops were
306 recorded (Fig. 3).
307 The color intensity, measured as total anthocyanins, generally increased along with the
308 ripening process in all treatments (Fig. 4). At harvest, the anthocyanin content of grapes in
309 NC was consistently the lowest, while other treatments had similar concentrations to each
310 other in the first two years. In 2015, anthocyanins in GM were higher than in LM and ST. The
311 concentration of total polyphenols in NC, LM and GM plots increased in the first weeks of
312 ripening and then declined slowly until harvest, except in 2013 on LM vines (Fig. 4).

Conversely, vines subjected to traditional soil tillage showed a steady increase in total polyphenols from veraison to harvest in 2013 and 2014. The statistical analysis indicates that at harvest 2013, the polyphenol content was higher in ST and LM grapes than GM, which in turn was higher than NC. In 2014, LM showed a lower concentration of polyphenols at harvest compared to the other treatments. In the last harvest, a higher accumulation of polyphenols was observed on GM and ST than NC berries, with LM grapes showing the lowest polyphenol content.

3.3 Vine mealybug biological parameters

All the vine mealybug biological parameters investigated were significantly affected by ground covers, especially in 2014 and 2015 (Table 4). In 2013, the development time from egg hatching to ovipositing female was shorter in mealybugs collected in ST and LM plots than in NC plots, while ST values in 2014 differed from all cover crop treatments. In 2015, mealybugs on ST and LM plants developed faster than those in NC and GM plots. The pest survival was highly variable in the first two years of the survey, when differences were not significant. Conversely, mealybug survival was higher in LM plots than in other treatments in 2015. In 2013, the floor management systems did not affect the fecundity of *P. ficus* females, while the fecundity in 2014 was higher in mealybugs developed in ST and LM grapevines compared with those reared in NC. In 2015, the number of eggs oviposited by mealybugs in LM was higher than that observed in ST treatment, which in turn was higher than that recorded in NC and GM plots. The fertility was statistically higher in LM (2014 and 2015) and in ST plots (2015) compared to NC and GM.

4. Discussion

Control of fruit composition during ripening can be achieved through oenological and cultural practices. The increase of sugar content and color intensity is commonly obtained through cluster thinning, especially for 'appellation of origin' wines that require crop yield limits. Although undoubtedly effective, thinning is also time consuming and expensive (Berkey et al., 2011; Preszler et al., 2013). Other practices that increase nutritional and water competition, such as cover crops, are also effective in avoiding excessive crop yield and are more economically sustainable compared to cluster thinning. In addition, cover crops have a number of beneficial effects on the vineyard agro-ecosystem, including all-year-round accessibility for time-sensitive cultural practices (e.g. harvest, fungicide applications) (Pardini et al., 2002).

In our experiment, all the complete floor cover crops investigated promoted lower yields compared to conventional soil tillage from the second year of the study, most likely due to the competition for water and nutrients. However, not all cover crops competed in the same manner with vines, as only grass cover crop (GM) had a negative impact on the following year's grape production. Conversely, in our previous experiment carried out for five years in the same vineyard, inter-row GM did not affect grape yield and its components (Mercenaro et al., 2014). This was probably due to insufficient competition of grass in inter-rows since the soil areas of maximum root water and nutrient uptake are located near the vine trunk (Fuentes et al., 2008).

Few studies have been conducted to evaluate complete floor cover crops in vineyards. Our results confirm the findings of a four-year experiment carried out in France by Gontier et al. (2011), all of which observed a reduced crop yield and vigor and an increased sugar and polyphenolic content in grapevines subjected to complete grass cover cropping. In contrast, Giese et al. (2014a, 2014b) found no depressive effect on productivity caused by complete

363 floor covers in a Cabernet Sauvignon vineyard located in North Carolina. Giese et al. (2014a)
364 also reported a significant effect of complete grass cover on reducing canopy density as well
365 as pruning weight. The latter outcome is in accordance with our trial, in which a general
366 reduction in the weight of pruning wood was observed during the three experimental years in
367 all cover crop plots compared with traditional floor management. All cover crops except
368 legume mixture (LM) established well in the first year. However, the over-sowing in LM
369 plots in early 2014 ensured a satisfactory soil covering similar to GM and natural covering
370 (NC). Afterwards, the density of all the investigated ground covers ensured a good control of
371 the grapevine vigor, in accordance with findings of Pou et al. (2011) in a Manto negro
372 vineyard in the Balearic Islands (Spain). Therefore, changes in vegetative growth and yield in
373 2014 and 2015 represent the response of grapevines to mature complete floor covers.
374 Floor management may also contribute to improve the must quality. In the present study, GM
375 increased sugar concentrations at harvest relative to ST in the final year of the study. Cover
376 crop treatments also affected concentrations of anthocyanins and polyphenols relative to
377 standard tillage, but effects were most consistent in the final two years. Grass cover produced
378 concentrations that were higher than or similar to ST, while NC reduced anthocyanin
379 concentrations and LM reduced polyphenol concentrations relative to ST in most years. In our
380 previous study (Mercenaro et al., 2014), the only significant change in the must composition
381 involved the total anthocyanin content, with higher values in the grass treatment. Several
382 studies have tested the between-row cover crop strategy, showing that the choice of an
383 appropriate cover crop led to, for instance, higher sugar (Lavezzi et al., 2005) and total
384 polyphenol (Lopes et al., 2008) content in the berries and improved wine quality (Xi et al.,
385 2011). Conversely, cover crops did not influence the must composition over a three-year
386 period in an intercropped vineyard (Ingels et al., 2005), whereas grape ripeness improved
387 from the fourth year of observations on vines managed with a permanent complete floor cover

388 (Tesic et al., 2007). These results suggest a greater influence of cover crops on vegetative
389 growth and yield than on must quality, especially in the first years of ground cover
390 establishment, and indicate the importance of long-term studies to highlight changes in the
391 grape composition due to floor management practices.
392 Currently, the vine mealybug control mostly relies on chemical applications, although this
393 method is often unsatisfactory as mealybugs prefer concealed locations under the bark or in
394 the roots. From the perspective of a more sustainable agriculture and integrated pest
395 management, active ingredients with novel modes of action and more sustainable control
396 strategies have been tested with promising results (Mansour et al., 2010; Karamaouna et al.,
397 2013; Cocco et al., 2014). Cover crops should additionally be considered in integrated pest
398 management programs. In fact, floor management systems affected all the investigated
399 biological parameters of *P. ficus*, in particular development time, fecundity and fertility.
400 Development and reproductive performances of mealybugs developed on LM grapevines
401 were overall similar to the reference treatment (ST) and higher than those of mealybugs
402 reared on GM and NC plots. Differences among treatments became more evident in 2014 and
403 2015 and were generally consistent in both years. Because the ovipositing mealybugs
404 collected from the experimental plots were kept under the same conditions of temperature,
405 relative humidity and photoperiod, differences in the reproductive output of mealybugs are
406 attributable to their nutritional status and feeding history at the time of the onset of
407 oviposition.
408 Our findings show that all the tested floor cover treatments affected - through a bottom-up
409 regulation process - the development and reproductive parameters of *P. ficus*. In particular,
410 GM and NC reduced grape growth and nitrogen content relative to ST, resulting in a negative
411 effect on mealybug performance. Improved *P. ficus* development and reproduction was
412 consistently observed in grapevines with a higher leaf nitrogen content and vigor (ST and

LM), in accordance with prior studies on mealybugs (Hogendorp et al., 2006; Cocco et al., 2015). Competition of cover crops for water and nutrients can alter the phenology of host plants, reducing their nutritional quality and, thereby, pest development (Costello and Daane 2003; Schmidt et al., 2007). However, response of pests to changes in host quality cannot be generalized, as stressed plants can enhance the performance of some pests and in contrast reduce the density of others (Bukovinszky et al., 2004). The effectiveness of a bottom-up integrated pest management program based on habitat management, cultural practices and minimum use of pesticides was also demonstrated in a long-term trial conducted in a commercial apple orchard (Prokopy, 2003).

Further aspects need to be considered in order to fully understand the influence of cover crops in regulating mealybug populations, such as the top-down effects that could help to reduce pest density via the enhancement of the natural enemy complex (Landis et al., 2000). In fact, cover crops also play an important ecological role, as they can influence the development of insect populations by harboring and sheltering beneficials, such as generalist predators (Daane and Costello, 1998; Nicholls et al., 2000) or pests (Meagher and Meyer, 1990; Bone et al., 2009). Moreover, untilled soil in vineyards indirectly favors higher *P. ficus* infestation by promoting the establishment of ant colonies that disrupt the activity of the vine mealybug parasitoid complex (Serra et al., 2006; Mgocheki and Addison, 2010). Finally, the choice of cover crop species should also consider their potential harboring of stolbur phytoplasma (bois noir), as a number of potential cover crop species have been successfully inoculated by the vector *Hyalesthes obsoletus* Signoret (Hemiptera: Cixiidae) (Maixner et al., 2001). Conversely, competitive cover crops could suppress *H. obsoletus* host species, hence reducing the pest population density (Maixner, 2007).

5. Conclusions

Our findings highlight that complete vineyard floor cover cropping significantly influences grapevine growth, yield and must composition and, when optimized, represents a sustainable tool to improve the quality of wines. Making generalizations about the most suitable floor management system in vineyards is difficult, as response to cover crop is site-specific and variety-dependent due to differences in terms of soil, plant vigor, level of production and oenological objectives. Therefore, the choice of cover crops strongly depends on the wine grape cultivar and cultivation site. The viticultural terroir investigated in this study was characterized by a Mediterranean climate, fertile soil and a productive and vigorous cultivar (Carignano). In this context, complete grass cover is recommended in order to limit excessive vegetative growth and improve must quality, especially the phenolic content.

In addition, complete grass mixture and natural covering negatively influenced the vine mealybug development, creating unfavorable conditions for pest development. However, total ground cover does not effectively reduce *P. ficus* populations as a stand-alone control strategy but should instead be integrated in sustainable control programs. This study indicates the importance of floor management systems for the trophic system grapevine – *P. ficus* and suggests, in addition to other factors, the inclusion of cover cropping in pest management programs.

Acknowledgments

This study was carried out within the project "Gestione del suolo in viticoltura: effetti sulla fisiologia della pianta e sulle principali avversità biotiche" (Grant no. CRP-24791) funded by Autonomous Region of Sardinia (L.R.7/2007 – Promotion of scientific research and technological innovation in Sardinia). We thank Dr. Tiziana Nuvoli, Dr. Alessandra Mura, Mr. Daniele Dettori and Mr. Daniele Nieddu for the technical support.

463 **References**

464

465 Berkey, T.G., Mansfield, A.K., Lerch, S.D., Meyers, J.M., Heuvel, J.E.V. 2011. Crop load
466 adjustment in 'Seyval Blanc' winegrape: impacts on yield components, fruit
467 composition, consumer wine preferences, and economics of production. HortTech. 21,
468 593–598.

469 Bone, N.J., Thomson, L.J., Ridland, P.M., Cole, P., Hoffmann, A.A., 2009. Cover crops in
470 Victorian apple orchards: effects on production, natural enemies and pests across a
471 season. Crop Prot. 28 675–683.

472 Bukovinszky, T., Tréfás, H., van Lenteren J.C., Vet, L.E.M., Fremont, J., 2004. Plant
473 competition in pest-suppressive intercropping systems complicates evaluation of
474 herbivore responses. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 102, 185–196.

475 Calderon-Orellana, A., Mercenaro, L., Shackel, K.A., Willits, N., Matthews, M. A. 2014.
476 Responses of fruit uniformity to deficit irrigation and cluster thinning in commercial
477 winegrape production. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. DOI: 10.5344/ajev.2014.13135.

478 Christensen, L.P., Dokoozlian, N.K., Walker, M.A., Wolpert, J.A., 2003. Wine grape varieties
479 in California. UC Agriculture and Natural Resources, Publication 3419, Oakland, CA,
480 USA.

481 Cocco, A., Lentini, A., Serra, G., 2014. Mating disruption of the vine mealybug, *Planococcus*
482 *ficus*, in vineyards using reservoir pheromone dispensers. J. Insect Sci. 14, 144. DOI:
483 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu006.

484 Cocco, A., Marras, P.M., Muscas, E., Mura, A., Lentini, A., 2015. Variation of life-history
485 parameters of *Planococcus ficus* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in response to grapevine
486 nitrogen fertilization. J. Appl. Entomol. 139, 519–528.

487 Costello, M.J., Daane, K.M., 2003. Spider and leafhopper (*Erythroneura* spp.) response to
488 vineyard ground cover. Environ. Entomol. 32, 1085–1098.
489 D'Alberto, C.F., Hoffmann, A.A., Thomson, L.J., 2012. Limited benefits of non-crop
490 vegetation on spiders in Australian vineyards: regional or crop differences?. Biocontrol
491 57, 541–552.
492 Daane, K.M., Costello, M.J., 1998. Can cover crops reduce leafhoppers abundance in
493 vineyard? California Agric. 52, 27–33.
494 Daane, K.M., Almeida, R.P.P., Bell, V.A., Walker, J.T.S., Botton, M., Fallahzadeh, M., Mani,
495 M., Miano, J.L., Sforza, R., Walton, V.M., Zaviezo, T., 2012. Biology and management
496 of mealybugs in vineyards, in: Bostanian, N.J., Charles, V., Isaacs, R. (Eds.), Arthropod
497 management in vineyards: pests, approaches, and future directions. Springer, Dordrecht,
498 pp. 271–307.
499 Danne, A., Thomson, L.J., Sharley, D.J., Penfold, C.M., Hoffmann, A.A., 2010. Effects of
500 native grass cover crops on beneficial and pest invertebrates in Australian vineyards.
501 Environ. Entomol. 39, 970–978.
502 Di Stefano, R., Cravero, M.C., 1991. The grape phenolic determination. Riv. Vitic. Enol.
503 49(2), 37–45.
504 European Union, 2009a. Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the
505 Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve
506 the sustainable use of pesticides. Off. J. Eur. Commun. L 309, 71–86.
507 European Union, 2009b. Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of
508 the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on
509 the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC. Off. J. Eur.
510 Commun. L 309, 1–50.

511 Fuentes, S., Rogers, G., Jobling, J., Conroy, J., Camus, C., Dalton, M. Mercenaro, L. 2008. A
512 soil-plant-atmosphere approach to evaluate the effect of irrigation/fertigation strategy on
513 grapevine water and nutrient uptake, grape quality and yield. Acta Hortic. 792, 297–
514 303.
515 Gamero, E., Moreno, D., Talaverano, I., Prieto, M.H., Guerra, M.T., Valdés, M.E. 2014.
516 Effects of irrigation and cluster thinning on Tempranillo grape and wine composition. S.
517 Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 35, 196–204.
518 Giese, W.G, Velasco-Cruz, C., Roberts, L., Heitman, J., Wolf, T.K., 2014a. Complete
519 vineyard floor cover crops favorably limit grapevine vegetative growth. Scientia Hort.
520 170, 256–266.
521 Giese, W.G, Wolf, T.K., Velasco-Cruz, C., Roberts, L., Heitman, J., 2014b. Cover crop and
522 root pruning impacts on vegetative growth, crop yield components, and grape
523 composition of Cabernet Sauvignon. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. DOI:
524 10.5344/ajev.2014.14100.
525 Gontier, L., Dufourcq, T., Gaviglio, C., 2011. Total grass cover in vineyards: an innovating
526 and promising soil management alternative to reduce the use of herbicides. In: 17th
527 International GiESCO Symposium, Asti-Alba, Italy, 29 August–2 September, 2011, pp.
528 95–98.
529 Guerra, B., Steenwerth, K., 2012. Influence of floor management technique on grapevine
530 growth, disease pressure, and juice and wine composition: a review. Am. J. Enol. Vitic.
531 63, 149–164.
532 Hogendorp, B.K., Cloyd, R.A., Swiader, J.M., 2006. Effect of nitrogen fertility on
533 reproduction and development of citrus mealybug, *Planococcus citri* Risso (Homoptera:
534 Pseudococcidae), feeding on two colors of coleus, *Solenostemon scutellarioides* L.
535 Codd. Environ. Entomol. 35, 201–211.

536 Hunt, D.W.A., Drury, C.F., Maw, H.E.L., 1992. Influence of nitrogen on the performance of
537 Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on tomato. Environ. Entomol. 21,
538 817–821.
539 Ingels, C.A., Scow, K.M., Whisson, D.A., Drenovsky, R.E., 2005. Effects of cover crops on
540 grapevines, yield, juice composition, soil microbial ecology, and gopher activity. Am. J.
541 Enol. Vitic. 56, 19–29.
542 Karamaouna, F., Kimbaris, A., Michaelakis, A., Papachristos, D., Polissiou, M., Papatsakona,
543 P., Tsora, E., 2013. Insecticidal activity of plant essential oils against the vine
544 mealybug, *Planococcus ficus*. J. Insect Sci. 13, 142.
545 Landis, D.A., Wratten, S.D., Gurr, G.M., 2000. Habitat management to conserve natural
546 enemies of arthropod pests in agriculture. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 45, 175–201.
547 Lavezzi, A., Pascarella, G., Sivilotti, P., Tomasi, D., Altissimo, A., 2005. Cover cropping
548 systems in vineyard: grass species and row management as affecting grapevine
549 performance. In: 14th International GESCO Viticulture Congress, Geisenheim,
550 Germany, 23-27 August, 2005, pp. 635–641.
551 Lopes, C.M., Monteiro, A., Machado, J.P., Fernandes, N., Araújo, A., 2008. Cover cropping
552 in a sloping non-irrigated vineyard: II – Effects on vegetative growth, yield, berry and
553 wine quality of 'Cabernet Sauvignon' grapevines. Ciência Téc. Vitiv. 23, 37–43.
554 Maixner, M., 2007. Biology of *Hyalesthes obsoletus* and approaches to control this soilborne
555 vector of Bois noir disease. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 30(7), 3–9.
556 Maixner, M., Darimont, H., Mohr, H.D., 2001. Studies on the transmission of Bois noir to
557 weeds and potential ground-cover plants by *Hyalesthes obsoletus* Signoret
558 (Auchenorrhyncha: Cixiidae). IOBC/WPRS Bull. 24(7), 249-251.

559 Mansour, R., Grissa Lebdi, K., Rezgui, S., 2010. Assessment of the performance of some new
560 insecticides for the control of the vine mealybug *Planococcus ficus* in a Tunisian
561 vineyard. Entomol. Hell. 19, 21–33.
562 Mansour, R., Suma, P., Mazzeo, G., La Pergola, A., Pappalardo, V., Grissa Lebdi, K., Russo,
563 A., 2012. Interactions between the ant *Tapinoma nigerrimum* (Hymenoptera:
564 Formicidae) and the main natural enemies of the vine and citrus mealybugs (Hemiptera:
565 Pseudococcidae). Biocon. Sci. Tech. 22, 527–537.
566 Meagher, R.L., Meyer, J.R., 1990. Effect of ground cover management on certain abiotic and
567 biotic interactions in peach orchard ecosystems. Crop Prot. 9, 65–72.
568 Mercenaro, L., Nieddu, G., Pulina, P., Porqueddu, C., 2014. Sustainable management of an
569 intercropped Mediterranean vineyard. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 192, 95–104.
570 Mgocheki, N., Addison, P., 2010. Spatial distribution of ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae),
571 vine mealybugs and mealybug parasitoids in vineyards. J. Appl. Entomol. 134, 285–
572 295.
573 Monteiro, A., Lopes, C.M., 2007. Influence of cover crop on water use and performance of
574 vineyard in Mediterranean Portugal. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 121, 336–342.
575 Monteiro, A., Moreira, I., 2004. Reduced rates of residual and post-emergence herbicides for
576 weed control in vineyards. Weed Res. 44 117–128.
577 Naor, A., Gal, Y., Bravdo, B. 2002. Shoot and cluster thinning influence vegetative growth,
578 fruit yield, and wine quality of ‘Sauvignon blanc’ grapevines. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.
579 127, 628–634.
580 Nicholls, C.I., Parrella, M.P., Altieri, M.A., 2000. Reducing the abundance of leafhoppers and
581 thrips in a northern California organic vineyard through maintenance of full season
582 floral diversity with summer cover crops. Agric. For. Entomol. 2, 107–113.

583 O.I.V., 2006. Recueil international des methodes d'analyses des vins et des moutes, vol. 2.
584 Organisation Internationale de la Vigne et du Vin, Paris, France.
585 Pardini, A., Faiello, C., Longhi, F., Mancuso, S., Snowball, R. 2002. Cover crop species and
586 their management in vineyards and olive groves. Adv. Hortic. Sci. 16 , 225–234.
587 Piepho, H.P., 2012. A SAS macro for generating letter displays of pairwise mean
588 comparisons. Commun. Biometry Crop Sci. 7, 4–13.
589 Poni, S., Casalini, L., Bernizzoni, F., Civardi, S., Intrieri, C. 2006. Effects of early defoliation
590 on shoot photosynthesis, yield components, and grape composition. Amer. J. Enol.
591 Vitic. 57, 397–407.
592 Porro, D., Dorigatti, C., Stefanini, M., Ceschini, A., 2001. Use of SPAD meter in diagnosis of
593 nutritional status in apple and grapevine. Acta Hortic. 564, 243–252.
594 Pou, A., Gulías, J., Moreno, M.M., Tomás, M., Medrano, H., Cifre, J., 2011. Cover cropping
595 in *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Manto negro vineyards under Mediterranean conditions: effects
596 on plant vigour, yield and grape quality. J. Int. Sci. Vigne Vin. 45, 223–234.
597 Powles, S.B., Preston, C., Bryan, I.B., Jutsum, A.R., 1997. Herbicide resistance: impact and
598 management. Adv. Agron. 58, 57–93.
599 Preszler, T., Schmit, T.M., Heuvel, J.E.V. 2013. Cluster thinning reduces the economic
600 sustainability of Riesling production. Amer. J. Enol. Vitic. DOI:
601 10.5344/ajev.2013.12123.
602 Prokopy, R.J., 2003. Two decades of bottom-up, ecologically based pest management in a
603 small commercial apple orchard in Massachusetts. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 94, 299–
604 309.
605 Sanguankeo, P.P., León, R.G., 2011. Weed management practices determine plant and
606 arthropod diversity and seed predation in vineyards. Weed Res. 51, 404–412.
607 SAS Institute, 2008. SAS/ETS® 9.2 user's guide. SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA.

608 Serra, G., Lentini, A., Verdinelli, M., Delrio, G., 2006. Effects of cover crop management on
609 grape pests in a Mediterranean environment. IOBC/WPRS Bull. 29(11), 209–214.
610 Shaahan, M.M., El-Sayed, A.A., Abou El-Nour, E.A.A., 1999. Predicting nitrogen,
611 magnesium and iron nutritional status in some perennial crops using a portable
612 chlorophyll meter. Sci. Hortic. 82, 339–348.
613 Silvestroni, O., Lanari, V., Lattanzi, T., Palliotti, A., Sabbatini, P. 2016. Impact of crop
614 control strategies on the performance of high-yielding Sangiovese grapevines. Amer. J.
615 Enol. Vitic. DOI: 10.5344/ajev.2016.15093.
616 Schmidt, N.P., O'Neal, M.E., Singer, J.W., 2007. Alfalfa living mulch advances biological
617 control of soybean aphid. Environ. Entomol. 36, 416–424.
618 Tesic, D., Keller, M., Hutton, R.J., 2007. Influence of vineyard floor management practices
619 on grapevine vegetative growth, yield, and fruit composition. Amer. J. Enol. Vitic. 58,
620 1–11.
621 Thomson, L.J., Hoffmann, A.A., 2013. Spatial scale of benefits from adjacent woody
622 vegetation on natural enemies within vineyards. Biol. Control 64, 57–65.
623 Thurman, E.M., Goolsby, D.A., Aga, D.S., Pomes, M.L., Meyer, M.T., 1996. Occurrence of
624 alachlor and its sulfonated metabolite in rivers and reservoirs of the Midwestern United
625 States: the importance of sulfonation in the transport of chloroacetanilide herbicides.
626 Environ. Sci. Technol. 30, 569–574.
627 Tourte, L., Smith, R., Bettiga, L., Bensen, T., Smith, J., Salm, D., 2008. Post-emergence
628 herbicides are cost effective for vineyard floor management on the Central Coast.
629 California Agric. 62, 19–23.
630 Van Huyssteen, L., Weber, H.W., 1980. The effect of selected minimum and conventional
631 tillage practices in vineyard cultivation on vine performance. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 1,
632 77–83.

633 Veres, A., Petit, S., Conord, C., Lavigne, C., 2013. Does landscape composition affect pest
634 abundance and their control by natural enemies? A review. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.
635 166, 110–117.
636 Wilson, L.T., Smilanick, J.M., Hoffmann, M.P., Flaherty, D.L., Ruiz, S.M., 1988. Leaf
637 nitrogen and position in relation to population parameters of Pacific spider mite,
638 *Tetranychus pacificus* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on grapes. Environ. Entomol. 17, 964–
639 968.
640 Xi, Z.M., Tao, Y.S., Zhang, L., Li, H., 2011. Impact of cover crops in vineyard on the aroma
641 compounds of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv Cabernet Sauvignon wine. Food Chem. 127, 516–
642 522.

643 **Table and figure captions**

644

645 **Table 1**

646 SPAD values (mean ± SE) on grapevine leaves in spring-summer under different floor
647 management systems: soil tillage (ST); natural covering (NC); grass mixture (GM); legume
648 mixture (LM).

Year	SPAD value[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	41.62 ± 0.81 a	35.11 ± 0.86 b	36.48 ± 0.61 b	42.06 ± 1.09 a
2014	45.71 ± 0.82 a	37.13 ± 0.80 d	40.86 ± 1.08 c	43.71 ± 0.83 b
2015	47.54 ± 0.72 a	43.23 ± 0.71 b	43.82 ± 0.85 b	47.71 ± 0.56 a
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		**		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		**		

649 [a] Values within rows followed by different letters are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$) by
650 Tukey's test.

651 [b] * = $P < 0.05$; ** = $P < 0.01$; ns = not significant

652

Table 2

Grapevine growth parameters (mean ± SE) under different floor management systems: soil tillage (ST); natural covering (NC); grass mixture (GM); legume mixture (LM).

Year	Shoots/vine (no.)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	9.8 ± 1.4	10.4 ± 1.2	9.9 ± 1.4	10.5 ± 0.9
2014	9.3 ± 1.2	9.1 ± 0.6	9.3 ± 0.9	10.6 ± 0.9
2015	10.5 ± 0.6	9.7 ± 1.2	9.7 ± 0.8	9.2 ± 1.3
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		ns		
Year		ns		
Cover crop × year		ns		

Year	Pruning weight/vine (kg)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	1.04 ± 0.19 a	1.00 ± 0.13 a	0.85 ± 0.18 b	1.06 ± 0.16 a
2014	0.80 ± 0.14 a	0.62 ± 0.07 b	0.52 ± 0.09 c	0.68 ± 0.11 b
2015	1.05 ± 0.04 a	0.97± 0.25 b	0.72 ± 0.22 c	0.93 ± 0.20 b
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		*		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		**		

Year	Ravaz index (kg yield/kg pruning weight)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	5.5 ± 1.0	6.3 ± 0.6	4.9 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 0.9
2014	4.4 ± 0.5	4.3 ± 1.1	3.8 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.4
2015	4.7 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 0.5	3.9 ± 0.4
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		ns		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		*		

[a] Values within rows followed by different letters are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$) by Tukey's test.

[b] * = $P < 0.05$; ** = $P < 0.01$; ns = not significant

659 **Table 3**

660 Grapevine yield parameters (mean ± SE) under different floor management systems: soil
661 tillage (ST); natural covering (NC); grass mixture (GM); legume mixture (LM).

Year	Yield/vine (kg)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	5.7 ± 0.6 a	6.3 ± 0.4 a	4.2 ± 0.2 b	5.7 ± 0.6 a
2014	3.6 ± 0.5 a	2.7 ± 0.5 b	2.0 ± 0.3 c	2.6 ± 0.7 b
2015	4.9 ± 0.4 a	3.6 ±0.3 b	3.0 ± 0.3 c	3.6 ± 0.4 b
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		*		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		*		
Year	Clusters/vine (no.)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	16.9 ± 1.9	19.0 ± 1.2	14.6 ± 1.9	17.8 ± 1.3
2014	9.0 ± 0.9. a	9.4 ± 0.6 a	7.3 ± 0.6 b	9.1 ± 0.3 a
2015	14.0 ± 0.8 a	13.7 ± 0.7 a	10.2 ± 0.8 b	13.8 ± 0.6 a
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		*		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		**		
Year	Cluster weight (g)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	442.1 ± 29.5 a	420.4 ± 50.5 a	361.4 ± 36.9 b	414.0 ± 31.0 a
2014	365.0 ± 24.8 a	328.0 ± 56.4 ab	269.7 ± 49.8 b	266.0 ± 38.0 b
2015	339.0 ± 13.5 a	277.8 ± 39.5 b	262.8 ± 45.1 b	264.0 ± 31.7 b
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		*		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		**		
Year	Berry weight (g)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	2.94 ± 0.25	2.63 ± 0.34	2.59 ± 0.18	2.88 ± 0.11
2014	2.36 ± 0.30 b	2.83 ± 0.22 a	2.30 ± 0.27 b	1.96 ± 0.19 c
2015	2.62 ± 0.12 ab	2.82 ± 0.09 a	2.62 ± 0.12 ab	2.49 ± 0.10 b

Significance[b]	
Cover crop	*
Year	*
Cover crop × year	*

[a] Values within rows followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) by Tukey's test.

[b] * = $P < 0.05$; ** = $P < 0.01$; ns = not significant

Table 4

Biological parameters (mean ± SE) of *Planococcus ficus* on vines under different floor management systems: soil tillage (ST); natural covering (NC); grass mixture (GM); legume mixture (LM).

Year	Development time (d)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	34.07 ± 0.23 b	35.71 ± 0.27 a	34.62 ± 0.24 ab	33.82 ± 0.22 b
2014	33.82 ± 0.16 c	35.57 ± 0.20 a	34.95 ± 0.23 ab	34.82 ± 0.18 b
2015	33.26 ± 0.15 b	34.36 ± 0.15 a	34.52 ± 0.16 a	32.96 ± 0.17 b
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		**		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		**		

Year	Survival (%)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	13.93 ± 6.13	12.84 ± 5.31	14.60 ± 8.68	12.03 ± 4.77
2014	26.85 ± 2.91	26.52 ± 2.01	28.87 ± 2.04	26.37 ± 2.82
2015	26.66 ± 2.71 b	27.24 ± 2.44 b	27.03 ± 2.23 b	30.49 ± 3.39 a
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		*		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		**		

Year	Fecundity (no. eggs)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2013	133.66 ± 6.55	124.57 ± 6.53	119.76 ± 4.91	133.52 ± 7.19
2014	178.95 ± 4.55 a	138.57 ± 3.14 c	162.69 ± 4.95 b	172.34 ± 4.67 ab
2015	126.89 ± 2.52 b	116.18 ± 2.68 c	108.00 ± 2.44 c	141.82 ± 3.47 a
Significance[b]				
Cover crop		**		
Year		**		
Cover crop × year		**		

Year	Fertility (%)[a]			
	ST	NC	GM	LM
2014	97.12 ± 0.20 b	96.23 ± 0.28 c	96.18 ± 0.32 c	97.32 ± 0.21 a
2015	91.81 ± 0.69 a	90.18 ± 0.71 b	90.47 ± 0.71 b	92.39 ± 0.58 a

Significance[b]	
Cover crop	**
Year	**
Cover crop × year	*

[a] Values within rows followed by different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) by Tukey's test.

[b] * = $P < 0.05$; ** = $P < 0.01$; ns = not significant



674

675 **Fig. 1.** Percentage soil cover by natural covering legume mixture and grass mixture during the

676 survey (2013-2015).

677



678

679 **Fig. 2.** Dry matter yield (DMY) and percentage species contribution to dry matter production

680 for each cut during the survey. DMY values within each cut bearing the same letters were not

681 significantly different ($P < 0.05$) by Tukey's test.



682

683 **Fig. 3.** Total soluble solids and total acidity of must from veraison to harvest under different
684 floor management systems. Levels of significance are denoted by * = $P < 0.05$ or ns = not
685 significant. Different letters within each sampling date indicate significant differences among
686 means by Tukey's test. Note the different axis scales.

687



688

689 **Fig. 4.** Total polyphenol and total anthocyanin content on must from veraison to harvest under
690 different floor management systems. Levels of significance are denoted by * = $P < 0.05$ or ns
691 = not significant. Different letters within each sampling date indicate significant differences
692 among means by Tukey's test. Note the different axis scales.