| C6-C5-C14 | 109.7 (2) | C3'- $\mathbf{C 4}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 117.9 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C10-C5-C14 | 114.6 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ | 119.4 (3) |
| C5-C6-C7 | 111.4 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ | 118.1 (2) |
| C6-C7-C8 | 113.3 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | 122.3 (2) |
| C7-C8-C9 | 114.2 (2) | $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}$ | 120.9 (2) |
| C7-C8-C13 | 108.1 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C7}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 122.5 (3) |
| C9-C8-C13 | 113.8 (2) | $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C} 7^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 8^{\prime}$ | 112.4 (2) |
| C8-C9-C10 | 109.4 (2) | O3-C7 ${ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 8^{\prime}$ | 125.1 (3) |
| C8-C9-C11 | 108.0 (2) | O2-C9'-O4 | 121.4 (4) |
| C8-C9-C12 | 111.4 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 9^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 10^{\prime}$ | 112.5 (3) |
| C10-C9-C11 | 109.8 (2) | O4-C9 ${ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 10^{\prime}$ | 126.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 112.9 (2) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 7^{\prime}-\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C2}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | -96.6 (3) | C13-C8-C9-C12 | -44.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 4.9 (4) | C2-C3-C4-C5 | 3.4 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C} 9^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ | 95.1 (3) | C8- ${ }^{\text {C9 - }} \mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | 63.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C5}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | -8.1 (6) | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}$ | -96.2 (3) |
| C4-C5-C10-C9 | -174.7 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | -178.3 (2) |
| C14-C5-C10-C9 | 66.9 (3) | $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 175.5 (2) |

The equipment of the CIMCF of the University 'Federico II' of Naples was used for collection of the crystallographic data. H atoms were placed on the basis of geometrical considerations and $\Delta F$ map suggestions in the case of the hydroxy and methyl groups. All H atoms were included with $B_{\text {iso }}$ values fixed at the values of $B_{\text {eq }}$ of their respective parent atoms. The structure was solved using MULTAN11/82 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1982). All calculations were performed using Enraf-Nonius SDP software (B. A. Frenz \& Associates Inc., 1985) on a MicroVAX 3100 computer.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H -atom coordinates, complete geometry and the atomic parameters of the restrained refinement have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1165). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure determination of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, together with the knowledge of the configuration of the starting menthyl reagent, define both its absolute configuration and that of the menthyl ester from which it is obtained by hydrolysis. The structure of the title compound in the crystal is compared with that obtained for the isolated molecule by molecularmechanics energy minimization. The largest discrepancies are observed for the carboxyl group which, in the crystal, is involved in hydrogen bonding with the same group of an adjacent molecule packed about a twofold axis of the $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2$ space group. The conformation of


 the molecule is discussed.
## Comment

The catalytic decomposition of $\mathrm{di}(1 R, 3 S, 4 S)$-(-)menthyldiazomalonate, (1), in the presence of rhodium(II) acetate dimer gave exclusive formation of the bicyclic $\gamma$-lactone (2) by intramolecular carbenic attack on a methylenic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the menthyl ring, according to the scheme below.


The crystal structure analysis of the acid (3), obtained by hydrolysis of the ester (2), was carried out to establish the configurations at the chiral centres of these
products, this knowledge being necessary to interpret the regio- and diastereospecific process of the intramolecular cyclization that produces them.
Fig. 1 shows the chiralities, $S(\mathrm{C} 3), R(\mathrm{C} 4), R(\mathrm{C} 5)$, $S(\mathrm{C} 8), S(\mathrm{C} 9)$, of the five centres and the transoid configuration at the junction of the cyclohexane and tetrahydropyran rings. The values of the total puckering amplitudes (Cremer \& Pople, 1975) of these rings are 0.590 (3) and 0.409 (2) A with chair and envelope conformations, respectively, the latter with a local pseudo mirror through C 4 . The least-squares planes through these rings form a dihedral angle of $3.0(1)^{\circ}$, with the C3-C4 bond (+)synclinal to C9-O1 [C3$\left.\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{Ol}=40.6(2)^{\circ}\right]$, and C5-C4 (-)synclinal to $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 8$ [ $\left.\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 8=-66.1(3)^{\circ}\right]$. $\mathrm{C} 2-$ O 2 is $(-)$ synclinal to $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 10[\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Cl} 0=$ $-32.2(4)^{\circ} \mathrm{j}$.
Table 2 compares relevant geometric descriptors of the molecule in the crystal with those calculated from the optimized geometries obtained for the isolated molecule by the PCMODEL-MMX (Serena Software, 1989) and HYPERCHEM-MM+ (Autodesk, Inc., 1992) force fields, starting from the experimental coordinates and using the program default parameters. The largest deviations are observed for distances and angles of the carboxyl group: this is to be expected since the calculated values relate to an isolated molecule and therefore take no account of the effects of the intermolecular hydrogen bonding in which the group is involved in the crystal. A possible geometry for this fairly strong hydrogen bond $\left[\mathrm{O} \cdots \cdots 3^{i}=2.658\right.$ (3) $\AA$; symmetry code: (i) $\left.-x,-y, z\right]$ is depicted in Fig. 2.
Non-bonded energy profiles (deposited), calculated with the ROTENER program (Nardelli, 1988) for rotation of the carboxyl group about the $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 10$ bond and of the isopropyl group about $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 11$ in the isolated molecule show the deepest minimum shifted by 22.5 and $-4^{\circ}$, respectively [positive rotations are coun-


Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the molecule of compound (3). Ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Fig. 2. PLUTO (Motherwell \& Clegg, 1978) projection of the contents of the unit cell, view down the $z$ axis, showing the molecules packed by hydrogen bonding about the twofold axis.
terclockwise], with respect to the orientation found for these substituents in the crystal. This finding is in agreement with the differences between the observed and calculated torsion angles about the $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 10$ and $\mathrm{C} 8-$ C11 bonds (Table 2). The differences between the observed and calculated torsion angles about C3-C10 are much larger (ca 5 times) than those about $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 11$; the former are governed by the fairly strong $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular hydrogen bond, the latter by weak intramolecular van der Waals forces.

The 'thermal'-motion analysis of the molecule in the crystal, carried out in terms of the Schomaker \& Trueblood (1968) TLS rigid-body approximation using the THMV program (Trueblood, 1984), shows that there are 'internal motions' (or static disorder) of some relevance. Indeed, the agreement between the observed and calculated atomic displacement parameters is not particularly good, the value of the overall residual disagreement index $R_{w U}$ being 0.125 , though it improves markedly to 0.073 if the internal motions of $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2$, O3, 04, C12, C13 and C14 (see Fig. 1) are considered, according to Dunitz \& White (1973).

## Experimental

Preparation of (2). A mixture of diazomalonate ( 1 mmol ) [prepared according to Saba (1994)] and rhodium(II) acetate dimer catalyst ( 0.05 mmol ) was refluxed in benzene ( 9 ml ) under argon until the disappearance of the IR absorption of the diazo function ( 15 min ). The mixture was filtered on a short column of neutral $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$; removal of the solvent and column chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ afforded the bicyclic lactone (2) $\left(82 \%\right.$ yield) as a white powder, m.p. $338-339 \mathrm{~K} ;[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ $=-73^{\circ}\left(c 0.32 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, t$, $J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=$ $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, d q, J=10.8$ and 12.6 Hz$), 2.14-1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, m), 1.84-1.65(5 \mathrm{H}, m), 1.64$ $1.38(4 \mathrm{H}, m), 1.34-0.82(20 \mathrm{H}, m), 0.77$ p.p.m. $(3 \mathrm{H}, d, J=$ 6.3 Hz ). IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 2962, 2871, 1775, 1724, 1453, 1369, 1297, 1241, 1170, $991 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Preparation of (3). Hydrolysis of (2) gave colourless crystals, m.p. $409-410 \mathrm{~K} ;[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-46^{\circ}\left(c 0.29 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.34(1 \mathrm{H}, t, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, d q, J=10.8$ and 12.9 Hz$), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, m), 1.79-1.59$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, m), 1.16(2 \mathrm{H}, m), 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, d, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, d, J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90$ p.p.m. $(3 \mathrm{H}, d, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 173.7,171.7,84.8,52.7,46.5,35.1,34.2,28.5,24.8,19.7$, 19.1, 17.8 p.p.m. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 2959,2929,2840,2142,1780$, $1753,1717,1170,1148,1128,1106,996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
$M_{r}=240.30$
Orthorhombic
$P 2,2,2$
$a=17.067$ (7) $\AA$
$b=8.425$ (3) $\AA$
$c=9.597(4) \AA$
$V=1379.9(9) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.157 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

Siemens AED diffractometer

## $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans

Absorption correction:
none
2965 measured reflections
2615 independent reflections 1219 observed refiections

$$
[I>2 \sigma(I)]
$$

$R_{\text {int }}=0.0242$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R(F)=0.0380$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.1298$
$S=0.817$
2610 reflections
165 parameters
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0493 P)^{2}\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.027$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.20 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.13 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

| $U_{\text {eq }}=(1 / 3) \Sigma_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |  |
| O1 | $-0.3079(1)$ | $0.0102(2)$ | $-0.2688(2)$ | $0.0866(7)$ |
| O2 | $-0.2305(1)$ | $-0.1722(2)$ | $-0.3658(2)$ | $0.1005(7)$ |
| O3 | $-0.0746(1)$ | $0.0456(3)$ | $-0.2544(2)$ | $0.1109(8)$ |
| O4 | $-0.0739(1)$ | $0.0401(3)$ | $-0.4830(2)$ | $0.1246(10)$ |
| C2 | $-0.2431(2)$ | $-0.0353(3)$ | $-0.3377(3)$ | $0.0804(10)$ |
| C3 | $-0.1924(1)$ | $0.1077(3)$ | $-0.3710(3)$ | $0.0743(8)$ |
| C4 | $-0.2214(1)$ | $0.2260(3)$ | $-0.2623(3)$ | $0.0766(9)$ |
| C5 | $-0.2122(2)$ | $0.4036(3)$ | $-0.2803(3)$ | $0.0882(11)$ |
| C6 | $-0.2553(2)$ | $0.4802(3)$ | $-0.1581(3)$ | $0.1097(14)$ |
| C7 | $-0.3408(2)$ | $0.4284(3)$ | $-0.1455(3)$ | $0.1056(14)$ |
| C8 | $-0.3516(2)$ | $0.2475(3)$ | $-0.1388(3)$ | $0.0924(11)$ |
| C9 | $-0.3075(2)$ | $0.1845(3)$ | $-0.2607(3)$ | $0.0776(10)$ |
| C10 | $-0.1078(2)$ | $0.0630(3)$ | $-0.3699(3)$ | $0.0843(11)$ |
| C11 | $-0.4367(2)$ | $0.1925(4)$ | $-0.1297(3)$ | $0.1132(14)$ |
| C12 | $-0.4854(2)$ | $0.2344(5)$ | $-0.2590(4)$ | $0.1285(15)$ |
| C13 | $-0.4770(2)$ | $0.2472(5)$ | $0.0022(3)$ | $0.160(2)$ |
| C14 | $-0.1265(2)$ | $0.4561(4)$ | $-0.2925(4)$ | $0.136(2)$ |

Table 2. Comparison of experimental and calculated bond distances $(\AA)$, bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and selected torsion angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ in the molecule of compound (3)
$M M X=$ force field of PCMODEL; MM+ = force field of $H Y P E R$ CHEM, $\Delta=$ obs. - calc. Maximum differences between observed and calculated values: distances, $|\Delta|_{\max } M M X=0.085 \AA, M M+=0.077 \AA$; bond angles, $|\Delta|_{\text {max }} M M X=7.0^{\circ}, M M+=7.3^{\circ}$; torsion angles, $|\Delta|_{\text {max }}$ $M M X=26.2^{\circ}, M M+=27.2^{\circ}$.

|  | X-ray obs. | MMX calc. | $\stackrel{M M X}{\Delta}$ | MM+ calc. | $\stackrel{M M+}{\Delta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.345 (3) | 1.350 | -0.005 | 1.347 | -0.002 |
| $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C} 9$ | 1.470 (3) | 1.409 | 0.061 | 1.406 | 0.064 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.204 (3) | 1.208 | -0.004 | 1.207 | -0.003 |
| O3-C10 | 1.254 (3) | 1.339 | -0.085 | 1.331 | -0.077 |
| O4-C10 | 1.245 (3) | 1.209 | 0.036 | 1.207 | 0.038 |
| C2-C3 | 1.517 (4) | 1.520 | -0.003 | 1.526 | -0.009 |
| C3-C4 | 1.525 (3) | 1.535 | -0.010 | 1.528 | -0.003 |
| C3-C10 | 1.493 (4) | 1.511 | -0.018 | 1.517 | -0.024 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 1.515 (4) | 1.531 | -0.016 | 1.532 | -0.017 |
| C4-C9 | 1.511 (4) | 1.531 | -0.020 | 1.530 | -0.019 |
| C5-C6 | 1.528 (4) | 1.545 | -0.017 | 1.545 | -0.017 |
| C5-C14 | 1.533 (4) | 1.535 | -0.002 | 1.535 | -0.002 |
| C6-C7 | 1.528 (5) | 1.543 | -0.015 | 1.543 | -0.015 |
| C7-C8 | 1.537 (4) | 1.546 | -0.009 | 1.545 | -0.008 |
| C8-C9 | 1.489 (4) | 1.533 | -0.044 | 1.534 | -0.045 |
| C8-C11 | 1.527 (5) | 1.547 | -0.020 | 1.547 | -0.020 |
| $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Cl2}$ | 1.534 (5) | 1.538 | -0.004 | 1.538 | -0.004 |
| $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Cl} 3$ | 1.513 (5) | 1.540 | -0.027 | 1.540 | -0.027 |
| C2-O1-C9 | 107.9 (2) | 113.0 | -5.1 | 109.7 | -1.8 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 122.0 (2) | 125.7 | -3.7 | 124.0 | -2.0 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 127.7 (3) | 127.8 | -0.1 | 126.4 | 1.3 |
| $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 110.2 (2) | 106.4 | 3.8 | 109.6 | 0.6 |
| C2-C3-C4 | 101.0 (2) | 102.1 | -1.1 | 100.4 | 0.6 |
| C2-C3-C10 | 110.5 (2) | 111.6 | -1.1 | 114.1 | -3.6 |
| C4-C3-C10 | 118.3 (2) | 115.6 | 2.7 | 115.2 | 3.1 |
| C3-C4-C5 | 122.3 (2) | 121.2 | 1.1 | 121.6 | 0.7 |
| C3-C4-C9 | 99.8 (2) | 99.6 | 0.2 | 99.6 | 0.2 |
| C5-C4-C9 | 109.3 (2) | 109.0 | 0.3 | 109.1 | 0.2 |
| C4-C5-C6 | 106.2 (2) | 107.0 | -0.8 | 107.0 | -0.8 |
| C4-C5-C14 | 113.1 (2) | 112.0 | 1.1 | 112.2 | 0.9 |
| C6-C5-C14 | 113.3 (3) | 111.2 | 2.1 | 111.1 | 2.2 |
| C5-C6-C7 | 113.6 (3) | 113.4 | 0.2 | 113.4 | 0.2 |
| C6-C7-C8 | 113.6 (2) | 113.2 | 0.4 | 113.2 | 0.4 |
| C7-C8-C9 | 105.1 (2) | 105.8 | -0.7 | 106.0 | -0.9 |
| C7-C8-C11 | 114.6 (2) | 114.9 | -0.3 | 114.9 | -0.3 |
| C9-C8-C11 | 114.7 (2) | 113.3 | 1.4 | 113.2 | 1.5 |
| $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 103.6 (2) | 101.8 | 1.8 | 104.9 | -1.3 |
| O1-C9-C8 | 113.3 (2) | 116.0 | -2.7 | 115.1 | $-1.8$ |
| C4-C9-C8 | 114.7 (2) | 111.0 | 3.7 | 110.6 | 4.1 |
| O3-- $\mathrm{Cl}^{0}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 122.8 (3) | 122.5 | 0.3 | 122.5 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Cl0}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 118.3 (2) | 111.6 | 6.7 | 111.2 | 7.1 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cl0}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 118.9 (2) | 125.9 | -7.0 | 126.2 | -7.3 |
| C8-C11-C12 | 113.5 (3) | 114.7 | -1.2 | 114.6 | -1.1 |
| C8-C11-C13 | 112.8 (3) | 111.8 | 1.0 | 111.8 | 1.0 |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Cl} 3$ | 111.1 (3) | 109.7 | 1.4 | 109.6 | 1.5 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Cl} 0$ | -32.2 (4) | -35.3 | 3.1 | -32.9 | 0.7 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{O} 3$ | -76.9 (3) | -52.2 | -24.7 | -50.7 | -26.2 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C10}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 101.0 (3) | 127.2 | -26.2 | 128.2 | -27.2 |
| C3-C4-C5-C6 | 174.4 (2) | 175.0 | -0.6 | 175.4 | -1.0 |
| C3-C4-C5-C14 | -60.6 (3) | -62.8 | 2.2 | -62.5 | 1.9 |
| C3-C4-C9-C8 | 164.5 (2) | 163.9 | 0.6 | 163.3 | 1.2 |
| C5-C4-C9-O1 | 169.9 (2) | 167.7 | 2.2 | 166.9 | 3.0 |
| C6-C7-C8-C11 | -178.6 (3) | -179.5 | 0.9 | -179.7 | 1.1 |
| C7-C8--C9-O1 | 177.4 (2) | 177.3 | 0.1 | -179.5 | -3.1 |
| C7-C8-C11-C12 | 64.1 (3) | 60.1 | 4.0 | 59.0 | 5.1 |
| C7-C8-C11-C13 | -63.5 (3) | -65.5 | 2.0 | -66.6 | 3.1 |
| C9-C8-C11-C12 | -57.6 (3) | -61.7 | 4.1 | -63.1 | 5.5 |
| C9-C8-C11-C13 | 174.8 (3) | 172.7 | 2.1 | 171.4 | 3.4 |

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ )

| D-H $\cdots$ A | D-H | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | D...A | $D-H \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O3-H3 $\cdots{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.83 | 2.39 | 2.658 (3) | 100 |
|  | mmetry | e: (i) |  |  |

The integrated intensities were obtained by a modified version (Belletti, Ugozzoli, Cantoni \& Pasquinelli, 1979) of the Lehmann \& Larsen (1974) peak-profile analysis procedure, and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, but not for absorption.

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on $F^{2}$ by anisotropic full-matrix least squares. The H atoms were placed in calculated positions, riding on the attached atoms; four isotropic $U(\mathrm{H})$ parameters, constrained to be equal for chemically equivalent H atoms, were refined, as were the orientation and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distance for each of the three methyl groups. H3O was found in a $\Delta \rho$ synthesis out of the plane of the parent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ group and was not subsequently refined. An independent refinement on $F$ with SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) using 1223 observed [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] reflections and 194 parameters gave results essentially equal to those reported here.

The anomalous scattering effects did not give unequivocally the absolute configuration of the molecule, the value of the Flack (1983) index being $x=0.4$ (3). The configuration was assigned on the basis of the known chiralities of the menthyl C atoms in (1).

The calculations were carried out on the ENCORE91 and GOULD-POWERNODE 6040 computers of the Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR (Parma), and on a COMPAQ-486c portable computer.

Data collection: local programs. Cell refinement: LQPARM (Nardelli \& Mangia, 1984). Data reduction: local programs. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993); SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: ORTEP (Johnson, 1965); PLUTO (Motherwell \& Clegg, 1976). Geometrical calculations and preparation of the material for publication: PARST (Nardelli, 1983); PARSTCIF (Nardelli, 1991).

Financial support from MURST is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H -atom coordinates and difference-energy profiles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1174). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## References

Autodesk, Inc. (1992). HYPERCHEM. Version 2.0. Autodesk, Inc., 2320 Marinship Way, Sansalito, CA 94965, USA.
Belletti, D., Ugozzoli, F., Cantoni, A. \& Pasquinelli, G. (1979). Gestione on Line di Diffrattometro a Cristallo Singolo Siemens AED con Sistema General Automation Jumbo 220. Internal Reports 1-3/79. Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, Parma, Italy.
Cremer, D. \& Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
Dunitz, J. D. \& White, D. N. J. (1973). Acta Cryst. A29, 93-94.
Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
Lehmann, M. S. \& Larsen, F. K. (1974). Acta Cryst. A30, 580-589.
Motherwell, W. D. S. \& Clegg, W. (1976). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
(C) 1995 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

Nardelli, M. (1988). ROTENER. Program for Calculating Non-Bonded Potential Energy. Univ. of Parma, Italy.
Nardelli, M. (1991). PARSTCIF. Program for Creating a CIF from the Output of PARST. Univ. of Parma, Italy.
Nardelli, M. \& Mangia, A. (1984). Ann. Chim. (Rome), 74, 163-174. Saba, A. (1994). Synth. Commun. 24, 695-699.
Schomaker, V. \& Trueblood, K. N. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 63-76.
Serena Software. (1989). PCMODEL. Version 4.0. Serena Software, Bloomington, IN 47402-3076, USA.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
Trueblood, K. N. (1984). THMV. Program for Thermal Motion Analysis. Univ. of California, Los Angeles, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2169-2171

# 5a- $p$-Methylphenyl-5a,5b,6,7,8,9,9a,10-octa-hydro- 5 H -isoindolo $[2,1-a$ ]benzimidazol-10one 
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## Abstract

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, was prepared by the reaction of cis-2-(4-methylbenzoyl)cyclohexanecarboxylic acid and $o$-phenylenediamine. A mixture of two isomeric compounds was isolated and separated by column chromatography. The compounds differ in the cyclohexane-pyrrolidone annelation, which is cis in the title compound. The mutual arrangement of the aryl group and the annelation H atoms is also cis.

## Comment

For the synthesis of potential anorectic compounds a great number of saturated or partly saturated isoindolone derivatives have been prepared (Stájer, Csende, Bernáth, Sohár \& Szúnyog, 1994; Stájer, Csende, Bernáth \&

