Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences



journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com

Original article

Antimicrobial activity and chemical composition of essential oil from Helichrysum microphyllum Cambess. subsp. tyrrhenicum Bacch., Brullo & Giusso collected in South-West Sardinia



Claudia Juliano^{a,*}, Mauro Marchetti^b, Paolo Campagna^c, Marianna Usai^d

^a Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy

^b Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry, National Research Council (CNR), 07100 Sassari, Italy

^c Department od Internal Medicine, Azienda Sanitaria Provinciale di Enna, 94100 Enna, Italy

^d Department of Natural and Land Sciences, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history Received 3 August 2017 Revised 6 April 2018 Accepted 8 April 2018 Available online 10 April 2018

Keywords: H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum Essential oil composition Curcumene Antimicrobial activity Anticandidal activity Chitosan

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to evaluate the chemical composition and the antimicrobial activity of essential oils of Helichrysum microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum collected in four different stations in South-Western Sardinia.

The composition of the essential oils was determined by gas chromatography and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. The oil samples showed different chromatographic profiles. The oil of the station 4 revealed the presence of significant amount of nervl acetate (33.6%); in oils from stations 1 and 2 we found γ -curcumene (28%) and in station 3 γ -curcumene (12%) and linalool (11%), while there was no trace of neryl acetate. Standard microbiological assays demonstrated that essential oils obtained by plants collected in station 1 and 2, very rich in curcumene, showed an interesting anticandidal activity, dose- and time-dependent, which is enhanced by sub-inhibitory concentrations of chitosan.

Our results suggest that the essential oil of Helichrysum microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum, associated with chitosan in innovative formulations, could be considered as a therapeutic alternative in the treatment of Candida opportunistic infections. The results of this study shows that the chemotypization of the species examined could lead to their targeted clinical use, in a concept of a rational scientific aromatherapy.

© 2018 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The genus Helichrysum Mill. is currently widely distributed in Africa, Madagascar, the Mediterranean basin, Macaronesia, central Asia and India, and, according to different authors, it includes ca 500 to ca. 600 species (Hilliard, 1983; Anderberg, 1991). The Mediterranean, European, Western and Central Asia Helichrysum species have been classified into two main groups: Helichrysum sect. Helichrysum, mainly equivalent to de Cadolle's unranked

Production and hosting by Elsevier FLSEVIER

group Stoechadina, and Helichrysum sect. Virginea (DC) Gren & Gord. All species belonging to the H. sect. Helichrysum are shrubs or herbaceous perennials with capitula in terminal corymbose yellow inflorescences, arachnoid to densely tomentose. A taxonomic revision of Helichrysum sect. Stoechadina has been proposed (Galbany-Casals et al., 2006) Each one of them possesses several vegetative stems that can be erect, ascendant, or decumbent, and leafy throughout their length.

Helichrysum microphyllum Cambess. subsp. tyrrhenicum Bacch., Brullo & Giusso (Angiolini et al., 2005), synonym of Helichrysum italicum subsp. microphyllum, and H. italicum subsp. siculum nearly always bear axillary leaf fascicles on the vegetative stems. On the other hand, intermediate specimens between subsp. italicum and H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrenicum (as regards the height of the plant, the length of its leaf and the presence of axillary leaffascicles on vegetative steams) are very frequent in areas where they co-occur. Particularly in Southern Corsica, we can easily find specimens exhibiting all the degrees of morphological variation

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.04.009

1319-562X/© 2018 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Sassari, Via Muroni 23/A, 07100 Sassari, Italy.

E-mail address: julianoc@uniss.it (C. Juliano).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

between these two subspecies. *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrenicum* is wide spread, occupying diverse open habitats, including shrubby formations in the mountain regions, road banks and path margins, maritime rocks and sand dunes. With an altitudinal range 0–1950 m. *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrenicum* presents a disjoint geographical distribution among the Mediterranean islands of Majorca, Corsica, Sardinia, Crete and Cyprus (Galbany-Casals et al., 2006).

In Sardinia, H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrenicum was abundantly used as a dermo-protective product and in the formulation of tonic baths. It is an extraordinary component with multiple health benefits due to its numerous properties, such as strengthening hair, fighting hair loss, burn relief, choleretic, diuretic, expectorant, analgesic, antirheumatic and used against headaches (Atzei, 2003). It is to remember that *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrenicum* is a synonimum of *H. italicum* subsp. *mycrophyllum*; for this reason we can referred to previous papers on this specie. Scientific studies on this plant also demonstrated its anti-oxidant (Rosa et al., 2007), antiinflammatory (Guinoiseau et al., 2013), antiviral (Nostro et al., 2003) and anti-HIV activity (Appendino et al., 2007). Most of the species have been studied for their content of essential oils (Maoddi and Usai, 1997; Usai et al., 2000; Chessa et al., 2000; Angioni et al., 2003; Bianchini et al., 2003; Paolini et al., 2006; Perrini et al., 2009; Usai et al., 2010; Ornano et al., 2015).

Currently, many researches have reported the antimicrobial activity of other species of *Helichrysum* genus, but limited data are available on different extracts of *Helichrysum italicum*, documenting an effect against Gram + bacteria and mycetes (Nostro et al., 2000; 2001; 2004; Mastelic et al., 2005; Tundis et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2007; Stupar et al., 2014).

Therefore, the main aim of our study was to estimate the antimicrobial potential of different samples of essential oils obtained from H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum collected in different South-West Sardinian locations; we particularly focused on the anticandidal activity of these oils, since Candida is now recognized as an increasingly important human pathogen responsible for severe infections, especially in immunocompromised hosts (Deng et al., 2010; Pakfetrat et al., 2015) Moreover, we investigated an eventual synergistic interaction between H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum essential oils and chitosan, a biopolymer obtained from partial deacetylation of chitin that possesses, among others, antifungal and antioxidant properties (Gavhane Yogeshkumar et al., 2013) and is able to enhance the anticandidal activity of Tea Tree essential oil (Juliano et al., 2008). Afterwards, the chemical composition of the essential oils we tested was determined by GC and GC/MS in the attempt to relate differences in antimicrobial activity to the presence of specific components.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant species collection and identification

H. microphyllum subsp. *tyrrhenicum* was collected at flowering stage (June 2014) in South-Western Sardinia by Giorgio Congiu. Voucher specimens were deposited at the Herbarium S.A.S.S.A. (identified by M. Usai; cumulative identification number: 729) of the Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Sassari. The four stations are all located in South Western Sardinia and include an area particularly rich in essences. Station 1 is located 4 km far from Iglesias and 135 m above sea level; its soil is characterized by the presence of landfilled mining materials containing lead mixed with zinc. Station 2 is located 10 km far from Carbonia and 90 m above sea level; the soil is rich in argentiferous lead ores. Station 3 (Portoscuso area) is located 18 km far from Carbonia and around 100 m above sea level; its subsoil was exploited for the

presence of coal. Station 4 is located 19 km far from Oristano and its subsoil is mostly alluvial.

2.2. Oil distillation and yield

For every station, we submitted an amount of 10 kg of plant material for 3 h to hydrodistillation using a crafted extractor; the reached yields were between 0.17 and 0.19% (w/w). The oils were separated from the water by decantation and stored at -20 °C until analysis. To confirm the composition and yields of the essential oils, for every station we also extracted a sample of plant material, following the indications of the Italian Pharmacopeia (FUI) (Commissione permanente per la revisione e la pubblicazione della Farmacopea ufficiale, 2008). An amount of 300 g of plant material was submitted for 4 h to hydrodistillation using a Clevenger-type apparatus; the reached yields were between 0.19 and 0.20% (w/w). The oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and stored at -20 °C until analysis.

2.3. Oil analyses

2.3.1. Gas chromatography

Three replicates of each sample were analyzed by using a Hewlett-Packard Model 5890A GC, equipped with a flame ionization detector and fitted with a 60 m \times 0.25 mm (I.D.), thickness 0.25 µm ZB-5 fused silica capillary column (Phenomenex). Injection port and detector temperatures were maintained at 280 °C. The column temperature was programmed from 50 °C to 135 °C at 5 °C/min (1 min), 5 °C/min up 225 °C (5 min), 5 °C/min up 260 $^{\circ}$ C and then held for 10 min. The samples (0.1 μ L each), generally analyzed without dilution (using 2,6-dimethylphenol as internal standard), were injected using a split/splitless automatic injector HP 7673 and using helium as the carrier gas. The analytical data reported in Table 1 represent the average results of three GC injections. The quantization of each compound was expressed as absolute weight percentage using internal standard and response factors. The detector response factors (RFs) were determined for key components relative to 2.6-dimethylphenol and assigned to other components on the basis of functional group and/or structural similarity.

2.3.2. Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry

MS analyses were carried out with an Agilent Technologies model 7820A connected with a MS detector 5977E MSD (Agilent), and using the same conditions and column described above. The column was connected with the ion source of the mass spectrometer. Mass units were monitored from 10 to 900 at 70 eV. The identification of constituents was based on comparison of the R_t values and mass spectra with those obtained from authentic samples and/ or the NIST and Wiley library spectra (INational Institute of Standards and Technology, 1999); Adams, 2007), or on the interpretation of the El-fragmentation of the molecules.

2.3.3. Statistical analysis

Prior to chemometric analysis, setting the total integral areas to 100 normalized the data and the generated ASCII file was imported into Microsoft EXCEL for the addition of labels. The matrix was imported into SIMCA-P software version 12.0, (Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden) for statistical analysis. A data matrix for subsequent analysis was set. Data were submitted to multivariate statistical evaluation using the Simca-p software package (Umetrics Umeå, Sweden). Especially, the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Orthogonal Projections to Latent Structures Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA) were applied. In order to avoid model overfitting, a 300-time permutation test validated the supervised models.

Table 1

Essential oils composition (%) of *H. microphyllum* subsp. tyrrhenicum.

Bi "Case of compounds Compounds Station 1 (clacina) Station 1 (clacina) Station 1 (clacina) Station 2 (clacina) Station 4 (clacina) Station 4 (claci					Samples			_		
	RI		^c Class of compounds	Compounds	Station 1 (Iglesias)	Station 2 (Carbonia)	Station 3 (Portoscuso)	Station 4 (Oristano)	^a ID	References
				-	^b Yield %				-	
Exp. Ur.					0.38	0.41	0.35	0.42	-	
	Exp.	Lit.		-						
950 953 MH s-kenchene 044 0.04 0.016 0.02 nd 5d 991 MH Lipurycene nd 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.01 0.0	940	939	МН	α-pinene	0.25 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.02	0.42 ± 0.04	nd	Std	
956 954 MH Lamphane nd nd 0.05 0.01 0.44 0.02 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.04 0.03 0.00 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.01 0.07 0.0	950	953	MH	α-fenchene	0.04 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.02	nd	Std	
991 MH ()-myccne nd nd 0.12 ± 0.02 Md MI ()-lineace Nat 109 102 MH x-crimene nd 00 0.32 ± 0.02 nd 0.32 ± 0.02 nd 0.41 ± 0.03 Nat (1907) 1095 1080 MH x-crimene 0.54 ± 0.02 nd 0.52 ± 0.01 0.33 ± 0.02 nd nd 0.51 ± 0.01 Nat (1907) Nat inden Nat (1907) Nat inden Nat Nat </td <td>956</td> <td>954</td> <td>MH</td> <td>camphene</td> <td>nd</td> <td>nd</td> <td>0.05 ± 0.01</td> <td>nd</td> <td>Std</td> <td></td>	956	954	MH	camphene	nd	nd	0.05 ± 0.01	nd	Std	
1010 1029 MH c-contence 5.28 ± 0.01 7.33 ± 0.05 4.14 ± 0.03 1.14 ± 0.03	991	991	MH	β-myrcene	nd	nd	0.13 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.02	Std	
1090 1042 MH s-s-crimene nd 0.09 9.002 0.39 9.002 nd 0.29 9.003 nd 0.29 9.003 nd 0.29 9.003 0.33 9.003 0.33 9.003 0.33 9.003 0.33 9.003 0.34 9.003 0.	1031	1029	MH	(+)-limonene	5.28 ± 0.01	7.33 ± 0.05	4.14 ± 0.03	1.19 ± 0.01	Std	
ID5 ID80 MI x-ferpinolene QB ± 0.04 nd Q.22 ± 0.01 Q.23 ± 0.03 MS (1997) 1005 1007 MM Labol 165 ± 0.02 0.37 ± 0.01 0.37 ± 0.02 nd NS NS 1102 1100 AE Labol 164 ± 0.01 0.07 ± 0.02 1.04 ± 0.02 3.16 ± 0.02 NL	1039	1042	MH	α-ocimene	nd	0.99 ± 0.02	0.39 ± 0.02	nd	RI,	Tzakou et al.
1075 1088 MH 2-terpinolene 0.80 ± 0.04 nd 0.02 ± 0.01 0.22 ± 0.01 0.22 ± 0.01 0.81 ± 0.02 nd nd<									MS	(1997)
1030 No ICC 2-hennone 0.94 ± 0.02 0.05 ± 0.01 0.93 ± 0.02 nd Right of the second se	1075	1089	MH	α-terpinolene	0.80 ± 0.04	nd	0.22 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.03	Std	
1069 097 0M4 inabel inspeript-2- metrybinanane metrybi	1093	1090	No ICC	2-nonanone	0.54 ± 0.02	0.65 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.02	nd	KI,	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1005	1007	OM	linalool	14.21 ± 0.02	11.66 ± 0.01	11.47 ± 0.02	2.16 ± 0.02	IVIS Std	
1100 1100	1102	1100	AF	isopentyl-2-	0.71 ± 0.05	0.07 ± 0.01	0.44 + 0.02	nd	RI	
1142 1144 No TCC (E) -tagetone 4.48 ± 0.04 3.28 ± 0.02 1.72 ± 0.01 0.84 ± 0.01 NI MS 1152 No TCC (Z) -tagetone 1.49 ± 0.02 2.46 ± 0.02 1.57 ± 0.01 nd NI 1180 1160 No TCC (Z) -tagetone 1.65 ± 0.02 nd nd 0.92 ± 0.01 NI 1180 1180 OM α -terpineel 0.55 ± 0.02 0.48 ± 0.01 0.36 ± 0.01 0.92 ± 0.01 NI 1180 1180 OM α -terpineel nd nd 1.31 ± 0.02 nd 4.95 ± 0.02 NI 1180 1140 SH α -cubrene nd nd 1.31 ± 0.02 nd 4.95 ± 0.02 3.35 ± 0.02 NI 1140 1413 SH α -cubrene 1.17 ± 0.02 0.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 S.22 ± 0.02 Std 1141 SH α -cedrene 1.16 ± 0.01 2.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 S.12 ± 0.02 Std 1142 SH α -	1102	1100	AL .	methylbutanoate	0.71 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.02	na	MS	
1152115210.5710.1710.161	1142	1144	No TCC	(E)-tagetone	4.48 ± 0.04	3.28 ± 0.02	1.72 ± 0.01	0.84 ± 0.01	RI.	
1152 N5 TCC (Z)-tagetone 1.49 ± 0.02 2.46 ± 0.02 1.57 ± 0.01 nd RL 1160 No TCC 4.6-dimethylocan-3.5-dione 1.62 ± 0.02 0.4 ± 0.01 1.59 ± 0.01 0.92 ± 0.01 RL Bianchini et al. (202) 1180 1180 OM z -terpineol 0.55 ± 0.02 0.48 ± 0.02 0.36 ± 0.01 0.92 ± 0.01 RL Bianchini et al. (202) 1190 1189 OM z -terpineol 0.55 ± 0.02 0.48 ± 0.02 0.36 ± 0.01 0.22 ± 0.01 RL RL 1131 1189 OM z -terpineol 0.55 ± 0.02 0.48 ± 0.02 3.35 ± 0.03 RL RL (202) 11405 1405 SH z -cedrene 1.54 ± 0.01 6.08 ± 0.02 3.35 ± 0.02 Std (203) 1440 1412 SH z -cedrene 2.01 ± 0.01 1.64 ± 0.01 2.98 ± 0.02 Std (203) 1443 1443 SH z -spanyophylene 2.01 ± 0.01 1.64 ± 0.01 2.98 ± 0.02 Std (203) 1443 1444 SH z -sp									MS	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1152	1152	No TCC	(Z)-tagetone	1.49 ± 0.02	2.46 ± 0.02	1.57 ± 0.01	nd	RI,	
1162 1160 OM 2 -terpineol 1.65 ± 0.02 nd nd 0 0.92 ± 0.01 NF 1180 1180 No TCC 4.6-dimethyloctan-3.5-dione 1.62 ± 0.02 0.94 ± 0.01 1.59 ± 0.01 0.92 ± 0.01 NS RI, Bianchini et al. (2003) 1190 1189 OM g-terpineol 0.55 ± 0.02 0.48 ± 0.02 0.36 ± 0.01 0.22 ± 0.01 NS (2003) 1301 1280 OM g-expineol nd nd nd 1.31 ± 0.02 nd 4.93 ± 0.05 RI, 1351 SH g-exubebene nd nd nd 1.31 ± 0.02 nd 3.35 ± 0.03 1.37 ± 0.01 RI, (2003) 1405 1415 SH g-excleace 4.17 ± 0.02 0.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 3.52 ± 0.02 NS (2003) 1433 1443 SH g-expinotene 1.06 ± 0.02 1.64 ± 0.01 7.58 ± 0.03 NS (2003) 1433 1444 SH aromachendrene nd nd 0.40 ± 0.05 nd NS NS									MS	
11801160No TCC4.6-dimethyloctan-3,5-dine 1.62 ± 0.02 0.94 ± 0.01 1.59 ± 0.01 0.92 ± 0.01 No A22 \pm 0.01No A22 \pm 0.02No A22 \pm 0.02No A2	1162	1166	OM	8-terpineol	1.65 ± 0.02	nd	nd	0.79 ± 0.03	RI,	
11001	1180	1160	No TCC	4.6-dimethyloctan-3.5-dione	1 62 + 0 02	0.94 ± 0.01	150 ± 0.01	0.92 ± 0.01	IVIS RI	Bianchini et al
1190 1189 OM terpinedl granyl formate 0.55 ± 0.02 nd 0.48 ± 0.02 nd 0.36 ± 0.01 nd 0.22 ± 0.01 4.95 ± 0.05 Std MK 1361 1362 OM granyl formate nd nd nd 0.22 ± 0.01 nd Std MK 1366 1362 OM e-cubehene neryl acetate nd nd nd 3.35 ± 0.03 1.37 ± 0.01 Std Std 1405 SH di-epi-s-cedrene 1.54 ± 0.01 2.02 ± 0.02 0.44 ± 0.02 S.52 ± 0.02 Std Std 1411 1413 SH c-cedrene 1.64 ± 0.01 2.79 ± 0.03 0.77 ± 0.01 1.41 ± 0.02 Std 1435 1440 SH aromadendrene nd nd 0.50 ± 0.03 0.55 ± 0.02 1.64 ± 0.01 1.75 ± 0.02 Std MK 1443 1445 SH	1100	1100	NO ICC	4,0-011112111910ctall-3,3-010112	1.02 ± 0.02	0.94 ± 0.01	1.59 ± 0.01	0.92 ± 0.01	MS	(2003)
1301 1288 OM germyl formate nd nd nd nd Age Age MK MK 1335 135. SH n-cubbene nd nd <td>1190</td> <td>1189</td> <td>ОМ</td> <td>α-terpineol</td> <td>0.55 ± 0.02</td> <td>0.48 ± 0.02</td> <td>0.36 ± 0.01</td> <td>0.22 ± 0.01</td> <td>Std</td> <td>()</td>	1190	1189	ОМ	α-terpineol	0.55 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.02	0.36 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.01	Std	()
	1301	1298	OM	geranyl formate	nd	nd	nd	4.93 ± 0.05	RI,	
1351 SH α-cubebene nd nd 1,1002 nd ST 1405 1405 SH dl-epi-a-cedrene 1,54 ± 0.01 6.08 ± 0.02 3,53 ± 0.03 1,37 ± 0.01 NM (2003) 1409 1412 SH α-cedrene 4,17 ± 0.02 0.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 3,52 ± 0.02 Std (2003) 1415 1413 SH α-c-cedrene 4,17 ± 0.02 0.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 3,52 ± 0.02 Std Std (2003) 1421 1415 MA α-c-cedrene 4,17 ± 0.02 0.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 3,52 ± 0.02 Std Std (2003) (2014) Std (2033) (2013)									MS	
1366 1362 0.M neryl acetate nd nd nd 33.80 ± 0.04 Std 1405 1405 SH di-gri-z-ceffence 1.34 ± 0.01 6.08 ± 0.02 3.35 ± 0.02 Std (2003) 1415 1412 SH α -cedrene 4.17 ± 0.02 0.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 3.52 ± 0.02 Std 1415 1413 SH ciz-dergamotene 2.10 ± 0.01 2.79 ± 0.03 0.80 ± 0.01 Std 1433 1440 SH rans-x-bergamotene 1.60 ± 0.02 1.75 ± 0.02 0.65 ± 0.01 1.08 ± 0.02 Std 1443 1441 SH aromadendrene nd nd 0.40 ± 0.05 nd MS 1444 1445 SH α -humulene nd nd 0.40 ± 0.05 nd MS 1445 SH α -humulene nd nd 0.40 ± 0.01 7.58 ± 0.03 Std 1460 SH alloaromadendrene 0.50 ± 0.03 0.53 ± 0.01 0.71 ± 0.03 <t< td=""><td>1353</td><td>1351</td><td>SH</td><td>α-cubebene</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td>1.31 ± 0.02</td><td>nd</td><td>Std</td><td></td></t<>	1353	1351	SH	α-cubebene	nd	nd	1.31 ± 0.02	nd	Std	
14051405SHdi-ept-s-cedrene 1.34 ± 0.01 6.08 \pm 0.02 3.3 ± 0.03 1.37 ± 0.01 M,jutant et al.14091412SH α -cedrene 4.17 ± 0.02 0.29 ± 0.02 0.14 ± 0.02 3.52 ± 0.02 Sid14151413SH cis -s-berganotene 2.10 ± 0.01 2.79 ± 0.03 0.77 ± 0.01 1.41 ± 0.02 Sid14211419SH $(is$ -s-berganotene 1.00 ± 0.02 1.64 ± 0.01 2.88 ± 0.03 0.80 ± 0.01 Sid14351435SH $rams-s-berganotene$ 1.00 ± 0.02 1.67 ± 0.02 0.65 ± 0.01 1.08 ± 0.02 Sid14331440SH α -guaienendnd 0.50 ± 0.02 ndMS14431441SHaromadendrenendnd 0.40 ± 0.05 ndSid14541455SH α -humulenendnd 0.40 ± 0.05 ndSid14541455SH α -numulene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.85 ± 0.01 0.41 ± 0.01 7.58 ± 0.03 Sid1466I463SH α -curcumene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.39 ± 0.01 ndRl,14811486SH a -curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 ndRl,14831486SH a -curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 0.63 ± 0.01 ndMS14831486SH a -curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 0.63 ± 0.01 ndMS14901495ArEpheneth	1366	1362	OM	neryl acetate	nd	nd	nd	33.60 ± 0.04	Std	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1405	1405	SH	di-epi- α -cedrene	1.54 ± 0.01	6.08 ± 0.02	3.35 ± 0.03	1.37 ± 0.01	ri, MS	Juteau et al. (2003)
1415 1413 SH d^{5} - d^{-} ergamotene 2.10 ± 0.01 2.79 ± 0.03 0.77 ± 0.01 1.41 ± 0.02 Sid 1421 1419 SH c_{FD-} -cargamotene 1.60 ± 0.02 1.75 ± 0.02 0.65 ± 0.01 1.08 ± 0.02 Sid 1435 1440 SH $\alpha_{cgualene}$ nd nd 0.50 ± 0.02 nd M_{S}^{-} 1443 1441 SH $\alpha_{cgualene}$ nd nd 0.50 ± 0.02 nd M_{S}^{-} 1444 1445 SH $\alpha_{cgualene}$ nd nd 0.40 ± 0.05 nd M_{S}^{-} 1445 1457 SH α -humulene nd nd 0.40 ± 0.05 nd SId 1450 1460 SH alloaromadendrene 1.38 ± 0.02 0.85 ± 0.02 0.22 ± 0.02 1.21 ± 0.03 R_{L} 1460 1483 SH γ -curcumene 28.94 ± 0.02 28.22 ± 0.02 12.22 ± 0.03 11.08 ± 0.01 R_{L} 1480 1485 SH q -curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 0.63 ± 0.01	1409	1412	SH	α-cedrene	4.17 ± 0.02	0.29 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	3.52 ± 0.02	Std	
$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1415	1413	SH	<i>cis</i> -α-bergamotene	2.10 ± 0.01	2.79 ± 0.03	0.77 ± 0.01	1.41 ± 0.02	Std	
	1421	1419	SH	(E)-β-caryophyllene	2.01 ± 0.01	1.64 ± 0.01	2.98 ± 0.03	0.80 ± 0.01	Std	
	1435	1435	SH	trans-α-bergamotene	1.60 ± 0.02	1.75 ± 0.02	0.65 ± 0.01	1.08 ± 0.02	Std	
14431441SHaromadendrenendnd 0.50 ± 0.02 nd MS 14511455SH α -humulenendnd 0.40 ± 0.05 ndStd14591457SH (E) - β -farnesene 0.54 ± 0.02 0.85 ± 0.01 0.41 ± 0.01 7.58 ± 0.03 Std14601460SHalloaromadendrene 1.38 ± 0.02 1.08 ± 0.04 2.25 ± 0.02 1.21 ± 0.03 RI,14651463SHdehydroaromadendrene 0.50 ± 0.03 0.53 ± 0.03 0.39 ± 0.01 ndRI,14801483SH γ -curcumene 28.94 ± 0.02 28.22 ± 0.02 12.22 ± 0.03 11.08 ± 0.01 RI,14801483SHar-curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 4.69 ± 0.01 3.12 ± 0.03 ndRI,14901495ArEphenethyl isovalerate 1.27 ± 0.03 1.38 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 ndRI,14971498SH ϕ -curcumene 0.50 ± 0.05 1.78 ± 0.02 ndMS(201)14971498SH ϕ -cole 1.03 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.01 ndMS14901498SH ϕ -cole 1.65 ± 0.03 1.78 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.01 1.61 ± 0.03 RI,1504IA ϕ -cole nd 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd1504SH ϕ -cole nd 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd1505SH ϕ -colen	1439	1440	SH	α-guaiene	nd	nd	0.26 ± 0.04	nd	RI,	
1443 1441 SH administering nd nd 0.00 \pm 0.02 nd N, 1454 1455 55 SH α -humulene nd nd 0.40 \pm 0.02 nd Std 1459 1457 SH albaromadendrene 0.38 \pm 0.02 1.08 \pm 0.04 2.25 \pm 0.02 1.21 \pm 0.03 RI 1460 1460 SH dehydroaromadendrene 0.50 \pm 0.02 0.85 \pm 0.01 0.41 \pm 0.01 RI MS 1480 1483 SH dehydroaromadendrene 0.50 \pm 0.02 28.22 \pm 0.02 12.22 \pm 0.03 11.08 \pm 0.01 RI 1480 1483 SH γ -curcumene 0.53 \pm 0.01 3.12 \pm 0.03 nd RI 1490 1495 ArE phenethyl isovalerate 1.27 \pm 0.03 1.38 \pm 0.01 0.75 \pm 0.02 nd MS 1497 1498 SH β -selinene nd 1.42 \pm 0.01 1.39 \pm 0.02 0.96 \pm 0.02 Std 1508 1506 SH γ -cadinene 0.55 \pm 0.03 0.63 \pm 0.02 0.51 \pm 0.01 RI	1442	1441	CU	aromadandrana	nd	nd	0.50 ± 0.02	nd	MS	
$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1445	1441	30	aromadendrene	na	na	0.50 ± 0.02	na	MS	
14591457SH 1460(E)-β-farnesene alloaromadendrene0.54 ± 0.02 1.38 ± 0.020.85 ± 0.01 1.08 ± 0.040.41 ± 0.01 2.25 ± 0.027.58 ± 0.03 1.21 ± 0.03Std NG14651463SHdehydroaromadendrene0.50 ± 0.030.53 ± 0.030.39 ± 0.01ndRI, MS14801483SH γ -curcumene28.94 ± 0.0228.22 ± 0.0212.22 ± 0.0311.08 ± 0.01RI, MS14801483SH γ -curcumene28.94 ± 0.0228.22 ± 0.0212.22 ± 0.0311.08 ± 0.01RI, MS14801486SHar-curcumene0.53 ± 0.014.69 ± 0.013.12 ± 0.03ndRI, MS14901495ArEphenethyl isovalerate1.27 ± 0.031.38 ± 0.010.75 ± 0.02ndRI, MS14971498SH γ -curcumenend1.42 ± 0.011.39 ± 0.03ndStd1500I500SH γ -calinenend1.42 ± 0.011.39 ± 0.03ndStd1501I502SH γ -cadinene0.57 ± 0.030.63 ± 0.02ndMS1514I514SH γ -cadinene0.55 ± 0.021.40 ± 0.011.61 ± 0.03RI, MS1514I514SH γ -cadinenendndnd0.55 ± 0.02ndMS1522I523SH γ -cadinenendnd0.55 ± 0.02ndMS1524I545SH γ -cadinenendndnd0.55 ± 0.02	1454	1455	SH	α-humulene	nd	nd	0.40 ± 0.05	nd	Std	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1459	1457	SH	(E) - β -farnesene	0.54 ± 0.02	0.85 ± 0.01	0.41 ± 0.01	7.58 ± 0.03	Std	
1465 1463 SH dehydroaromadendrene 0.50 ± 0.03 0.53 ± 0.03 0.39 ± 0.01 nd MS NS MS 1480 1483 SH γ -curcumene 28.94 ± 0.02 28.22 ± 0.02 12.22 ± 0.03 11.08 ± 0.01 RI, MS 1483 1486 SH ar-curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 4.69 ± 0.01 3.12 ± 0.03 nd RI 1490 1495 ArE phenethyl isovalerate 1.27 ± 0.03 1.38 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 nd RI MS 1497 1498 SH phenethyl isovalerate 1.27 ± 0.03 1.38 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 nd RI MS 1508 1500 SH p-selinene nd 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 nd Std 1508 1506 SH p-selinene 0.57 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.01 1.61 ± 0.03 RI 1514 1514 SH γ -cadinene 0.57 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.01 1.61 ± 0.03 RI 1514 1514 SH γ -cadinene 0.55 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.02 0.51 ± 0.01 </td <td>1460</td> <td>1460</td> <td>SH</td> <td>alloaromadendrene</td> <td>1.38 ± 0.02</td> <td>1.08 ± 0.04</td> <td>2.25 ± 0.02</td> <td>1.21 ± 0.03</td> <td>RI,</td> <td></td>	1460	1460	SH	alloaromadendrene	1.38 ± 0.02	1.08 ± 0.04	2.25 ± 0.02	1.21 ± 0.03	RI,	
1465 1463 SH dehydroaromadendrene 0.50 ± 0.03 0.39 ± 0.01 nd RI, MS 1480 1483 SH γ -curcumene 28.94 ± 0.02 28.22 ± 0.02 12.22 ± 0.03 11.08 ± 0.01 RI, MS 1483 1486 SH ar-curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 4.69 ± 0.01 3.12 ± 0.03 nd RI, MS 1490 1495 ArE phenethyl isovalerate 1.27 ± 0.03 1.38 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 nd RI, MS petrakis et al. MS 1490 1495 No TCC tricyclo[M,N,O,Pxy]undec-2- en-4-one 1.03 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 nd SH good Std 1500 SH σ -muurolene 1.50 ± 0.05 1.78 ± 0.02 2.44 ± 0.02 0.96 ± 0.02 Std 1504 1506 SH σ -ealinene 0.57 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.02 nd 0.48 ± 0.02 Std 1514 1514 SH γ -calinene 0.57 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.02 nd 0.48 ± 0.02 Std 1522 SH γ -calinene 0.55 ± 0.03 $1.19 \pm$									MS	
14801483SH γ -curcumene28.94 ± 0.0228.22 ± 0.0212.22 ± 0.0311.08 ± 0.01MS MSNS MS14831486SHar-curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 4.69 ± 0.01 3.12 ± 0.03 ndRI, MS14901495MSArEphenethyl isovalerate 1.27 ± 0.03 1.38 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 ndRI, MS1495No TCCtricyclo[M,N,0,Pxy]undec-2- en-4-one 1.03 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.01 ndStd1500SH β -selinenend 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd1500SH β -selinenend 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd1500SH β -selinene 0.57 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.02 0.66 ± 0.02 Std15041506SH β -selinene 1.50 ± 0.05 1.50 ± 0.02 1.61 ± 0.03 RI, MS15141512SH γ -cadinene 0.95 ± 0.01 0.70 ± 0.01 3.29 ± 0.01 ndStd15141514SH γ -cadinene 0.95 ± 0.01 0.70 ± 0.01 3.29 ± 0.01 ndMS1522SFA γ -depi- α -selinenend nd nd nd MS15421546SH γ -cadinene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.51 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 RI, MS15421546SH γ -cadinene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 RI, MS	1465	1463	SH	dehydroaromadendrene	0.50 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.03	0.39 ± 0.01	nd	RI,	
14801483SH γ -curcumene28.34 \pm 0.0228.22 \pm 0.0212.22 \pm 0.0311.08 \pm 0.01N14831486SHar-curcumene 0.53 ± 0.01 4.69 ± 0.01 3.12 ± 0.03 ndRI, MS14901495ArEphenethyl isovalerate 1.27 ± 0.03 1.38 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 ndRI, MS1495No TCCtricyclo[M,N,O,Pxy]undec-2- en-4-one 1.03 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.01 ndMS14971498SH β -selinenend 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd15001500SH σ -muurolene 1.50 ± 0.05 1.78 ± 0.02 2.44 ± 0.02 0.96 ± 0.02 Std15081506SH β -belinene 0.57 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.02 nd 0.48 ± 0.02 Std15141512SH 7 -epi- α -selinene 0.95 ± 0.01 0.70 ± 0.01 3.29 ± 0.01 ndStd15141514SH γ -cadiene 0.65 ± 0.03 1.19 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.01 ndStd1522SH 7 -epi- α -selinene 1.65 ± 0.03 1.19 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.01 ndStd1523SH γ -cadiene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.51 ± 0.01 Std15421546SH α -calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 Ri,15421546SH α -calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 <	1400	1 40 2	CU		28.04 + 0.02	20 22 4 0 02	12.22 + 0.02	11.00 + 0.01	MS	
	1480	1483	SH	γ-curcumene	28.94 ± 0.02	28.22 ± 0.02	12.22 ± 0.03	11.08 ± 0.01	KI,	
14901495ArEphenethyl isovalerate 1.27 ± 0.03 1.38 ± 0.01 0.75 ± 0.02 ndRi MS1495No TCCtricyclo[M,N,O,Pxy]undec-2- en-4-one 1.03 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.01 ndMS14971498SH β -selinenend 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd15001500SH β -selinenend 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd15011508SH β -selinenend 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 ndStd1500I500SH β -bisabolene 0.57 ± 0.02 1.78 ± 0.02 2.44 ± 0.02 0.96 ± 0.02 Std15141522SH 7 -epi- α -selinene 1.83 ± 0.02 1.50 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.01 1.61 ± 0.03 Rl,15141514SH γ -cadinene 0.95 ± 0.01 0.70 ± 0.01 3.29 ± 0.01 ndStd1522I523SH δ -cadinene 1.65 ± 0.03 1.19 ± 0.02 4.45 ± 0.02 0.51 ± 0.01 Std1523SH 7 -dipi- α -selinenendnd 0.70 ± 0.01 3.29 ± 0.01 ndMS15421546SH γ -calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.57 ± 0.02 Rl,1547SH $4.5,9,10$ -dehydro- isolongifolenendnd 1.01 ± 0.03 ndRl,15491547SH $4.5,9,10$ -dehydro- isolongifolenendnd $1.01 \pm $	1483	1486	SH	ar-curcumene	0 53 + 0 01	4 69 + 0 01	3 12 + 0 03	nd	RI	
	1105	1100	511	ur curcumente	0.00 - 0.01	100 2 010 1	5112 2 0105		MS	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1490	1495	ArE	phenethyl isovalerate	1.27 ± 0.03	1.38 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.02	nd	RI,	Petrakis et al.
1495No TCCtricyclo[M,N,O,Pxy]undec-2- en-4-one1.03 \pm 0.020.81 \pm 0.030.63 \pm 0.01ndMS14971498SH β -selinenend1.42 \pm 0.011.39 \pm 0.03ndStd15001500SH α -muurolene1.50 \pm 0.051.78 \pm 0.022.44 \pm 0.020.96 \pm 0.02Std15081506SH β -bisabolene0.57 \pm 0.030.63 \pm 0.02nd0.48 \pm 0.02Std15141512SH7-epi- α -selinene1.83 \pm 0.021.50 \pm 0.021.40 \pm 0.011.61 \pm 0.03RI, MS15141514SH7-cadinene0.95 \pm 0.010.70 \pm 0.013.29 \pm 0.01ndStd1523SH7-cadinene1.65 \pm 0.031.19 \pm 0.024.45 \pm 0.020.51 \pm 0.01Std1523SH7-diepi- α -selinenendnd0.55 \pm 0.02ndMS15421546SH α -calacorene0.50 \pm 0.020.81 \pm 0.030.74 \pm 0.020.67 \pm 0.02RI, MS1547SHselina-3,7(11)dienendnd1.01 \pm 0.03ndRI, MS15631567OMtrans-nerolidol globulol0.45 \pm 0.010.46 \pm 0.035.07 \pm 0.020.27 \pm 0.02Std15631567OMtrans-nerolidol globulolndnd0.29 \pm 0.03ndMS									MS	(2001)
1497 1498 SH β-selinene nd 1.42 ± 0.01 1.39 ± 0.03 nd Std 1500 1500 SH α-muurolene 1.50 ± 0.05 1.78 ± 0.02 2.44 ± 0.02 0.96 ± 0.02 Std 1508 1506 SH β-bisabolene 0.57 ± 0.03 0.63 ± 0.02 nd 0.48 ± 0.02 Std 1514 1522 SH 7-epi-α-selinene 1.83 ± 0.02 1.50 ± 0.02 1.40 ± 0.01 1.61 ± 0.03 Rl, 1514 1514 SH 7-cadinene 0.95 ± 0.01 0.70 ± 0.01 3.29 ± 0.01 nd Std 1522 1523 SH δ-cadinene 1.65 ± 0.03 1.19 ± 0.02 4.45 ± 0.02 0.51 ± 0.01 Std 1523 SH 7-diepi-α-selinene nd nd 0.55 ± 0.02 nd MS 1542 1546 SH α-calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 Rl, 1547 SH 4,5,9,10-dehydro- isolongifolene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd Rl, 1549 1547	1495		No TCC	tricyclo[M,N,O,Pxy]undec-2-	1.03 ± 0.02	0.81 ± 0.03	0.63 ± 0.01	nd	MS	
14371437143511psellifieind1.421.0011.391.003ind5011500500SH α -muurolene1.50 ± 0.051.78 ± 0.022.44 ± 0.020.96 ± 0.02Std15081506SH β -bisabolene0.57 ± 0.030.63 ± 0.02nd0.48 ± 0.02Std15141522SH7-epi- α -selinene1.83 ± 0.021.50 ± 0.021.40 ± 0.011.61 ± 0.03Rl,15141514SH γ -cadinene0.95 ± 0.010.70 ± 0.013.29 ± 0.01ndStd15221523SH γ -cadinene1.65 ± 0.031.19 ± 0.024.45 ± 0.020.51 ± 0.01Std1523SH7-diepi- α -selinenendnd0.55 ± 0.02ndMS15421546SH α -calacorene0.50 ± 0.020.81 ± 0.030.74 ± 0.020.67 ± 0.02Rl,1547SH4.5,9,10-dehydro- isolongifolenendnd1.01 ± 0.03ndMS15491547SHselina-3,7(11)dienendnd1.01 ± 0.03ndRl, MS15631567OMtrans-nerolidol0.45 ± 0.010.46 ± 0.035.07 ± 0.020.27 ± 0.02StdZeng et al. (2007)15901585OSglobulolndnd0.29 ± 0.03ndMSSecond	1407	1/09	сц	en-4-one	nd	1.42 ± 0.01	1.20 ± 0.02	nd	Std	
15001500150115011.0011.0011.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0021.0011.0111.0011.0111.0011.0111.0011.0111.0	1497	1498	SH	p-semiene a-muurolene	150 ± 0.05	1.42 ± 0.01 1 78 + 0.02	1.39 ± 0.03 2 44 + 0 02	0.96 ± 0.02	Std	
15141522SH7-epi- α -selinene1.83 ± 0.021.50 ± 0.021.40 ± 0.011.61 ± 0.03RI, MS15141514SH γ -cadinene0.95 ± 0.010.70 ± 0.013.29 ± 0.01ndStd15221523SH δ -cadinene1.65 ± 0.031.19 ± 0.024.45 ± 0.020.51 ± 0.01Std1523SH7-diepi- α -selinenendnd0.55 ± 0.02ndMS15421546SH α -calacorene0.50 ± 0.020.81 ± 0.030.74 ± 0.020.67 ± 0.02RI, MS1547SH4,5,9,10-dehydro- isolongifolenendnd1.96 ± 0.01ndMS15491547SHselina-3,7(11)dienendnd1.01 ± 0.03ndRI, MS15631567OMtrans-nerolidol0.45 ± 0.010.46 ± 0.035.07 ± 0.020.27 ± 0.02StdZeng et al. (2007)15901585OSglobulolndnd0.29 ± 0.03ndRI, MS	1508	1506	SH	ß-bisabolene	0.57 ± 0.03	0.63 ± 0.02	nd	0.30 ± 0.02 0.48 + 0.02	Std	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1514	1522	SH	7 <i>-epi-α</i> -selinene	1.83 ± 0.02	1.50 ± 0.02	1.40 ± 0.01	1.61 ± 0.03	RI,	
15141514SHγ-cadinene 0.95 ± 0.01 0.70 ± 0.01 3.29 ± 0.01 ndStd15221523SH δ -cadinene 1.65 ± 0.03 1.19 ± 0.02 4.45 ± 0.02 0.51 ± 0.01 Std1523SH7-diepi-α-selinenendnd 0.55 ± 0.02 ndMS15421546SH α -calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 Rl,1547SH $4.5,9,10$ -dehydro- isolongifolenendnd 1.96 ± 0.01 ndMS15491547SHselina-3,7(11)dienendnd 1.01 ± 0.03 ndRl, MS15631567OMtrans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 StdZeng et al. (2007)15901585OSglobulolndnd 0.29 ± 0.03 ndMSNS									MS	
1522 1523 SH δ -cadinene 1.65 ± 0.03 1.19 ± 0.02 4.45 ± 0.02 0.51 ± 0.01 Std 1523 SH 7-diepi- α -selinene nd nd 0.55 ± 0.02 nd MS 1542 1546 SH α -calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 RI, MS 1547 SH 4,5,9,10-dehydro- isolongifolene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd MS 1549 1547 SH selina-3,7(11)diene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd RI, MS 1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd MS	1514	1514	SH	γ-cadinene	0.95 ± 0.01	0.70 ± 0.01	3.29 ± 0.01	nd	Std	
1523 SH 7-diepi- α -selinene nd nd 0.55 ± 0.02 nd MS 1542 1546 SH α -calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 RI, MS 1547 SH 4,5,9,10-dehydro- isolongifolene nd nd 1.96 ± 0.01 nd MS 1549 1547 SH selina-3,7(11)diene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd RI, MS 1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd MS	1522	1523	SH	δ-cadinene	1.65 ± 0.03	1.19 ± 0.02	4.45 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.01	Std	
1542 1546 SH α-calacorene 0.50 ± 0.02 0.81 ± 0.03 0.74 ± 0.02 0.67 ± 0.02 Rl, MS 1547 SH 4,5,9,10-dehydro- isolongifolene nd nd 1.96 ± 0.01 nd MS 1549 1547 SH selina-3,7(11)diene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd Rl, MS 1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd MS	1523	15.40	SH	$/-diepi-\alpha$ -selinene	nd	nd	0.55 ± 0.02	nd	MS	
1547 SH 4,5,9,10-dehydro- isolongifolene nd nd 1.96 ± 0.01 nd MS 1549 1547 SH selina-3,7(11)diene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd RI, MS 1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd MS	1542	1546	211	α-calacorene	0.50 ± 0.02	0.81 ± 0.03	0.74 ± 0.02	0.67 ± 0.02	KI,	
1547 SH Isolation and solation Ind Isolation Ind Isolation Ind Ind Ind Ind Ind Ind 1549 1547 SH selina-3,7(11) diene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd RI, MS 1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd MS	1547		SH	45910-dehvdro-	nd	nd	1 96 + 0 01	nd	MS	
1549 1547 SH selina-3,7(11)diene nd nd 1.01 ± 0.03 nd RI, MS 1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd RI, MS	1347		J.1	isolongifolene		110	1.50 ± 0.01	110	1415	
1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd Rl,	1549	1547	SH	selina-3,7(11)diene	nd	nd	1.01 ± 0.03	nd	RI,	
1563 1567 OM trans-nerolidol 0.45 ± 0.01 0.46 ± 0.03 5.07 ± 0.02 0.27 ± 0.02 Std Zeng et al. (2007) 1590 1585 OS globulol nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd RI,									MS	
1590 1585 US globuloi nd nd 0.29 ± 0.03 nd Rl, MS	1563	1567	OM	trans-nerolidol	0.45 ± 0.01	0.46 ± 0.03	5.07 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.02	Std	Zeng et al. (2007)
	1290	1282	03	giobuloi	na	na	0.29 ± 0.03	na	KI, MS	

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

				Samples					
RI		^c Class of compounds	Compounds	Station 1 (Iglesias)	Station 2 (Carbonia)	Station 3 (Portoscuso)	Station 4 (Oristano)	^a ID	References
				^b Yield %					
				0.38	0.41	0.35	0.42		
Exp.	Lit.								
1597	1601	OS	guaiol	1.88 ± 0.02	1.42 ± 0.02	2.08 ± 0.01	1.33 ± 0.02	Std	
1618	1619	OS	1,10-di-epi-cubenol	0.38 ± 0.01	0.55 ± 0.01	0.47 ± 0.02	0.37 ± 0.01	RI, MS	
1621		OS	5-eudesmen-11-ol	9.81 ± 0.04	7.39 ± 0.02	10.35 ± 0.02	4.34 ± 0.01	MS	
1624	1624	OS	10-epi-γ-eudesmol	1.05 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.03	1.52 ± 0.01	RI, MS	
1647	1651	OS	β-eudesmol	0.56 ± 0.05	0.36 ± 0.03	1.06 ± 0.01	1.64 ± 0.01	Std	
1654	1654	OS	α-eudesmol	0.90 ± 0.02	0.51 ± 0.01	0.98 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.02	Std	
1662	1658	OS	patchouli alcohol Total	0.47 ± 0.02 99.73	0.32 ± 0.01 98.44	0.58 ± 0.01 91.62	0.40 ± 0.06 88.97	Std	

Data are the mean of three replicates ± SD. Not detected compouns were indicated as nd.

RI by comparison of retention index with those reported in literature.

Std by comparison of the retention time and mass spectrum of available authentic standards.

^a Identification methods: MS by comparison of the Mass spectrum with those of the computer mass libraries Adams, Nist 11 and by interpretation of the mass spectra fragmentations.

^b Yield of essential oils calculated on fresh material.

^c MH = Monoterpene Hydrocarbon; No TCC = No Terpenic Carmonil Compound; OM = Oxygenated Monoterpene; AE = Aliphatic Ester; SH = Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbon; OS = Oxygenate sesquiterpene; ArE = Aromatic Ester.

2.4. Antimicrobial activity

2.4.1. Materials

Stock solutions of the essential oils were prepared by dissolving them in PEG-200 in order to obtain a concentration of 100 mg mL⁻¹ (10% w/vol); solutions were then sterilized by filtration using sterile membrane filters (Sartorius, pore size 0.22 μ m) and stored at -20 °C until use. Chitosan (molecular weight 190–310 kDa; deacetylation degree 75–85%; viscosity Brookfield, 1% solution in acetic acid 200–800 cps; manufacturer values) was supplied by Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI). Its aqueous solution was prepared by dissolving chitosan in hydrochloric acid 0.1 mol l⁻¹ at 1% w/vol and by evaporating the resulting solution to dryness in a Rotavapor R110 (Buchi, Switzerland) at 70 °C under vacuum; the residual was then redissolved in 100 ml of Milli-Q water and sterilised by filtration through 0.2 μ m Sartorious filters. The pH of this solution, evaluated with a pH-meter Hanna 8417, was 2.35 ± 0.04.

2.4.2. Microorganisms and media

The test organisms used in this study were as follows: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 8739), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 9027), *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231), *Aspergillus brasiliensis (niger)* (ATCC 16404) (all purchased from Oxoid-Thermofisher Scientific, Rodano, Italy), and three *Candida* spp. clinical strains (respectively isolated from a vaginal, rectal and throat swab, kindly supplied by Dr. M. Tidore, Laboratory of Clinical and Microbiological Analysis of Policlinic Hospital of Sassari, Italy). Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA), Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB), Sabouraud Liquid Medium (SLM), Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) and phosphate-buffered saline tablets (PBS, Dulbecco A, pH 7.3) were purchased from Oxoid-Thermofisher Scientific (Rodano, Italy). Culture media, PBS and other solutions were prepared with MilliQ water.

2.4.3. Antibacterial activity of H. Microphyllum subsp. Tyrrhenicum essential oil sample 1

Antimicrobial activity of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* essential oil sample 1 was determined as Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (M.I.C.) by using a broth microdilution test performed in 96-well microplates (Thrupp, 1986). Twofold dilutions of the stock

solution of the oil, ranging from 2 mg ml⁻¹ to 0.063 mg ml⁻¹, were prepared in MHB; control wells contained only liquid medium and liquid medium with the highest concentration of PEG-200 used. As positive control we used chlorhexidine diacetate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA; mother solution 10 mg/ml in distilled water), a broadspectrum synthetic antimicrobial agent used primarily as a topical antiseptic and disinfectant. All assays were performed in triplicate. Microplates were inoculated with about 1×10^4 bacteria/well and aerobically incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. After incubation, plates were visually checked for bacterial growth and the MIC of the oil was defined as the lowest concentration at which no growth was observed. PEG-200 had no inhibitory effect on the growth of microorganisms at all concentrations tested. To determine the M. B.C. (Minimum Bactericidal Concentration), aliquots of 10 µl of medium from each well with no visible growth were subcultured onto MHA plates, which were then incubated at 35 °C for 24 h; MBC was defined as the lowest concentration at which no growth was detectable. Results are reported in Table 2.

2.4.4. Antifungal activity of H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum essential oils

Antifungal activity of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* essential oils was assessed on *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus brasiliensis* standard strains and on *Candida* spp. clinical isolates. *Candida* strains and *Aspergillus brasiliensis* were grown on SDA plates at 35 °C and 25 °C respectively, and stock cultures were maintained at 4 °C during all the experiments.

Table 2

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (M.I.C.) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (in brackets; M.B.C.) of essential oil 1 and chlorhexidine diacetate against a panel of standard bacteria.

	Essential oil Sample 1	Chlorhexidine diacetate
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538	$2 (4) \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$	0.90 (3.90) $\mu g \ m l^{-1}$
Escherichia coli ATCC 8739 Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 9027	>4 mg ml ⁻¹ >4 mg ml ⁻¹	1.96 (15.6) $\mu g \; m l^{-1}$ 21.9 (125) $\mu g \; m l^{-1}$

Table 3

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (M.I.C.) and Minimum Candidacidal Concentration (in brackets; M.C.C.) of samples of *H. microphyllum* subsp. tyrrhenicum essential oils and chlorhexidine diacetate.

	1	2	3	4	Chlorhexidine diacetate
Candida albicans ATCC 10,231	$\begin{array}{l} 0.75 \; (1.75) \; mg \; ml^{-1} \\ 0.5 \; (2) \; mg \; ml^{-1} \\ 1 \; (2) \; mg \; ml^{-1} \\ 1 \; (2) \; mg \; ml^{-1} \end{array}$	1 (2) mg ml ⁻¹	2 (2) mg ml ⁻¹	2 (4) mg ml ⁻¹	7.8 (7.8) μg ml ⁻¹
Candida spp. clinical isolate 1 (rectal swab)		0.5 (2) mg ml ⁻¹	4 (4) mg ml ⁻¹	2 (4) mg ml ⁻¹	7.8 (7.8) μg ml ⁻¹
Candida spp. clinical isolate 2 (throat swab)		1 (2) mg ml ⁻¹	4 (>4) mg ml ⁻¹	2 (>4) mg ml ⁻¹	7.8 (7.8) μg ml ⁻¹
Candida spp. clinical isolate 3 (vaginal swab)		1 (2) m ml ⁻¹	4 (4) mg ml ⁻¹	4 (4) mg ml ⁻¹	7.8 (7.8) μg ml ⁻¹

The M.I.C. of *H. microphyllum* subsp. tyrrhenicum essential oils for Candida strains was determined by using the same broth microdilution assay described in the previous paragraph; twofold dilutions of the stock solution of the oil were prepared in SLM. All assays were performed in triplicate. Microplates were inoculated with about 1x10⁴ yeasts/well and aerobically incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. After incubation, plates were visually checked for fungal growth, and the MIC of the oil was defined as the lowest concentration at which no growth was observed. PEG-200 had no inhibitory effect on the growth of microorganisms at all the concentrations tested. To determine the M.C.C. (Minimum Candidacidal Concentration), aliquots of 10 µL of medium from each well with no visible growth were subcultured onto SDA plates, which were then incubated at 35 °C for 24 h; MCC was defined as the lowest concentration at which no growth was detectable. Results are reported in Table 3.

The MIC of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* essential oil sample 1 against *Aspergillus brasiliensis* was determined using an agar macrodilution method (McGinnis and Rinaldi 1986). Twofold serial dilutions of essential oil in SDA were made in 5 mm-Petri dished (total volume 10 mL) in order to obtain a final concentration of 2 mg ml⁻¹, 1 mg ml⁻¹, 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ and 0.25 mg ml⁻¹. The experiments were all performed in triplicate. Control plates,

Table 4

Effect of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* 1 essential oil on mycelial growth of *Aspergillus brasiliensis* ATCC 16,404 (after a week of incubation).

Control	100%
Control PEG 200	100%
E.O. 0.25 mg/ml	76%
E.O. 0.50 mg/ml	70%
E.O. 1 mg/ml	51%
E.O. 2 mg/ml	0%

containing only SDA and SDA + PEG-200, were run simultaneously. The agar surface of the plates was then inoculated into the center with 1–3 μ L of a conidial suspension prepared in sterile distilled water +0.05% Tween-80, containing 10³-10⁴ conidia. Plates, wrapped with Parafilm[®] to maintain the correct water activity in the medium, were inverted and incubated at room temperature (about 25 °C).

5 days later, mycelial diameter was then measured in plates where a growth was observable; results are reported in Table 4.

2.4.5. Killing curve determination

The anticandidal activity of essential oil of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* sample 1 (chosen because of its more marked inhibitory effect) was also characterized by a "Time-kill" assay performed on *C. albicans* ATCC 10,231 strain; this test evaluates the reduction of viable yeast count when a standardized inoculum is incubated with different oil concentrations in a liquid medium not supporting cell growth. The assay was performed in agreement to Juliano et al. (2000).

Yeasts in the logarithmic phase of growth were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min, washed in PBS and then resuspended at a density of 5x10⁵-1x10⁶ colony-forming units (cfu) ml⁻¹ in appropriate volumes of PBS containing a concentration of essential oil, ranging from 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ to 2 mg ml⁻¹. Control tubes (microorganisms suspended in PBS + PEG at highest concentration used) were included in each assay. Tween 80 (Sigma Aldrich) at 0.02% vol/vol was added to each test tube (controls included). Test tubes were incubated at 35 °C; at time zero and at predetermined intervals; 0.5 mL of the suspensions were removed and subjected to serial 10-fold dilution in PBS; aliquots of 0.5 mL of the appropriate dilutions were thoroughly mixed in Petri plates (50 mm diameter) with molten SDA (45 °C). Plates were then incubated for 24 h at 35 °C; after this time, the number of viable yeasts at each time was evaluated by counting plates with 30-300 colonies. The results of the test are summarized as shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Time-kill curves of C. albicans ATCC 10,231 in PBS in the presence of different H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum 1 essential oils concentrations (mean of 3 experiments).

902

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (M.IC.) and Minimum Candidacidal Concentration (M.C.C.) of chitosan, *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* essential oil 1, and *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* essential oil 1 in the presence of sub-inhibitory chitosan concentrations.

	M.I.C.	M.C.C.
E.O. <i>H. microphyllum</i> subsp. <i>tyrrhenicum</i> essential oil 1	0.75 mg ml^{-1}	$1.75 \ { m mg} \ { m ml}^{-1}$
CHITOSAN	1 mg ml^{-1}	1 mg ml ⁻¹
E.O. H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum essential oil 1 + CHITOSAN 0.25 mg/ml	$0.094 \ { m mg} \ { m ml}^{-1}$	0.125 mg ml ⁻¹
E.O. <i>H. microphyllum</i> subsp. <i>tyrrhenicum</i> essential oil 1 + CHITOSAN 0.125 mg/ml	0.250 mg ml^{-1}	0.375 mg ml ⁻¹

2.4.6. Antifungal activity of essential oil H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum sample 1 in the presence of sub-M.I.C. concentrations of chitosan

The inhibitory activity of chitosan solution against *Candida* strains was previously evaluated as M.I.C. and M.C.C. by using the techniques described in the section 2.4.4. Chitosan solution was tested at twofold serial dilutions ranging from 2 mg ml⁻¹ to 0.0039 mg ml⁻¹in SLM (whose pH value did not change after chitosan solution addition; data not shown). The results are reported in Table 5.

Afterwards, M.I.C. and M.C.C. of essential oil 1 were evaluated as previously described in the presence of chitosan concentrations of 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ and 0.125 mg ml⁻¹, i.e. respectively one-half and one-quarter of its MIC value. Results of these experiments are reported in Table 5.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chemical composition of essential oils

A yellow liquid oil is the result of the hydrodistillation of the aerial parts of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* plants collected in South-West of Sardinia. Overall, numerous compounds were identified for every sample, representing from a minimum amount of 88.97% to a maximum of 99.73% of the total composition. Fifty-three compounds were detected and quantified by GC and GC/MS methods in the oils we studied. All these constituents were identified on the basis of their mass spectra, retention indices and/or by comparison with authentic compounds (National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1999; Adams, 2007). The analytical data reported in Table 1 are the average of 3 injections plus ± SD.

Each one of the four samples shows a very peculiar chromatographic profile. The sample 4, coming from the Sinis Peninsula (Oristano), has a very typical composition referred to some previous analyses carried out on Sardinian *H. microphyllum* (Usai et al., 1999; Chessa et al., 2000; Usai et al., 2010). In fact, it is possible to note a very high concentration in neryl acetate (33.6%) followed by γ -curcumene (11.53%), farnesene (7.38%) and 5-eudesmen-11ol (4.34%).

The oils coming from the plants collected in the area named Sulcis-Iglesiente (sample 1, 2 and 3) show very different profiles, when compared to sample 4. Samples 1 and 2 are almost similar. It is possible to note that in this case the most represented compounds are: γ -curcumene (about 28%), linalool (between 14 and 11%), 5-eudesmen-11-ol (between 9,8 and 7%). Another evident characteristic is the total absence of neryl acetate that is unusual for *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum*.

The last sample (3) comes from plants growing on the west coast, in front of Carloforte Island. Again, we didn't found here any trace of neryl acetate and the major constituents were: γ -curcumene (12%), linalool (11%), 5-eudesmen-11-ol (10%),

limonene and δ -cadinene (both 4%). Another think that is to underlined is the low content of diones, in fact we found only 4,6-dimethyloctan-3,5-dione (0.9–1.6%) is a mixture of diastereoisomers as reported in Bianchini et al., 2003.

We carried out an analysis of principal components (PCA), using the analytical data derived from essential oil. The score plot (a) and the loading plot (b) are reported in Fig. 2. The score plot shows that the samples 1 and 2, both collected in Iglesias area and characterized from highest percentage of γ -curcumene, are practically comparable; sample 4, deriving from Oristano area, is quite different from the other samples because it is the only one that contains neryl acetate.

3.2. Antifungal activity of H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum essential oils

The data of the anticandidal activity of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* oils examined are summarized in Table 3.

The four oils showed different levels of inhibition against *Candida* strains; oils obtained from plants collected in stations 1 and 2 were able to inhibit *Candida* ATCC and *Candida* spp. 1 at concentrations of $0.5-1 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$, while essential oils obtained from *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* collected in stations 4 and 3 presented a weaker anticandidal effect.

Essential oil coming from station 1 was also tested against the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus brasiliensis* ATCC and showed an antifungal dose-dependent activity; the mycelial diameter was halved by an essential oil concentration of 1 mg/mL and essential oil completely suppressed fungal growth at 2 mg ml⁻¹ (MIC = mg ml⁻¹) (Table 4).

Time-kill assays showed that *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* 1 essential oil was able to reduce viability of a *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231 inoculum in a dose-dependent manner, as in Fig. 1. After a 3 h-long contact, concentrations of the oil of 0.25 and 0.50 mg ml⁻¹ did not affect significantly yeast inoculum viability in comparison to control, but only 17% of cells survived to the contact with 1 mg ml⁻¹, and no viable cells could be detected after 3 h of contact with 2 mg ml⁻¹ (with a viability of only 0.6% after 2 h of contact).

When evaluated in presence of sub-inhibitory concentrations of chitosan, MIC and MBC of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* 1 essential oil were markedly reduced (Table 5), showing that, in our experimental conditions, their combination leads to an improvement of anticandidal oil efficiency.

This study allows us to conclude that the essential oils coming from the four stations located in the Sulcis-Iglesiente area show different chemical profiles; in particular, essential oils from station 1 and 2 are very rich in γ -curcumene. This is a very unusual chorotype that is reported for Italy only in *H. italicum* subsp. *italicum* by locality Centopozzi (Foggia) with 23% and locality Torre Gattarella, Vieste (Foggia) with 27% (Morone-Fortunato et al., 2010), but no researcher published data on this chemotype for *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum*.

Samples coming from station 3 present a good concentration of γ and ar-curcumene and linalool. In these three stations we didn't found neryl acetate that, on the contrary, is present in samples coming from station 4, and this can be considered as the typical composition, referred to *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* growing in the north and in the center of Sardinia.

Several *in vitro* studies demonstrated an antimicrobial activity of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* (Angioni et al., 2003; Ornano et al., 2015) and this paper confirms and completes these data; however, it is difficult to compare the results of different studies since different extracts and different methods are used for the evaluation of the antimicrobial activity. Furthermore, the



Fig. 2. PCA analysis and loading plot of PCA of essential oil of listed H. microphyllum subsp. tyrrhenicum samples.

subspecies used in such studies are frequently not clearly indicated and the relative comparisons are simply not possible.

Our results point out that the *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* oils examined are mostly characterized by an antifungal activity, whereas antibacterial efficacy, albeit assessed only for essential oil 1, proved to be modest and only limited to Gram+ microorganism *Staphylococcus aureus*.

The inhibition of *Candida* is interesting, because the infections caused by this yeast are a highly topical issue. Oral candidiasis is one of the most common opportunistic infections associated with HIV/AIDS (Pakfetrat et al., 2015) and in patients receiving radio-therapy for head and neck malignancies (Deng et al., 2010); the yeasts often become refractory to triazole antifungal drugs, prescribed for treating oral candidiasis in these patients (Fichtenbaum et al., 2000), and extracts and natural products from plants can offer an efficient alternative for the treatment of *Candida* infections.

Our data also suggest that the differences found in the anticandidal activity of these oils can be related to some differences in their chemical composition (Table 3). We could state that the prevalence of terpenes and alcohols such as γ -curcumene and linalool (with discreet presence of 5-eudesmen-11-of, for example) identify the essential oil of *H. microphyllum* examined in the study as a mixed chemotype (triple) essential oil, as an anti-microbial species with an interesting anti-fungal activity, as well as the well-known anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, skin-venous decongestant and cicatrizing activities. The idea of a topical use in an infected dermatitis showing a strong inflammatory component, in acne or rosacea, in different kinds of dermatitis or even (conveyed in a suitable vegetable oil) during a massage session in post-traumatic hematoma, or in so-called chilblains, appears very likely and effective; however, it requires the prudential methodology that belongs to almost all essential oils (Campagna, 2016).

Interestingly, the anticandidal oil efficiency was strengthened by sub-inhibitory concentrations of chitosan; the mechanism of this effect is still not known, but a role could be played by some of the peculiar biological properties of this biopolymer, such as: chelating capacity for various metal ions (Rabea et al., 2003); electrostatic interaction of protonated amino groups of chitosan with negatively charged surface components of fungi and bacteria, with consequent cell surface alterations, leakage of intracellular substances and loss of microbial viability (Rafaat and Sahl, 2009; Kong et al., 2010); deposition of chitosan onto the surface of microorganisms, with blockage of nutrient flow and suppression of metabolic activity of bacteria (Kumar et al., 2005).

This enhancement is noteworthy because it could lead to the development of innovative formulations of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* essential oils exploiting bioadhesion and water solubility of chitosan; polymer bioadhesive properties could allow more prolonged retention times of the oil on skin and mucosae, and water solubility would avoid the delivery of oils in alcoholic solutions, which can often be pretty irritant or painful for patients with skin or mucosal lesions. On the basis of these considerations, we believe that it might be interesting to investigate a possible applicability of the synergism chitosan-essential oil in clinical situations.

The phytochemical composition of *H. microphyllum* subsp. *tyrrhenicum* essential oil shows once again that an eventual chemotypization of the various species examined could lead the therapist to a targeted clinical use of the specific plant, in a concept of a rational scientific aromatherapy, according to chemical, pharmacological and clinical criteria, which are increasingly present in literature.

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest declared.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mrs. Barbara Sechi for her skilled help in analyses of essential oils; Mr. Giorgio Congiu for essential oils and *Helichrysum* biomass; Dr Gaia Trebini for critical revision of English contents.

References

- Adams, R.P., 2007. Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/quadrupole mass spectrometry. Allured Publishing Corporation, Carol Stream (Illinois).
- Anderberg, A.A., 1991. Taxonomy and phylogeny of the tribe *Gnaphalieae* (*Asteraceae*). Opera Bot. 104, 1–195.
- Angiolini, C., Bacchetta, G., Brullo, S., Giusso Del Galdo, G., Guarino, R., 2005. The vegetation of mining dumps in SW-Sardinia. Feddes Repert. 116, 243–276.
- Angioni, A., Barra, A., Arlorio, M., Coisson, J.D., Russo, M.T., Pirisi, F.M., Satta, M., Cabras, P., 2003. Chemical composition, plant genetic differences, and antifungal activity of the essential oil of *Helichrysum italicum* G. Don ssp. *microphyllum* (Willd) Nym. J. Agric. Food Chem. 51, 1030–1034.
- Appendino, G., Ottino, M., Marquez, N., Bianchi, F., Giana, A., Ballero, M., Sterner, O., Fiebich, B.L., Munoz, E., 2007. Arzanol, an anti-inflammatory and anti-HIV-1 phloroglucinol-pyrone from *Helichrysum italicum* ssp. *microphyllum*. J. Nat. Prod. 70, 608–612.
- Atzei, A.D., 2003. Le piante nella tradizione popolare della Sardegna. Carlo Delfino Editore, Sassari (Italy).
- Bianchini, A., Tomi, P., Bernardini, A.F., Morelli, I., Flamini, G., Cioni, P.L., Usai, M., Marchetti, M., 2003. A comparative study of volatile constituents of two *Helichrysum italicum* (Roth) Guss. Don Fil subspecies growing in Corsica (France), Tuscany and Sardinia (Italy). Flavour Frag. J. 18, 487–491.
- Campagna, P., 2016. Gli oli essenziali oggi. Manuale di aromaterapia scientifica, Edizione Flora, Lorenzana (Pisa, Italy).
- Chessa, M., Culeddu, N., Dallocchio, R., Marchetti, M., Usai, M., 2000. A different approach to enhance the characterization of essential oils. Comparison between computer aided 13C NMR and GC-MS data from Helichrysum italicum (Roth) G. Don subsp. microphyllum (Willd.) Nyman growing wild in Sardinia. Rivista Italiana EPPOS, 543–548.
- Commissione permanente per la revisione e la pubblicazione della Farmacopea ufficiale, 2008. Farmacopea Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana. Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato, Rome.
- Deng, Z., Kiyuna, A., Hasegawa, M., Nakasone, I., Hosokawa, A., Suzuki, M., 2010. Oral candidiasis in patients receiving radiation therapy for head and neck cancer. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 143, 242–247.

- Fichtenbaum, C.J., Koletar, S., Yiannoutsos, C., Holland, F., Pottage, J., Cohn, S.E., Walawander, A., Frame, P., Feinberg, J., Saag, M., Van der Horst, C., Powderly, W. G., 2000. Refractory mucosal candidiasis in advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. Clin. Infect. Dis. 30, 749–756.
- Galbany-Casals, M., Saez, L., Benedì, C., 2006. A taxonomic revision of *Helichrysum* sect. *Stoechadina (Asteraceae, Gnaphalinae)*. Can. J. Bot. 84, 1203–1232.
- Gavhane Yogeshkumar, G., Gurav Atul, S., Yadav Adhikrao, V., 2013. Chitosan and its applications: a review of literature. Int. J. Res. Pharm. Biomed. Sci. 4, 312–331.
- Guinoiseau, E., Lorenzi, V., Luciani, A., Muselli, A., Costa, J., Casanova, J., Berti, L., 2013. Biological properties and resistance reversal effect of *Helichrysum italicum* (Roth) G. Dom. In: Méndez-Vilas, A. (Ed.), Microbial pathogens and strategies for combating them: science, technology and education. Badajoz, Formatex Research Center, pp. 1072–1080.
- Hilliard, O.M., 1983. Helichrysum Mill. In: Leister, O.A. (Ed.), Flora of Southern Africa. Pretoria, Department of Agriculture, pp. 61–310.
- Juliano, C., Mattana, A., Usai, M., 2000. Composition and *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Thymus herba-barona* Loisel growing wild in Sardinia. J Essent. Oil Res. 12, 516–522.
- Juliano, C., Demurtas, C., Piu, L., 2008. In vitro study on the anticandidal activity of Melaleuca alternifolia (tea tree) essential oil combined with chitosan. Flavour Frag. J. 23, 227–231.
- Juteau, F., Jerkovic, I., Masotti, V., Milos, M., Mastelic, J., Bessière, J.-M., Viano, J., 2003. Composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of *Artemisia absinthium* from Croatia and France. Planta Med., 69, 158–161.
- Kong, M., Chen, X.G., Xing, K., Park, H.J., 2010. Antimicrobial properties of chitosan and mode of action: a state of art review. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 144, 51–63.
- Kumar, A.B.V., Varadaraj, M.C., Gowda, L.R., Tharanathan, R.N., 2005. Characterization of chitooligosaccharides prepared by chitosanolysis with the aid of papain and pronase, and their bactericidal action against *Bacillus cereus* and *Escherichia coli*. Biochem. J. 391, 167–175.
- Maoddi, C.D., Usai, M., 1997. La coltivazione dell'Elicriso. Una risorsa alternativa per l'agricoltura della Sardegna: aspetti qualitativi e quantitativi del suo olio essenziale. Sardegna Agricoltura XXVIII 4, 46–47.
- Mastelic, J., Politeo, O., Jerkovic, I., Radosevic, N., 2005. Composition and antimicrobial activity of *Helichrysum italicum* essential oil and its terpene and terpenoid fractions. Chem. Nat. Compd. 41, 35–40.
- McGinnis, M.R., Rinaldi, M.G., 1986. Antifungal drugs: mechanisms of action, drug resistance, susceptibility testing, and assays of activity in biological fluids. In: Lorian, V. (Ed.), Antibiotics in Laboratory Medicine. 2nd ed. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, pp. 223–281.
- Morone-Fortunato, I., Montemurro, C., Ruta, C., Perrini, R., Sabetta, W., Blanco, A., Lorusso, E., Avato, P., 2010. Essential oils, genetic relationships and *in vitro* establishment of *Helichrysum italicum* (Roth) G. Don ssp. *italicum* from wild Mediterranean germplasm. Ind. Crops Prod. 32, 639–649.
- National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1999. NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Library, Version 1.7. Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT.
- Nostro, A., Germanò, M.P., D'Angelo, V., Marino, A., Cannatelli, M.A., 2000. Extraction methods and bioautography for evaluation of medicinal plant antimicrobial activity. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 30, 379–384.
- Nostro, A., Bisignano, G., Cannatelli, M.A., Crisafi, G., Germano, M.P., Alonzo, V., 2001. Effects of *Helichrysum italicum* extract on growth and enzymatic activity of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 17, 517–520.
- Nostro, A., Cannatelli, M.A., Marino, A., Picerno, I., Pizzimenti, F.C., Scoglio, M.E., Spataro, P., 2003. Evaluation of antiherpesvirus-1 and genotoxic activities of *Helichrysum italicum* extract. New Microbiol. 26, 125–128.
- Nostro, A., Cannatelli, M.A., Crisafi, G., Musolino, A.D., Procopio, F., Alonzo, V., 2004. Modifications of hydrophobicity, in vitro adherence and cellular aggregation of *Streptococcus mutans* by *Helichrysum italicum* extract. Lett. Appl. Microbiol 38, 423–427.
- Ornano, L., Venditti, A., Sanna, C., Ballero, M., Maggi, F., Lupidi, G., Bramucci, M., Quassinti, L., Bianco, A., 2015. Chemical composition and biological activity of the essential oil from *Helichrysum microphyllum* Cambess. ssp. tyrrhenicum Bacch., Brullo e Giusso growing in La Maddalena Archipelago. Sardinia. J. Oleo Sci. 64, 19–26.
- Pakfetrat, A., Falaki, F., Delavarian, Z., Dalirsani, Z., Sanatkhani, M., Zabihi Marani, M., 2015. Oral manifestations of human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. Iran. J. Otorhinolaryngol. 27, 43–54.
- Paolini, J., Desjobert, J.M., Costa, J., Bernardini, A.F., Buti Castellini, C., Cioni, P.L., Flamini, G., Morelli, I., 2006. Composition of essential oils of *Helichrysum italicum* G. Don fil subsp. *italicum* from Tuscan archipelago islands. Flavour Fragr. J. 21, 805–808.
- Perrini, R., Morone-Fortunato, I., Lorusso, E., Avato, P., 2009. Glands, essential oils and in vitro establishment of *Helichrysum italicum* (Roth) G. Don ssp. *microphyllum* (Willd.) Nyman. Ind. Crops Prod. 29, 395–403.
- Petrakis, P.V., Tsitsimpikou, C., Tzakou, O., Couladis, M., Vagias, C., Roussis, V., 2001. Needle volatiles from five *Pinus* species growing in Greece. Flavour Fragr. J. 16, 249–252.
- Rabea, E.I., Badawy, M.E.T., Stevens, C.V., Smagghe, G., Steurbaut, W., 2003. Chitosan as antimicrobial agent: applications and mode of action. Biomacromolecules 4, 1457–1465.
- Rafaat, D., Sahl, H.-G., 2009. Chitosan and its antimicrobial potential a critical literature survey. Microb. Biotechnol. 2, 186–201.
- Rosa, A., Deiana, M., Atzeri, A., Corona, G., Incani, A., Melis, M.P., Appendino, G., Dessì, M.A., 2007. Evaluation of the antioxidant and cytotoxic activity of arzanol, a prenylated α-pyrone-phloroglucinol etherodimer from *Helichrysum italicum* subsp. *microphyllum*. Chem. Biol. Interact. 165, 117–126.

Rossi, P.G., Berti, L., Panighi, J., Luciani, A., Maury, J., Muselli, A., 2007. Antibacterial action of essential oils from Corsica. J. Essent. Oil Res. 19, 176–182.

- Stupar, M., Ljaljević Grbić, M., Džamić, A., Unković, N., Ristić, M., Vukojević, J., 2014. Antifungal activity of *Helichrysum italicum* (Roth) G. Don (Asteraceae) essential oil against fungi isolated from cultural heritage objects. Arch. Biol. Sci. 66, 1539–1545.
- Thrupp, L., 1986. Susceptibility testing of antibiotics in liquid media. In: Lorian, V. (Ed.), Antibiotics in Laboratory Medicine. 2nd ed. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, pp. 93–158.
- Tundis, R., Statti, G.A., Conforti, F., Bianchi, A., Agrimonti, C., Sacchetti, G., Muzzoli, M., Ballero, M., Menichini, F., Poli, F., 2005. Influence of environmental factors on composition of volatile constituents and biological activity of *Helichrysum italicum* (Roth) Don (Asteraceae). Nat. Prod. Res. 19, 379–387.
- Tzakou, O., Roussis, V., Loukis, A., Harvala, C., Galati, E.M., Germanò, M.P., 1997. Essential oil analysis of *Teucrium divaricatum* Heldr. ssp. *divaricatum* growing in Greece. Flavour Fragr. J. 12, 113–115.
- Usai, M., Marchetti, M., Maoddi, C.D., 1999. Caractérisation et analyse quantitative des huiles essentielles de sept différentes populations de Helichrysum italicum subsp. G. Don.microphyllum en Sardaigne. 18 èmes Journées Internationales Huiles Essentielles & Extraits, Digne Les Bains.
- Usai, M., Marchetti, M., Maoddi, C.D., 2000. Characterization and quantitative analyses of the essential oils of several populations of Sardinian Helichrysum italicum (Roth) G. Don subsp. microphyllum (Willd.) Nyman. Rivista Italiana EPPOS, 550-556.
- Usai, M., Foddai, M., Bernardini, A.F., Muselli, A., Costa, J., Marchetti, M., 2010. Chemical composition and variation of the essential oil of wild Sardinian *Helichrysum italicum* G. Don ssp. *microphyllum* (Willd) Nym from vegetative period to post-blooming. J. Essent. Oil Res. 22, 373–380.
- Zeng, Y.-X., Zhao, C.-X., Liang, Y.-Z., Yang, H., Fang, H.-Z., Yi, L.-Z., Zeng, Z.-D., 2007. Comparative analysis of volatile components from *Clematis* species growing in China. Anal. Chim. Acta. 595, 328–339.