The genus Gymnospermium (Berberidaceae) in Italy: identity and relationships of the populations at the western limit of the genus range

Questa è la versione Pre print del seguente articolo:

Original

The genus Gymnospermium (Berberidaceae) in Italy: identity and relationships of the populations at the western limit of the genus range / Rosati, Leonardo; Coppi, Andrea; Farris, Emmanuele; Fascetti, Simonetta; Becca, Giovanna; Peregrym, Mykyta; Tan, Kit; Selvi, Federico. - In: PLANT BIOSYSTEMS. - ISSN 1724-5575. - 153:6(2019), pp. 796-808. [10.1080/11263504.2018.1549613]

Availability: This version is available at: 11388/219934 since: 2020-02-09T17:15:56Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1080/11263504.2018.1549613

Terms of use:

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

PROOF COVER SHEET

Author(s): Leonardo Rosati, Andrea Coppi, Emmanuele Farris, Simonetta Fascetti, Giovanna Becca, Mykyta Peregrym, Kit Tan, and Federico Selvi
Article title: The genus *Gymnospermium* (Berberidaceae) in Italy: identity and relationships of the populations at the western limit of the genus range
Article no: TPLB_A_1549613

Enclosures: 1) Query sheet 2) Article proofs

Dear Author,

1. Please check these proofs carefully. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check these and approve or amend them. A second proof is not normally provided. Taylor & Francis cannot be held responsible for uncorrected errors, even if introduced during the production process. Once your corrections have been added to the article, it will be considered ready for publication.

Please limit changes at this stage to the correction of errors. You should not make trivial changes, improve prose style, add new material, or delete existing material at this stage. You may be charged if your corrections are excessive (we would not expect corrections to exceed 30 changes).

For detailed guidance on how to check your proofs, please paste this address into a new browser window: http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/checkingproofs.asp

Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can comment on the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat. If you wish to do this, please save the file to your hard disk first. For further information on marking corrections using Acrobat, please paste this address into a new browser window: http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/acrobat.asp

2. Please review the table of contributors below and confirm that the first and last names are structured correctly and that the authors are listed in the correct order of contribution. This check is to ensure that your name will appear correctly online and when the article is indexed.

Sequence	Prefix	Given name(s)	Surname	Suffix
1		Leonardo	Rosati	
2		Andrea	Соррі	
3		Emmanuele	Farris	
4		Simonetta	Fascetti	
5		Giovanna	Becca	
6		Mykyta	Peregrym	
7		Kit	Tan	
8		Federico	Selvi	

Queries are marked in the margins of the proofs, and you can also click the hyperlinks below.

General points:

- 1. **Permissions:** You have warranted that you have secured the necessary written permission from the appropriate copyright owner for the reproduction of any text, illustration, or other material in your article. Please see http://journalauthors.tandf. co.uk/permissions/usingThirdPartyMaterial.asp.
- 2. Third-party content: If there is third-party content in your article, please check that the rightsholder details for re-use are shown correctly.
- 3. Affiliation: The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring that address and email details are correct for all the coauthors. Affiliations given in the article should be the affiliation at the time the research was conducted. Please see http:// journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/preparation/writing.asp.
- 4. **Funding:** Was your research for this article funded by a funding agency? If so, please insert `This work was supported by <insert the name of the funding agency in full>', followed by the grant number in square brackets `[grant number xxxx]'.
- 5. Supplemental data and underlying research materials: Do you wish to include the location of the underlying research materials (e.g. data, samples or models) for your article? If so, please insert this sentence before the reference section: 'The underlying research materials for this article can be accessed at <full link>/ description of location [author to complete]'. If your article includes supplemental data, the link will also be provided in this paragraph. See <htp://journalauthors.tandf. co.uk/preparation/multimedia.asp> for further explanation of supplemental data and underlying research materials.
- 6. The **PubMed** (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) and **CrossRef databases** (www.crossref.org/) have been used to validate the references. Changes resulting from mismatches are tracked in red font.

AUTHOR QUERIES

- Q1: Please provide department/division name for affiliations a, d, e.
- Q2: Please spell out HLUC.
- Q3: Please note that reference citation "Hesse and Waha 1987" has been changed to "Hesse and Waha 1989" to match with reference list. Please check.
- Q4: Please provide complete details for "Di Pietro et al. 2005, Levan et al. (1964); Romero Zarco (1986)" in the reference list or delete the citation from the text.
- Q5: Please note that reference citation "Turrill 1929" has been changed to "Turill 1929" to match with reference list. Please check.
- Q6: Please note that reference citation "Azzaroli and Guazzone, 1980" has been changed to "Azzaroli and Guazzone, 1979" to match with reference list. Please check.
- Q7: Please note that reference citation "Beattie (1993)" has been changed to "Beattie (1983)" to match with reference list. Please check.
- Q8: Please note that reference citation "Oprea et al. 2005" has been changed to "Oprea 2005" to match with reference list. Please check.
- Q9: Please check page range in Ref. Arkhangelsky and Takhtajan, 1972.
- Q10: Please provide the publisher location for Ref. Borchsenius , 2009.
- Q11: There is no mention of "Di Pietro et al. 2003, 2004; Levan et al. 2009; Turill 1929" in the text. Please insert a citation in the text or delete the reference as appropriate.
- Q12: Please provide the volume number, page range and journal title for Ref. Kim et al. 2016.
- Q13: Journal style is to generally list out all authors. It allows "et al." forms only for references with more than ten authors. Please provide the names of first ten authors before using "et al." in references Li et al. 2011; Stearn et al. 1993.
- Q14: Please provide journal title for Ref. Park et al. 2006.
- Q15: Please provide accessed date for Ref. Regione, 2006.
- Q16: Please provide editor names for Ref. Ying et al. 2011.

Q17: The ORCID details of the authors have been validated against ORCID registry. please check the ORCID ID details of the authors.

How to make corrections to your proofs using Adobe Acrobat/Reader

Taylor & Francis offers you a choice of options to help you make corrections to your proofs. Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can mark up the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat/Reader. This is the simplest and best way for you to ensure that your corrections will be incorporated. If you wish to do this, please follow these instructions:

1. Save the file to your hard disk.

2. Check which version of Adobe Acrobat/Reader you have on your computer. You can do this by clicking on the "Help" tab, and then "About".

If Adobe Reader is not installed, you can get the latest version free from http://get.adobe.com/reader/.

3. If you have Adobe Acrobat/Reader 10 or a later version, click on the "Comment" link at the right-hand side to view the Comments pane.

4. You can then select any text and mark it up for deletion or replacement, or insert new text as needed. Please note that these will clearly be displayed in the Comments pane and secondary annotation is not needed to draw attention to your corrections. If you need to include new sections of text, it is also possible to add a comment to the proofs. To do this, use the Sticky Note tool in the task bar. Please also see our FAQs here: http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/index. asp.

5. Make sure that you save the file when you close the document before uploading it to CATS using the "Upload File" button on the online correction form. If you have more than one file, please zip them together and then upload the zip file. If you prefer, you can make your corrections using the CATS online correction form.

Troubleshooting

Acrobat help: http://helpx.adobe.com/acrobat.html Reader help: http://helpx.adobe.com/reader.html

Please note that full user guides for earlier versions of these programs are available from the Adobe Help pages by clicking on the link "Previous versions" under the "Help and tutorials" heading from the relevant link above. Commenting functionality is available from Adobe Reader 8.0 onwards and from Adobe Acrobat 7.0 onwards.

Firefox users: Firefox's inbuilt PDF Viewer is set to the default; please see the following for instructions on how to use this and download the PDF to your hard drive: http://support.mozilla.org/en-US/kb/view-pdf-files-firefox-without-downloading-them#w using-a-pdf-reader-plugin

Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

The genus *Gymnospermium* (Berberidaceae) in Italy: identity and relationships of the populations at the western limit of the genus range

Q17 Leonardo Rosati^a, Andrea Coppi^b , Emmanuele Farris^c, Simonetta Fascetti^a, Giovanna Becca^c, Mykyta Peregrym^d, Kit Tan^e and Federico Selvi^f

^aSchool of Agricultural, Forestry, Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Basilicata, Potenza, Italy; ^bDepartment of Biology, Laboratory of Botany, University of Florence, Firenze, Italy; ^cDepartment of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy; ^dEszterházy Károly University of Applied Sciences, Eger, Hungary; ^eInstitute of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen K, Denmark; ^fDepartment Agrifood Production and Environmental Sciences, Laboratory of Botany, University of Florence, Firenze, Italy

ABSTRACT

Q1

Gymnospermium is a small genus of 7–12 taxa subject to diverging taxonomic treatments and distributed from east China to the Balkans. The recent discovery of *Gymnospermium* in the S-Apennines posed questions about its origin and identity. Accordingly, we performed a systematic investigation by means of morphological, karyological and molecular tools. All populations were diploid with 2n = 14 as for the Balkan *G. scipetarum* (incl. *G. maloi*), and also morphology suggested a close affinity to the latter. However, the Italian populations differed from typical *G. scipetarum* by the lower stamen:petal length and style:carpel length. By including all European and most Asian taxa in a phylogenetic analysis, we shed new light into the species-level relationships of this genus. In the combined ITS-*trnL*-F phylogeny, two major clades were retrieved. It included the central Asian and eastern European taxa plus the Greek endemic *G. peloponnesiacum* sister to *G. odessanum*, and the Balkan and Apennine populations. Such findings further corroborated that the Apennine plant belong to *G. scipetarum*. The native status of the Italian population is supported by exclusive SNPs in both ITS1 and *trnL*-F sequences. Along with morphological evidence, this allows to refer it to the new subspecies *G. scipetarum* subsp. *eddae*.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 27 June 2018 Accepted 13 November 2018

KEYWORDS

Amphi-adriatic distribution; apennine endemics; balkan flora; endangered species; forest plants; phylogenetic relationships; taxonomy

Introduction

Gymnospermium Spach (Berberidaceae) is a small genus of early flowering tuberous herbs characterized by fruits with exposed seeds when the membranous pericarp splits (from the Greek "gymnos", naked, and "sperma", seed). Together with the related genera Leontice L. and Caulophyllum Michx, it is part of tribe Leonticeae (Spach) Kosenko, which is characterized by succulent staminodia, eureticulate pollen exine, utricular gynoecia, base chromosome number x = 8 (Loconte and Estes 1989; Loconte 1993) and prevalence of quinolizidine alkaloids and β -amyrin triterpenoids (Peng et al. 2006). Recently, a new alkaloid (maloine) was discovered in Gymnospermium by Cela et al. (2017). Based on molecular and morphological evidence, Gymnospermium and Caulophyllum are sistergroups to genus Nandina Thunb. and belong with the latter to subfamily Nandinoideae Heintze (Wang et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2017). However, in the APG website versus 13 (Stevens 2001 onwards; http://www.mobot. org/MOBOT/research/APweb/), both genera are placed in subfamily Podophylloideae Eaton.

Species of *Gymnospermium* are mostly allopatric endemics forming four distinct geographic groups in semi-arid steppes,

montane shrublands and mesic forests in east and central Asia, east Europe and east Mediterranean (Loconte and Estes 1989; Figure. 1). Most of them are rare and endangered (Loconte 1993), appearing in various national or international Red Lists (e.g. Kim et al. 2016). Despite this vast and fragmented distribution range, the degree of morphological differentiation in the group is surprisingly low and this has caused contrasting interpretations of the limits, status and number of taxa recognized by past authors (Kosenko 1980; Loconte 1993; Wang et al. 2007; Ying et al. 2011).

Even the limits of the generic type species *G. altaicum* (Pallas) Spach (bas.: *Leontice altaica* Pallas), described from the Altai mountains, have been subject to various interpretations. According to Stearn and Webb (1964, 1993), *G. altaicum* occurs from central Asia to eastern Europe in Ukraine and Romania, while Takhtajan (1970) separated the populations from the Black Sea area as *G. odessanum* (DC.) Takht. (bas. *Leontice altaica* var. *odessana* DC.). Isolated populations from the Peloponnese (Greece) were also included in the latter species by Takhtajan (1970), but were later separated as *G. altaicum* subsp. *peloponnesiacum* by Phitos (2003) and finally elevated to species rank by Strid (in Karl and Strid 2009).

CONTACT Leonardo Rosati 🖾 leonardo.rosati@unibas.it 🖻 School of Agricultural, Forestry, Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Basilicata, Via Ateneo Lucano 10, Potenza, 85100 Italy

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed <u>here</u>.

© 2018 Societá Botanica Italiana

In addition, two other taxa morphologically close to *G. altaicum* have been described from the Balkans, that is, *G. scipetarum* E. Mayer et Pulević and *G. maloi* Kit Tan et Shuka (Tan et al. 2011). Using a morphometric approach, Barina et al. (2016) showed substantial overlap in some quantitative characters of leaves, stems and seeds and suggested the conspecific status of the two Balkan taxa. In addition, lack of genetic divergence between them was shown in a recent molecular investigation of the European species (Barina et al. 2017), and it was concluded that only three species should be recognized in the continent, *G. odessanum, G. peloponnesiacum* and *G. scipetarum*, the latter including *G. maloi*.

During phytogeographical researches in southern Italy (Rosati et al. 2014, 2017), we discovered plants of Gymnospermium in the understory of a restricted forest area of Monti della Maddalena, a sector of the southern Apennines in the Salerno province. This remarkable finding allowed to place the western distribution limit of Gymnospermium beyond the Adriatic sea (Figure 1) and to add a new genus to the Italian flora. At the same time, however, it raised relevant questions about the identity, origin and phylogenetic relationships of these Apennine plants. In the recent checklist of the native Italian flora, in fact, these were referred to G. scipetarum but considered as "taxonomically doubtful"; in addition, the latter was considered as "a doubtfully native taxon whose origin of occurrence in Italy is unknown" (Bartolucci et al. 2018). No answers to these doubts were provided in the recent biogeographic analysis of Gymnospermium by Barina et al. (2017), which did not include the Italian plants, nor taxa from

central Asia such as *G. albertii* (Regel) Takht and *G. darwasicum* (Regel) Takht. To shed light on this issue, we performed morphological, karyological and molecular analyses using two DNA regions from the nuclear and plastid genomes of most species. Results from these analyses provided new evidence on the status of this genus in Italy and suggested implications concerning the biogeographic links between the Italian and Balkan peninsulas.

Materials and methods

Plant material

At the current state of knowledge, Gymnospermium occurs in Italy with a single meta-population of six sub-populations found on ridges and karst depressions at 1080–1300 m a.s.l. in the Maddalena mountain chain, Salerno province (Table 1). It mainly grows in the understory of mesophilous forests with Fagus sylvatica and Quercus cerris, on brown soils developed on siliceous substrata or colluvial materials (Regione 2006; Sgrosso et al. 2010). Other woody species in the area are Acer cappadocicum Gled, subsp. lobelii (Ten.) A.E.Murray, A. opalus Mill. subsp. obtusatum (Waldst. et Kit. ex Willd.) Gams, Castanea sativa Mill. and Pyrus communis L. subsp. pyraster (L.) Ehrh.; the shrub layer is mainly formed by Corylus avellana L., Ilex aquifolium L., Crataegus monogyna Jacq., Euonymus latifolius (L.) Mill.; the herb layer includes several geophtyes such as Corydalis cava (L.) Schweigg. et Körte, Allium ursinum L., Scilla bifolia L., Arum maculatum L., Anemone apennina L. and hemicryptophytes such as



Figure 1. General distribution of all 13 species of Gymnospermium described to date, including G. scipetarum subsp. eddae from southern Italy.

 Table 1. Location and main habitat features of the three analyzed subpopulations of Gymnospermium in the southern Apennines.

Alt. m a.s.l.	Aspect	Lithology	Habitat	Area of occupancy (m ²)	Locality	Long/Lat
1170	Ν	Siliceous schists	Fagus sylvatica-Quercus cerris forest	~1500	Acqua della Cerasa, Monti della Maddalena, Salerno Province, Italy	15.6960E 40.3667N
1280	Ν	Siliceous schists	Fagus sylvatica-Quercus cerris forest /Forest edges	~2000	Campitello, Monti della Maddalena, Salerno Province, Italy	15.6947E 40.4870N
1250	NE	Siliceous schists	Fagus sylvatica-Quercus cerris forest	~6000	Mandranello, Monti della Maddalena, Salerno Province, Italy	15.6824E 40.3841N

Doronicum orientale Hoffm., Lamium flexuosum Ten., Primula vulgaris Huds. and others. This forest community can be referred to the association Anemono apenninae-Fagetum sylvaticae Brullo 1984, which is widespread in the southern Apennines (Rosati et al. 2010; Fascetti et al. 2013).

The three larger subpopulations in the area were sampled for the morphological, karyological and molecular analyses. For molecular analyses, the DNA dataset was completed with original sequences of *G. albertii, G. altaicum, G. darwasicum* (C Asia), *G. odessanum* (Black Sea region), *G. peloponnesiacum, G. scipetarum* and one population previously referred to *G. maloi* (Europe). Sequences were obtained from silica-gel dried samples of leaf tissue of these taxa from collections by the authors and from plants cultivated in the Botanical Garden of the University of Copenhagen (Appendix 1).

Morphology

233 234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

Phenotypic characterization of the three subpopulations was achieved by analyzing 4 qualitative and 24 quantitative characters (Supplementary Table S1) with potential taxonomic value based on previous studies (Loconte and Estes 1989, Tan et al. 2011). These characters were measured in the field on 30 living plants for each subpopulation, except for flower measurements (e.g. petal length, stamen length), which were taken on three flowers for each herbarium specimen (10) kept in HLUC. To avoid differences due to phenophase, char- \mathbf{O}^2 acters of vegetative parts and pedicel length were contemporarily measured on fully developed plants (i.e. at the end of the flowering period). The height of the plant was measured from the ground surface to the top of the raceme, and stem diameter was measured at the ground level. Seeds were collected and measured during the early phase of plant senescence. Since tubers are deeply buried in the ground, we measured their diameter in only five flowering plants for each sub-population, in order not to damage them. These tubers were then transplanted and maintained in cultivation ex situ at the Campus of University of Basilicata for further studies. Phenology was assessed during 4 years of observations (2014-2017).

For morphological data analyses, a homogeneity test of multivariate dispersion within groups (PERMDISP; Anderson, 2004) was carried out before checking for differences in character values between the three subpopulations using non-

parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; Anderson, 2005) based on Euclidean distances. Range, mean and standard deviation values (when available) were compared with those of other taxa analyzed in previous studies (Loconte and Estes 1989, Tan et al. 2011, Barina et al. 2017). Morphological data for G. scipetarum, G. peloponnesiacum and the population previously referred to G. maloi were taken from Tan et al. (2011), while those of G. odessanum and G. smirnovii were taken from Loconte and Estes (1989) and integrated by original measurements on herbarium specimens kept in KW, KWHU and FI. Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) based on the mean values of 15 quantitative characters (indicated in Supplementary Table S1) was used to summarize and visualize the overall phenotypic relationships between the European taxa and the Italian samples. Unfortunately, no data exist in the literature describing within-population variation of these morphological characters in the above mentioned taxa, so that no statistical comparisons were possible. Statistics was performed with PRIMER v.6.1.11and PERMANOVA + v.1.0.1 (Primer-E Ltd., Luton, UK).

Micromorphology

Pollen grains were collected from herbarium specimens of the Italian plants and from collections of *G. altaicum* and *G. scipetarum* in HLUC. These were then observed with a Scanning Electron Microscope Philips XL 30 Environmental SEM, without previous treatment to avoid alteration of potentially significant traits (Hesse and Waha 1989). The micromorphological characters of the seed testa of Italian plants were observed both on dehydrated and freshly collected seeds.

Karyology

Mitotic chromosome plates of the Italian plants from the three main subpopulations were prepared from actively growing root tips produced by tubers kept in cultivation or seeds germinated in Petri's dishes. Preparation followed the same protocols reported in Tan et al. (2011). Chromosome counts and determination of centromere position (chromosome type) were derived from 10 well-spread metaphase plates of intact cells. The nomenclature of chromosomes

346

347

348

291

350

351

352

353

354

355

follows Levan et al. (1964). Intra-chromosomal (A) and interchromosomal (A_2) asymmetry indexes were calculated according to Watanabe et al. (1999) and Romero Zarco (1986), respectively.

DNA isolation, amplification and sequencing

356 Genomic DNA of the taxa/accessions taxa mentioned above 357 (Appendix 1) was extracted from silica-gel dried samples of 358 leaf tissue following a modified 2xCTAB protocol (Doyle and 359 Doyle, 1990). The extracted DNA was guantified after agarose 360 gel electrophoresis (0.6% w/v) in TAE buffer (1 mM EDTA, 361 40 mM Tris-acetate) containing 1 µg/ml of ethidium bromide 362 by comparison with a known mass standard. Amplification of 363 the Internal Transcribed Spacer of nrDNA, including ITS1, 364 5.8S and ITS2, was done using the primers ITS4 and ITS5 of 365 White et al. (1990), while the primers 'c' and 'f' of Taberlet 366 et al. (1991) were used for the trnL-trnF Intergenic Spacer 367 (IGS). These two regions were selected for their generally 368 high resolution power of species-level relationships in many 369 angiosperm groups, especially ITS (see also Li et al. 2011), 370 and because already used in previous studies of groups of 371 Berberidaceae (Kim and Jansen 1996; Wang et al. 2007). 372 Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed in a total 373 of $25 \,\mu$ l containing $2.5 \,\mu$ l of reaction volume buffer 374 (Dynazyme II; Finnzyme, Espoo, Finland), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 375 10 pmol of each primer, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 1U of Taq 376 DNA polymerase (Dynazyme II; Finnzyme) and 10 ng of tem-377 plate DNA. Reactions were performed in a MJ PTC-100 ther-378 mocycler (Peltier Thermal Cycler; MJ Research). After a first 379 denaturation step (5 min at 94 °C), 40 PCR cycles were per-380 formed (1 min at 94 °C), primers annealing (50 sec at 52 °C) 381 and extension phase (50 sec at 72 °C). A final extension phase 382 was performed for 10 min at 72 °C. For trnL-F IGS, the PCR 383 cycling conditions were the same as those used in Cecchi 384 et al. (2014).

385 Subsequently, 5 µl of each amplification mixture were ana-386 lyzed by agarose gel (1.5% w/v) electrophoresis in TAE buffer 387 containing 1 µg/ml ethidium bromide. Excess salts and pri-388 mer were removed from the PCR reactions with the PCR 389 Purification Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), Automated 390 DNA sequencing was performed directly from the purified 391 PCR products using BigDye Terminator v.2 chemistry and 392 an ABI310 sequencer (PE-Applied Biosystems, Norwalk, CT). 393 In total, 19 original sequences were obtained and depos-394 ited in GenBank (accession numbers in Appendix 1), after 395 their checking and editing (BioEdit vs. 7.0; Hall 1999), mul-396 tiple alignments (available from authors upon request) 397 were performed with MAFFT vs. 7 (Katoh and Standley 398 2013) and carefully checked for ambiguous positions 399 based on visual inspection of the sequencer output 400 chromatofiles. 401

Phylogenetic analyses

402

403

404

405

406

Taxon sampling in the DNA sequence data matrix was expanded with two species from east Asia, *G. kiangnanense* (Chiu) Loconte and *G. microrrhynchum* (S. Moore) Takht., which were retrieved from GenBank. Accessions of 407 Caulophyllum (tribe Leonticeae) and Nandina Thunb. were 408 also added as a representative of subfamily Nandinoideae 409 (Wang et al. 2009). Members of other subfamilies 410 Berberidaceae such as Berberis L. of Berberidoideae 411 Kosteletzky and Epimedium L. of Podophylloideae Eaton were 412 finally included as additional outgroup representatives. Two 413 single-marker datasets were initially prepared, ITS and IGS 414 which included 17 and 13 accessions, respectively (Appendix 415 1). Phylogenetic analyses were first carried out for the ITS 416 and IGS alignments separately, using Maximum Parsimony 417 and Bayesian methods. Gaps were coded as separate charac-418 ters according to Simmons and Ochoterena (2000) using 419 FastGap 1.2 (Borchsenius 2009), and appended at the end of 420 the datasets. Tree construction was first performed using 421 PAUP 4.0 (Swofford 2000), running Heuristic searches with 422 "tree-bisection-reconnection" (TBR) branch-swapping with 423 accelerated transformation (ACCTRAN) optimization to infer 424 (edge) lengths; MULTREES option branch on 425 ADDSEQ = random, 1000 randomized replicates. All charac-426 ters were weighted equally, and character state transitions 427 were treated as unordered. Bootstrap support for clades was 428 obtained performing a heuristic search with 1000 replicates, 429 using TBR branch-swapping, 10 random taxon entries per 430 replicate and multrees option on. Bayesian inference of 431 phylogenetic analyses was performed with MrBayes 3.1.2 432 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). The best substitution 433 models, based on the Akaike Information Criterion, were 434 identified using FindModel (available at: http://www.hiv.lanl. 435 gov/content/sequence/findmodel/findmodel.html); these 436 were $GTR + \Gamma$ for ITS, with gamma-distributed rate variation 437 across sites, and $GTR + I + \Gamma$ for IGS. Posterior probability 438 (PP) values were estimated with the Markov chain Monte 439 Carlo (MCMC) method using six parallel runs each with four 440 chains (one cold and three heated) simultaneously started 441 from a random tree and run for one million cycles, with 442 parameters sampled every 1000 generations. The stationary 443 phase was reached when the average standard deviation of 444 split frequencies reached 0.01. Trees that preceded the stabil-445 ization of the likelihood value (the burn-in) were discarded, 446 and the remaining trees were used to calculate a majority-447 rule consensus phylogram. The trees were viewed and edited 448 with TreeView (Page 1996), with indication of PPs for the 449 internal tree nodes. Kimura two-parameters pairwise genetic 450 distances (Kimura 1980) were calculated for the two molecu-451 lar datasets separately, using MEGA 4.1 (Tamura et al. 2007). 452 Finally, an additional dataset consisting of concatenated 453 ITS-IGS sequences for 11 accessions was also prepared and 454 analyzed, since the phylogenies produced by the two 455 single-markers datasets showed no statistically supported 456 topological conflicts (defined as clades with >80% boot-457 strap support; see Wiens 1998). 458 459

Results

Morphology

All examined characters showed a very similar range of variation in the three Italian subpopulations (PERMDISP: 460

461

462

463

F = 0.317; P = 0.769) and none was significantly different 466 (PERMANOVA: F = 1.402, P > 0.2). The plant is described 467 below and illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. In addition, a syn-468 optic table showing variation between the European taxa in 469 the 28 characters analyzed here is given in Supplementary 470 Table S1.

Vegetative characters

COLOR

480 Online / B&W in

Print

The tuber is subglobose, 40–110 mm (mean 60 mm) in diameter and produces 1–12 flowering stems, terete, fleshy, greenish, brown to purplish at base, 3–7 mm in diameter (mean 4 mm), 25–40 (50) cm tall; each stem bears a single (rarely two) cauline leaf inserted below the lowest flower. The 2–10 (–23) basal leaves are compound-ternate, with primary divisions palmately divided into 5-7(-9) leaflets. The leaflets are sessile or with petioles 1–10 (20) mm long (mean 4 mm), unequal, entire, lanceolate to elliptical-oblong, rarely broadly obovate, $36-60 \times 15-26$ mm; the median leaflet is often larger than the lateral, obtuse or truncate-mucronate at apex (rarely slightly emarginate), thin, glaucous-green above, glaucous beneath; the basal leaflets are larger (1.5–2.0x) than the cauline ones.



Figure 2. Gymnospermium scipetarum subsp. eddae in its natural habitat. (A) massive blooming in the understory of an old Fagus sylvatica forest stand, 12 April 2015; (B) flowering stems at the anthesis peak, 12 April 2015; (C) basal leaves and flowering stems at the end of anthesis, 21 April 2015; (D) detail of a flowering stem emerging from the forest litter before basal leaves, 23 February 2016; (E) detail of fruit with the characteristically splitting membranous pericarp exposing two seeds, 8 May 2015; (F) seedlings, 14 April 2015. Photos by L.R.



Figure 3. Gymnospermium scipetarum subsp. eddae. (A1) Habit during flowering, (A2) habit at the beginning of fruiting, (B) dissected flower (two sepals, petals and stamens were removed), (C) carpel at early fruiting stage, (D) dehydrated seed, (E) open carpel with two developing seeds, (F) stamen, (G) sepal and petal (nectary), (H) basal leaf, (I) juvenile plant. Original drawing by Martina Marignani.

Reproductive characters

The inflorescence is a dense, terminal raceme, 90–150 mm long, pendent-deflexed (almost straight and erect during anthesis), bracteate, with (5-)8-13(-16) flowers; the earliest flower is distant from the others. The bracts are suborbicular-reniform, $8-14 \times 7-14$ mm, with margin often slightly eroded, rarely mucronate at apex. The pedicels are greenish, 12–40 mm long, erecto-patent in flower, recurved-deflexed in fruit. The hypogynous flowers are 17–30 mm in diameter (including sepals). Sepals are unequal, elliptical or oblong-ovate, $10-15 \times 4-8$ mm, obtuse, petaloid, bright yellow. The six petals (nectaries) are equal, opposite, golden-yellow, nectariferous, cuneiform, 3.5-4.5 mm long, concave at the base, with margins slightly convolute and apex shallowly and irregularly 4–8 toothed, the two lateral teeth longer, recurved. The six stamens are 4.5-6.5 mm long, opposite,

enclosed by petals in the lower part; filaments are 3.0–3.5 mm long, anthers are oblong-ovoid, 3 mm, bilocular, dehiscing by apically-hinged flap-like valves. The solitary carpel is 5–7 mm long and bears 2–4 ovules (mean 3) on a basal placenta; the style is 2 mm long and bears a small, truncate stigma with papillate surface. The fruit is a subglobose capsule enclosing 1–4 ovoid-pyriform seeds. These are 6–11.5 mm long (mean 8.6), including white stipe-like strophiole (turning to bright orange-red), green at splitting of the membranous pericarp, reddish-brownish at maturity; the seed surface is smooth, wrinkled when dry, with a finely sculptured testa (Figure 4(E–F)).

Pollen

Non-acetolyzed grains (Figure 4(A,B)) are medium-sized, with polar axis $63-69 \,\mu$ m (mean $64.4 \,\mu$ m) and equatorial diameter



Figure 4. SEM micrographs. (A) G. scipetarum subsp. eddae, pollen grains; (B) detail of reticulate tectum; (C) G. altaicum, pollen grain; (D) G. altaicum, detail of reticulate tectum; (E) G. scipetarum subsp. eddae, dehydrated seed; (F) G. scipetarum subsp. eddae, detail of the sculpture seed testa. Scale bars: A, F = 50 µm, $B = 5 \mu m$, $C = 10 \mu m$, $D = 2 \mu m$; E = 2 mm.

 $30-34 \,\mu\text{m}$ (mean $32.6 \,\mu\text{m}$); their shape is prolate (P/E 1.97). The three colpi are 60-65 µm long, straight or weakly undulate and granulate at the margins. The tectum is reticulate, with small-sized reticulum cells $(1.5-3.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ in diameter). This pollen resulted clearly distinct from that described for the east Asian species G. kiangnanense and G. microrrhynchum, both characterized by an undulate colpial margin (Arkhangelsky and Takhtajan, 1972; Loconte and Estes, 1989). Compared with the eastern European and Asian species, grains of the Italian samples differed for the longer apertures (62.5 vs. 48 µm in G. darwasicum and 42 µm in G. odessanum and G. altaicum, Figure 4(C,D)) and for a longer polar axis (65 µm vs. 50-53 µm in G. odessanum and G. altaicum). In addition, cells of the reticulum (approximately 2.1 µm; Figure 4(B)) were larger than in G. darwasicum (up to approximately

1.5 µm). With respect to the Apennine plants, the Albanian ones of G. scipetarum showed slightly smaller values for polar axis length (63.0 µm), P/E ratio (1.85) and width of the reticulum cells (2.0 µm).

Phenology

Flowering is from early March to late April; fruiting is from April to May.

NMDS analysis

The scattergram from NMDS ordination of the European species showed that the Apennine plants are close to the Balkan populations (Figure 5). All these accessions formed a distinct group from the pair G. peloponnesiacum-G. odessanum,

whereas the Caucasian endemic *G. smirnovii* was in a more isolated position. The Italian plants resulted intermediate between *G. scipetarum* and those previously referred to *G. maloi*. At present, however, the latter is considered conspecific with the former (Barina et al. 2017), and this supports the inclusion of all these populations in *G. scipetarum*. The only relevant morphological differences between the Italian and the Balkan plants were the lower ratios stamen:petal length (1.3 vs. 1.5–1.7) and style:carpel length (0.3 vs. 0.5; Supplementary Table S1).

Karyology

All plants from the three subpopulations were diploid with 2n = 14; the karyotype consisted of 1 metacentric and 6 sub-metacentric chromosome pairs (Figure 6). Noteworthy, the two chromosomes of the last pair showed the highest difference in centromere position. Chromosome length ranged from 12.1 to 20.4 µm (Table 2). Index of intra-chromosomal asymmetry (A) was 0.30, while inter-chromosomal asymmetry A₂ was relatively low (0.20).

Phylogenetic relationships

Results from the separate analysis of the three sequence datasets are presented herafter.

Nuclear ITS dataset

Table 2. Chromosome

The aligned matrix included a total of 686 positions (coded gaps in pos. 648–686), of which 314 were constant, 131 variable but non-informative and 241 (35.1%) variable and parsimony informative. The mean genetic distance within Gymnospermium was 0.037. The heuristic search produced two most parsimonious trees with L = 582, consistency index (CI) = 0.854 and retention index (RI) = 0.844. The topology of the resulting strict consensus tree was fully consistent with that of the 50 majority-rule consensus phylogram produced by the Bayesian analysis; this is shown in Figure 7(A) with bootstrap (BS) and PP values. Members of tribe Leonticeae (Gymnospermium and Caulophyllum) formed a well-supported clade with 1.00 PP and 100% BS. Bayesian support to Gymnospermium, including G. kiagnanense, was weak (0.70 PP) but this group was more strongly corroborated in the bootstrap analysis (93% BS); G. kiangnanense was sister to the group including all the other species, which was strongly supported (1.00 PP; 98% BS). This was divided in two major subclades. The first subclade (0.94 PP; BS <50%) consisted of all the central Asian and E European taxa plus G. peloponnesiacum from the S Balkans; in turn, this included the two groups of G. albertii sister to G. darwasicum (1.00 PP; 85% BS) and of G. altaicum possibly sister (0.84 PP; BS <50%) to the pair G. odessanum + G. peloponnesiacum;

morphology of *Gymnospermium*

scipetarum





Figure 5. Non-metric multidimensional scaling based on Bray-Curtis similarity comparing Apennine populations of *Gymnospermium* with described European taxa.

Chromosome nomenclature according to Levan et al. (1964); L: long arm; S: short arm; centromere position: m = metacentric; sm = submetacentric. Chromosomes sequence and group labels according to Figure 6.



Figure 6. Chromosome metaphase plate and idiogram of G. scipetarum subsp. eddae, showing, 2n = 2x = 14 and chromosome morphology.



Figure 7. Phylogenetic trees of *Gymnospermium.* (A) Majority-rule consensus phylogram from Bayesian analysis of ITS sequences; PP values and bootstrap support >50% are shown above and below nodes, respectively. The major clades are indicated to the right; (B) Majority-rule consensus phylogram from Bayesian analysis of concatenated ITS-IGS sequences; PP values and bootstrap support >50% are shown above and below nodes, respectively. Chromosome numbers are reported for each investigated species (sources in the text), and the major clades with the respective chromosome base number are indicated to the right.

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

the affinity between the two latter taxa was corroborated by 1.00 PP and 71% BS. The position of *G. altaicum* remained unresolved in the bootstrap tree. The second subclade was well supported and included all the accessions from Albania and Italy (1.00 PP; 100% BS), which shared five substitutions and 1-bp insertion in the ITS1 region. Relationships between the Italian and Balkan populations were not resolved, and genetic distance between them was the same (0.002). However, the Italian accessions clustered together with 0.98 PP and 62% BS and were characterized by a unique 1-bp substitution (A/C transversion) in the ITS1 region.

Plastid IGS dataset

The aligned matrix included 1017 positions, of which 705 constant, 252 variable but parsimony non-informative and 60 (5.9%) parsimony informative. Hence, this region showed a much lower rate of variation than nuclear ITS and provided a weak phylogenetic signal. The mean genetic distance within Gymnospermium was 0.015 and the highest distance was in the pair G. microrrhynchum-G. odessanum (0.039). The heuristic search produced 84 most parsimonious trees with L=352, CI =0.935 and RI =0.758. Both the strict consensus and the 50% majority-rule consensus phylogram from Bayesian analysis retrieved Caulophyllum (1.00 PP; 98% BS) sister to Gymnospermium (1.00 PP, 90% BS) in a well-supported group (1.00 PP; 100% BS). However, relationships within Gymnospermium remained almost completely unresolved in the Maximum Parsimony analysis, where only the affinity between the Italian populations emerged (83% BS); these were characterized by one 1-bp substitution in position 16 and 1-bp insertion in position 43. This affinity also emerged in the Bayesian analysis (0.99 PP), where the Italian accessions resulted sister to the Balkan populations (0.86 PP); a unique 8-bp insertion in positions 728-735 supported the common ancestry of this Apennine-Balkan group. Genetic distance between the Apennine and Balkan populations (0.017) was considerably higher than the average one between the Balkan populations (0.008). Relationships between the E Asian, C Asian and E European taxa were not resolved, with only a weak support to a group with G. darwasicum, G. peloponnesiacum and G. odessanum (0.80 PP; BS <50% BS).

Combined ITS-IGS dataset

The aligned matrix included a total of 1686 positions, of which 1044 were constant, 477 variable but non-informative and 165 variable and parsimony informative. The heuristic search produced a single tree with L = 843, CI = 0.91 and RI =0.73. The topology of this tree was largely consistent with that of the 50% majority-rule consensus phylogram from Bayesian analysis (Figure 7(B)). Caulophyllum was sister to Gymnospermium (1.00 PP; 100% BS), which showed a split in two major clades. The first clade (0.99 PP; 73% BS) included all species from C Asia and E Europe, plus G. peloponnesiacum from S Greece. The latter was sister to G. odessanum (1.00 PP; 81% BS) and both were in turn sister to G. altaicum (0.98 PP; 56% BS); a second group (1.00 PP, 83% BS) was formed by G. albertii sister to G. darwasicum. In the second main clade (1.00 PP, 100% BS), G. scipetarum was sister to the Italian accessions (0.98PP; 72% BS), that clustered together with 1.00 PP and 96%BS.

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

Taxonomy

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1077

1078

1079

1081

The Italian plant can be referred to a new subspecies of G. scipetarum based on slight but convergent morphological and genetic distinguishing characters, a likely result of its long time of isolation in the southern Apennines (see Discussion).

Gymnospermium scipetarum subsp. eddae Rosati, Farris, Fascetti et Selvi, subsp. nov.

Type. ITALY: Campania Region, Salerno Province, Maddalena Mts., Padula, in Fagus sylvatica forest, 1300 m a.s.l., UTM 33T 558 E; 4470 N, Rosati and Fascetti, 22 April 2015. Holo- HLUC 6183!, Iso- FI 050306!, SS 2000/2963!).

Paratypes: Ibid., 9 April 2013, Farris and Rosati, 3550 HLUC!; ibid., 1 April 2015, Rosati, HLUC 6185!; ibid., 17 May 2015, Rosati HLUC 6178!.

English Diagnosis. Differs from G. scipetarum by the lower ratio stamen to petal (1.3 vs. 1.5-1.7) and the lower ratio style to carpel (0.3 vs. 0.5).

1063 Etymology. The new species is dedicated to Mrs. Edda 1064 Lattanzi, an eminent student of the Italian flora who strongly 1065 encouraged the botanists of University of Basilicata to organ-1066 ize, in 2013, the botanical excursion of the Italian Botanical 1067 Society on the Maddalena mountains. The discovery of 1068 Gymnospermium in Italy was done during the preparation of 1069 this excursion. 1070

Conservation. Following the IUCN (2012) criteria for red 1071 listing, G. scipetarum subsp. eddae should be considered as 1072 Endangered (EN) in Italy, based on the severely fragmented 1073 and restricted occupancy area ($< 5 \text{ km}^2$) and the small num-1074 ber of subpopulations (six). Overall population trend is 1075 1076 unknown and is currently under investigation.

Discussion

1080 This study provides the first in-depth insights into the morphology, karyology and phylogenetic relationships of the 1082 Italian population of Gymnospermium found in April 2013 1083 (Rosati et al. 2014, 2017).

1084 Morphology largely supports its close similarity to the 1085 populations from Albania and Montenegro, all of which were 1086 considered to belong to G. scipetarum (Barina et al. 2016) 1087 also based on genetic evidence (Barina et al. 2017). Both 1088 morphometric and molecular data presupposed that G. 1089 maloi, previously recognized as a separate species endemic 1090 to south Albania (Tan et al. 2011), should be considered a 1091 heterotypic synonym of G. scipetarum because not suffi-1092 ciently distinct. Close relationship between the Balkan and 1093 Apennine populations of the latter species emerged from 1094 also our molecular phylogenetic analyses. In spite of the rela-1095 tively low rates of sequence variation in the two regions ana-1096 lyzed here, our results support that these populations form a 1097 clade with remarkable molecular auto-apomorphies such as 1098 the 8-bp insertion in the IGS region. This was lacking in the 1099 taxa of the second major clade retrieved in our combined 1100 ITS-IGS phylogeny, that included all the taxa from mainly 1101 central Asia and Eastern Europe, plus the Greek endemic G. 1102 peloponnesiacum. Interestingly, a common 6-bp insertion in

the IGS region underscores the close affinity between the latter and G. odessanum already indicated by Takhtajan (1970) and Phitos (2003) on morphological grounds. In addition, our molecular data also supported the affinity of both latter species to G. altaicum, as also found by Barina et al. (2017). Hence, G. peloponnesiacum is more closely related to the geographically distant east European and central Asian species than to the only other Mediterranean taxon G. scipetarum in Albania, Montenegro and Italy. According to Barina et al. (2017), G. scipetarum is more closely related to the Caucasian endemic Gymospermium smirnovii. Interestingly, G. albertii and G. darwasicum, not analyzed in previous studies on Berberidaceae (e.g. Kim and Jansen 1996; Kim et al. 2004, Wang et al. 2007, Barina et al. 2017), resulted also included in this second major clade but they formed a distinct subclade, in line with their phenetic similarity and parapatric distribution in central Asia (Loconte and Estes 1989).

Remarkably, the phylogenetic coherence and distinctiveness of the G. scipetarum s.l. clade is supported by karyological evidence. As for the Italian plants, the Albanian populations previously referred to G. maloi have 2n =14 (Tan et al. 2011), however, chromosome number for typical G. scipetarum is still unclear. Both 2n = 14 and 16 have been observed in G. scipetarum (Z. Zekaj, unpublished data) but genome size is very similar to that of G. maloi $(2C = 29.55 \pm 1.35 \text{ pg. vs. } 29.44 \pm 0.47 \text{ pg., respectively; Tan}$ et al. 2011). All other Gymnospermium species investigated to date are instead characterized by 2n = 16 (rarely some populations by 2n = 32; Kosenko 1977, 1978, 1979; Loconte 1993; Kim et al. 2004), including G. peloponnesiacum (Figure 7(B)). The same number was reported for species of Leontice (Kosenko, 1977, 1978, 1979), suggesting that x = 8 is the primary chromosome number in the two genera (Wang et al. 2007). A trend of descending chromosome number has likely occurred in the westernmost part of the Gymnospermium range, probably acting as a major speciation driver of G. sci*petarum*. Therefore, the base number x = 7 is a significant synapomorphic trait of the Balkan-Apennine populations of the latter species, underscoring their common ancestry.

On the other hand, the Italian plants showed a slight morphological divergence in two quantitative floral characters and in both genomic regions analyzed here. Remarkably, their genetic distance to the Balkan populations was substantially higher than that between these populations, due to a few unique positions especially in the IGS region. As a result, the Italian accessions formed a terminal clade which strongly supports their native status. In fact, the very low rate of sequence divergence in Gymnospermium, even between well distinct, allopatric species as shown here, implies that the divergence of the Italian plants can only be originated by a long time of geographical and reproductive isolation. The alternative hypothesis of deliberate human introduction of G. scipetarum to Italy in historical times would have been supported if the Apennine plants were identical to the Balkan ones in their morphological and molecular profiles, which was not the case here. Hence, these results provide an answer to the open question in the recent checklist of the Italian native flora (Bartolucci et al.

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1103

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1219

2018) and, at the same time, justify the placement of the1162 Italian populations into a new subspecies endemic to thesouthern Apennines.

From a biogeographic point of view, the presence of 1164 Gymnospermium in the southern Italian peninsula demon-1165 strates that the westward spread of this genus has been 1166 greater than previously thought. In fact, it reached the cen-1167 tral Mediterranean region despite the present-day barrier of 1168 the Adriatic sea. This pattern of disjunct amphi-Adriatic distri-1169 1170 bution is not unique to G. scipetarum since it is known for a number of other dicot and monocot groups such as those of 1171 1172 Cardamine maritima (Kučera et al. 2010), Campanula series 1173 Garganicae (Park et al. 2006) and Sesleria tenuifolia (Di Pietro 1174 et al. 2005). In addition, several species have a similarly dis- $\mathbf{04}$ 1175 junct range, such as Asyneuma limoniifolium (L.) Janch., Erica 1176 manipuliflora Salisb., Inula verbascifolia (Willd.) Hausskn. and 1177 Cerinthe retorta Sm. (Wagensommer et al. 2014). These and 1178 other possible examples clearly show the phytogeographic 1179 affinity between southern Italy and the western Balkans, 1180 resulting from either over-sea seed dispersal events or mul-1181 tiple trans-Adriatic terrestrial connections during the pre-gla-1182 cial periods (Turill 1929; Pignatti 1982; Di Pietro and Misano 05 1183 2010). Land bridges between these two peninsulas existed 1184 repeatedly in the Oligocene and late Miocene, in particular 1185 during the Tortonian and Messinian periods, and are well 1186 supported by stratigraphical evidence and terrestrial verte-1187 brate fossils (Azzaroli and Guazzone, 1980; Musacchio et al. 1188 2006; Patacca et al. 2008). We assume that the colonization **O**6 1189 of the present-day southern Apennines by populations of G. 1190 scipetarum was made possible by these past land bridges, 1191 since long-distance dispersal events appear much less prob-1192 able in view of the peculiar fruit and diaspore morphology in 1193 this genus. Mature fruits are often borne on downwardly 1194 recurved pedicels and possess a membranous pericarp that 1195 splits to expose the seeds when ripe (Loconte 1993; Figure 1196 3(E)). Presence of a stipe-like strophiole on the seed indicates 1197 that short-distance dispersal by ants is the main strategy in 1198 this genus, as also reported by Beattie (1983) and Lengyel **O**7 1199 et al. (2009). Indeed, myrmecochory is a major mechanism of 1200 dispersal in many herbaceous plants of forest understory 1201 communities (Gorb and Gorb 2003) and is supposed to have 1202 acted as a major driver of speciation in numerous angio-1203 sperm families by enhancing geographical isolation by 1204 extremely limited dispersal distances. Remarkably, Lengyel 1205 et al. (2009) quote Gymnospermium and Leontice among the 1206 many cases of sister genera where myrmecochory versus 1207 non-myrmecochory (Leontice) may have been a driver for a 1208 higher speciation rate. 1209

Similarly, to most congeneric species that appear in 1210 national red lists (Chang et al. 2004; Oprea 2005; Kim et al. **O**8 1211 2016), or are subject to special conservation programs 1212 (Mikatadze-Pantsulaia et al. 2010), G. scipetarum subsp. eddae 1213 should be considered as "endangered" due to its very narrow 1214 distribution. Combined with its early flowering time and 1215 fugacious appearance above the ground level, the restricted 1216 occupancy area explains why this herb has escaped the 1217 observations of the many and illustrious botanists who have 1218 explored the southern Apennines in the last two centuries. This shows that field researches can still lead to significant botanical findings even in a relatively well-known area of Europe, with important implications for the conservation of vascular plant diversity at the local and global scale (Marignani et al. 2014).

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Agnese Tilia (University of Rome "La Sapienza"), who identified at family level the first Italian collections of *Gymnospermium*, Vito Antonio Romano (University of Basilicata) for support during field work, Giuseppina Logozzo (University of Basilicata) for support in chromosome plates preparation and Alessandro Laurita (University of Basilicata) for SEM observations. Ivan Moysienko (Kherson State University, Ukraine) and Pavel Golyakov (Tigirekskiy Nature Reserve, Russia) kindly provided samples of *G. odessanum* and *G. altaicum*. Laura Vivona (Firenze) helped with preparation of figures.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

Research grants from the University of Basilicata to LR and from the University of Firenze to FS are acknowledged.

ORCID

Andrea Coppi (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4760-8403

References

- Anderson MJ. 2004. PERMDISP: A FORTRAN computer program for permutational analysis of multivariate dispersions (for any two-factor ANOVA design) using permutation tests. Auckland, New Zealand: Department of Statistics, University of Auckland.
- Anderson MJ. 2005. PERMANOVA: a FORTRAN computer program for permutational multivariate analysis of variance. Auckland, New Zealand: Department of Statistics, University of Auckland.
- Arkhangelsky DB, Takhtajan L. 1972. Morphology of pollen grains of Leontice L., Gymnospermium Spach and allied genera of the family Berberidaceae. Botanicheskii Zhurnal SSSR. 57:92–926.
- Berberidaceae. Botanicheskii Zhurnal SSSR. 57:92–926. Q9 Azzaroli A, Guazzone G. 1979. Terrestrial mammals and land connections in the Mediterranean before and during the Messinian. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol. 29:155–167.
- Barina Z, Pintér B, Pifkó D. 2016. Morphometrical studies on *Gymnospermium scipetarum* and *G. maloi* (Berberidaceae). Wulfenia. 22:209–220.
- Barina Z, Caković D, Pifkó D, Schönswetter P, Somogyi G, Frajman B. 2017. Phylogenetic relationships, biogeography and taxonomic revision of European taxa of Gymnospermium (Berberidaceae). Bot J Linnean Soc. 184(3):298–311.
- Bartolucci F, Peruzzi L, Galasso G, Albano A, Alessandrini A, Ardenghi NMG, Astuti G, Bacchetta G, Ballelli S, Banfi E, et al. 2018. An updated checklist of the vascular flora native to Italy. Plant Biosyst. 152(2): 179–303. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1419996
- Beattie AJ. 1983. Distribution of ant-dispersed plants. In: Kubitzki K, editor. Dispersal and distribution. Hamburg: Sonderbaende des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins-Parey; p. 249–270
- Borchsenius F. 2009. FastGap 1.2. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Aarhus. [accessed 4 April 2016] www.aubot.dk/FastGap_ home.htm

Q10

- Cecchi L, Coppi A, Hilger HH, Selvi F. 2014. Non-monophyly of 1277 Buglossoides (Boraginaceae: Lithospermeae): phylogenetic and mor-1278 phological evidence for the expansion of Glandora and reappraisal of 1279 Aegonychon. Taxon. 63(5):1065-1078.
- 1280 Çela D, Nepravishta R, Lazari D, Gaziano R, Moroni G, Pica F, Paci M, 1281 Abazi S. 2017. Report on maloine, a new alkaloid discovered from G. 1282 maloi: structural characterization and biological activity. J Mol Struct. 1129:121-127. doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2016.09.062. 1283
- Chang CS, Kim H, Park TY, Maunder M. 2004. Low levels of genetic vari-1284 ation among southern peripheral populations of the threatened herb, 1285 Leontice microrrhynca (Berberidaceae) in Korea. Biol Conserv. 199: 1286 387-396.
- 1287 Di Pietro R, Misano G. 2010. Shrublands and garrigue vegetation in the 1288 "Gravine" gorges (Apulia region, south- eastern Italy). Acta Botanica Gallica. 157(2):195-229. 1289
- Di Pietro R, D'Amato G, Trombetta B. 2003. Karyology and distribution of 1290 Sesleria tenuifolia complex (Poaceae) in the Italian peninsula. Nordic J 1291 Botany. 23(5):615-623. **O**11
- 1292 Di Pietro R, Izco J, Blasi C. 2004. Contribution to the nomenclatural 1293 knowledge of Fagus sylvatica woodlands of southern Italy. Plant Biosyst. 138(1):27-36. 1294
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1990. Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus. 1295 12:13-15.
- 1296 Fascetti S, Pirone G, Rosati L. 2013. The vegetation of the Maddalena 1297 Mountains (Southern Italy). Plant Sociol. 50:1-32.
- 1298 Gorb E, Gorb S. 2003. Seed dispersal by ants in a deciduous forest eco-1299 system. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment edi-1300 tor analysis program for windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser. 1301 41:95-98
- 1302 Hesse M, Waha M. 1989. A new look at the acetolysis method. Plant Syst 1303 Evol. 163(3-4):147-152
- 1304 Karl R, Strid A. 2009. Bongardia chrysogonum (Berberidaceae) rediscovered on the East Aegean island of Chios. Phytol Balcanica. 15:337-342. 1305
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment soft-1306 ware version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol 1307 Evol. 30(4):772-780.
- 1308 Kim YD, Jansen RK. 1996. Phylogenetic implications of rbcL and ITS 1309 sequence variation in the Berberidaceae. Syst Bot. 21(3):381-396.
- 1310 Kim YD, Kim SH, Kim CH, Jansen RK. 2004. Phylogeny of Berberidaceae based on sequences of the chloroplast gene ndhF. Biochem Syst Ecol. 1311 32(3):291-301. doi:10.1016/j.bse.2003.08.002 1312
- Kim H, Kim YS, Son SW. 2016. Gymnospermium microrrhynchum. The 1313 IUCN red list of threatened species 2016. e.T13188457A13189469. 1314 http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-1.RLTS.T13188457A13189469.en **O12**
- 1315 Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequen-1316 ces. J Mol Evol. 16(2):111-120. 1317
- Kosenko VN. 1977. Comparative karyological study of three genera of 1318 the family Berberidaceae (Leontice, Bongardia, Gymnospermium. 1319 Botanicheskii Zhurnal SSSR. 62:235-240.
- 1320 Kosenko VN. 1978. Comparative karyological study of Gymnospermium Spach and G. darwasicum (Regel) 1321 altaicum (Pall.) Takht. 1322 (Berberidaceae. Botanicheskii Zhurnal SSSR. 63:1206-1212.
- Kosenko VN. 1979. Comparative karyological study of representatives of 1323 the family Berberidaceae. Botanicheskii Zhurnal SSSR. 64:1539-1552.
- 1324 Kosenko VN. 1980. Comparative palynomorphological study of the family 1325 Berberidaceae II. Morphology of the pollen grains of the genera 1326 Gymnospermium, Leontice, Caulophyllum, Bongardia, Epimedium, 1327 Vancouveria, Achlys. Jeffersonia. Botanicheskii Zhurnal SSSR. 65:1412-1421.
- Kučera J, Marhold K, Lihová J. 2010. Cardamine maritima group 1328 (Brassicaceae) in the amphi-Adriatic area: A hotspot of species diver-1329 sity revealed by DNA sequences and morphological variation. Taxon. 1330 59148-164.
- 1331 Lengyel S, Gove AD, Latimer AM, Majer JD, Dunn RR. 2009. Ants sow the 1332 seeds of global diversification in flowering plants. PLoS One. 4(5): 1333 e5480. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005480
- Levan A, Fredga K, Sandberg AA. 2009. Nomenclature for centromeric 1334 positions on chromosomes. Hereditas. 52(2):201-220.

Li DZ, et al. 2011. Comparative analysis of a large dataset indicates that 1335 internal transcribed spacer (ITS) should be incorporated into the core 1336 barcode for seed plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 49:19641-19646. Q13 1337 Liu XL, Li JH, Yang YF, Zhu JY. 2017. Floral development of 1338 Gymnospermium microrrhynchum (Berberidaceae) and its systematic 1339 significance in the Nandinoideae. Flora. 22810–16. Loconte H. 1993. Berberidaceae. In: Kubitzki K, Rohwer, JG, and Bittrich 1340 V. editors, The families and genera of vascular plants. vol. 2. Berlin, 1341 Germany: Springer: p. 147-152 1342 Loconte H, Estes JR. 1989. Generic relationships within Leonticeae 1343 (Berberidaceae). Can J Bot. 67(8):2310-2316. 1344 Marignani M, Bacchetta G, Bagella S, Caria MC, Delogu F, Farris E, Fenu 1345 G, Filigheddu R, Blasi C. 2014. Is time on our side? Strengthening the link between field efforts and conservation needs. Biodivers Conserv. 1346 23(2):421-431. 1347 Mikatadze-Pantsulaia T, Barblishvili T, Trivedi C, Kikodze D, Khutsishvili M. 1348 2010. Ex situ conservation of some endemic and protected plant spe-1349 cies in Georgia. Kew Bull. 65(4):643-648. 1350 1351 1352 Oprea A. 2005. Lista critica a platelor vasculare din Romania. lasi: 1353 1354 Page RDM. 1996. TreeView: an application to display phylogenetic trees 1355 1356 Park JM, Kovacic S, Liber Z, Eddie WM, Schneeweiss GM. 2006. 1357 Q14 1358 Patacca E, Scandone P, Mazza P. 2008. Oligocene migration path for 1359 1360 1361 Peng Y, Chen SB, Liu Y, Chen SL, Xiao PG. 2006. A pharmacophyloge-1362 1363 Phitos D. 2003. Gymnospermium Spach. In: Strid A and Tan K, editors. 1364 1365 Pignatti S. 1982. Flora d'Italia. Bologna: Edagricole. 1366 Regione B. 2006. I Suoli Della Basilicata. Carta Pedologica Della Regione 1367 Q15 Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck LP. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic 1368 1369 Rosati L, Filibeck G, De Lorenzis A, Lattanzi E, Surbera S, Fascetti S, Blasi 1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 zioni. Rome, Italy: Società Botanica Italiana, p. 7. 1376 Rosati L, Romano VA, Bartolucci F, Bernardo L, Bouvet D, Cancellieri L, 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 Simmons MP, Ochoterena H. 2000. Gaps as characters in sequence-based phylogenetic analyses. Syst Biol. 49(2):369-381. 1385

- Stearn WT, Webb DA. 1964. Gymnospermium Spach. In: Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Valentine DH, Walters SM, and Webb DA, editors, Flora Europaea: Lycopodiaceae to Platanaceae, vol. 1. 1 ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; p. 244
- Stearn WT, Webb DA, et al. 1993. Gymnospermium Spach. In: Tutin, TG, editor. Flora Europaea: Psilotaceae to Platanaceae, vol. 1. 2 ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; p. 29-295
- Swofford DL. 2000. PAUP* 4.0. phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and other methods) version 4.0. Sunderland MA: Sinauer.

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

- Musacchio A, Pellegrino G, Cafasso D, Widmer A, Cozzolino S. 2006. A unique A. palustris lineage across the Otranto strait: botanical evidence for a past land-bridge? Plant Syst Evol. 262(1-2):103-111.
- Universitati A. I. Cuza.
- on personal computers. Comput Appl Biosci. 12(4):357-358.
- Phylogeny and biogeography of isophyllous species of Campanula (Campanulaceae) in the Mediterranean area. 31(4):862-880.
- Apulia macromammals: the Central-Adriatic bridge. Bollettino Società Geologica Italiana. 127:337-355.
- netic study of the Berberidaceae (s.l.). Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica. 44(3):241-257.
- Flora Hellenica, vol. 2. Ruggell: Gantner Verlag K.G; p. 81-82

- Basilicata. www.basilicatanet.it/suoli.
- inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics. 19(12):1572-1574.
- C. 2010. La vegetazione Forestale dei Monti Alburni, Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano: analisi fitosociologia e significato fitogeografico. Fitosociologia. 47:17-55.
- Rosati L, Farris E, Tilia A, Potenza G, Fascetti S. 2014. Gymnospermium scipetarum (Berberidaceae) specie nuova per la flora italiana. In: Peruzzi L, Domina G, editors. Floristica, sistematica ed evoluzione, comunica-
- Caruso G, Conti F, Faraoni F, Ban E, et al. 2017. Contribution to the floristic knowledge of the Maddalena Mountains (Basilicata and Campania, southern Italy). Italian Botanist. 3:73-82. doi: 10.3897/ italianbotanist.3.12519
- Sgrosso I, Bonardi G, Amore O, Ascione A, Castellano MC, De Vita P, Di Donato V, Morabito S, Parente M, Pescatore E, et al. 2010. Note illustrative della Carta Geologica d'Italia alla scala 1:50.000 - Foglio 504 Sala Consilina. Roma: ISPRA, Servizio Geologico d'Italia.

- Taberlet P, Gielly L, Pautou G, Bouvet J. 1991. Universal primers for amp-lification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. Plant Mol Biol. 17(5):1105-1109.
- Takhtajan AL. 1970. On the genus gymnospermium spach. Bot. Zhurn. S.S.S.R. 55:1191-1193.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol. 24(8):1596-1599.
- Tan K, Shuka L, Siljak-Yakovlev S, Malo S, Pustahija F. 2011. The genus Gymnospermium (Berberidaceae) in the Balkans. Phytotaxa 25:1-17. -
- Turill WB. 1929. The plantlife of the Balkan peninsula. A phytogeographi-cal study. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Wagensommer RP, Fröhlich T, Fröhlich M. 2014. First record of the southeast European species Cerinthe retorta Sibth. & Sm. (Boraginaceae) in Italy and considerations on its distribution and con-servation status. Acta Botanica Gallica. 161:111-115.
- Wang W, Chen ZD, Liu Y, Li RQ, Li JH. 2007. Phylogenetic and biogeographic diversification of Berberidaceae in the Northern Hemisphere. Syst Bot. 32(4):731-742.
- Wang W, Lu AM, Ren Y, Endress ME, Chen ZD. 2009. Phylogeny and clas-sification of ranunculales: evidence from four molecular loci and mor-phological data. Persp Plant Ecol Evol Syst. 11(2):81-110.
- Watanabe K, Yahara T, Denda T, Kosuge K. 1999. Chromosomal evolution in the genus Brachyscome (Asteraceae, Astereae): Statistical tests regard-ing correlation between changes in karyotype and habit using phylogen-etic information. J Plant Res. 112(2):145-161. doi: 10.1007/PL00013869
- Wiens JJ. 1998. Combining data sets with different phylogenetic histor-ies. Syst Biol. 47568-581.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, and White JW, editors. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. New York: Academic Press; p. 315-322
- Ying TS, Boufford DE, Brach AR. 2011. Gymnospermium Spach. In: Fl. China, vol. 19. Beijing: Science Press; St. Louis: Missouri Botanical Garden; p. 799-800.

Appendix 1. List of taxa and International nucleotide sequence database collaboration (INSDC) accession numbers for DNA sequences (ITS, trnL-F IGS when available) used in this study (alphabetical order). Voucher information is given only for specimens of gymnospermium originally analyzed here (original accession number marked with an asterisk).

Berberis bealei Fortune: KU221046, FJ626558; Berberis vulgaris L.: EF488082; Caulophyllum robustum Maxim.: EU592026, AF325911; Caulophyllum thalictroides (L.) Michaux: FJ626552; Epimedium alpinum L.: DQ851480, AY362445; Epimedium multiflorum T.S. Ying: GQ924950; Gymnospermium albertii (Regel) Takht.: K. Tan et G. Vold, 19 March 2015, Denmark (culta), KX257208*, KX272778*; Gymnospermium altaicum (Pallas) Spach: P. Golyakov, 2 April 2015, Russia, 51,144787N; 82.970832E, [HLUC 6032], KX257207*, KX272777*; Gymnospermium darwasicum (Regel) Takht.: Kit Tan et G. Vold, 19 March 2015, Denmark (culta), KX257209*, KX272779*; Gymnospermium scipetarum subsp. eddae Rosati, Fascetti, Farris et Selvi: 1) L. Rosati, 22 april 2015, Italy, 40.3667N 15.6960E, [HLUC 6184], KX257203*, KX272773*; 2) L. Rosati, 22 april 2015, Italy, 40.3836N 15.6767E, [HLUC 6182], KX257202*, KX272772*; 3) L. Rosati, 17 May 2015, Italy 40.3841N 15.6824E, [HLUC 6171], KX257201*; Gymnospermium microrrhynchum (S. Moore) Takht.: FJ626556; Gymnospermium odessanum (DC.) Takht.: I. Moysiyenko, 10 april 2015, Ukraine, 47.206873N; 33.152694E, [KHER (without number)], KX257206*, KX272776*; Gymnospermium peloponnesiacum (Phitos) Strid: K. Tan et G. Vold, 26 april 2015, Denmark (culta), KX257205*, KX272775*; Gymnospermium scipetarum E. Mayer et Pulević: 1) K. Tan & G. Vold, 26 march 2015, Denmark (culta), KX257200*, KX272771*; 2) K. Tan et G. Vold, 26 March 2015, Denmark (culta sub. G. maloi Kit Tan et Shuka), KX257204*, KX272774*; Nandina domestica Thunb.: AY362430, AF335295.