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#### Abstract

Five new species of Monocelididae (Platyhelminthes, Proseriata) are described from Eastern Atlantic. Three new Archilina species came from the Canary Islands. Two of them have the cirrus provided with a stylet, cylindrical in A. regina sp. nov., and spike-like in A. imperatrix sp. nov. Both species lack a vaginal pore: ventrally to the bursa, an area of vacuolar parenchyma is present. A. coronata sp. nov. lacks a stylet, and has a vaginal pore. The three species are sister taxa to Duploperaclistus circocirrus and Duplominona miranda sp. nov., from Brittany (France), characterized by an extremely long, tubular stylet, about $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. A further Archilina is described from Cabo Verde Islands, A. regisfilia sp. nov., with a funnel-shaped cirrus, and without a vaginal pore. The finding in the Canary Islands of closely related species, occurring abundantly in the same habitats, and differing for presence/absence of stylet and vaginal pore, suggests a role of the stylet in allowing internal fertilization in species without external vagina. The phylogeny presented, based on rDNA 18S and 28S genes, does not reflect present systematics of the Monocelididae, and the monophyly of most genera is not supported.
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## Introduction

Platyhelminthes are hermaphrodites with internal fertilisation and complex reproductive systems, often occupying most of the body (Cannon 1986). In the Proseriata, one of the major groups of meiobenthic flatworms, an array of copulatory structures can be present, consisting of spines, needles and/or stylets, combined with complex female genital organs, usually including a bursa, where allosperm are maintained till their migration to oviducts and fertilisation of oocytes (Curini-Galletti 2001). The number of congeneric species of Proseriata occurring in sympatry and differing for the morphology of sclerotized pieces of the copulatory organ (e.g. Martens and Curini-Galletti 1993; Delogu and Curini-Galletti 2009; Casu et al. 2014), suggests a role of these structures in preventing interspecific crossings. It may be hypothesized, in fact, that the variously constructed vaginas may be receptive only of a particular, species-specific morphology and size of sclerotized structures. However, in many species and even within whole genera of Proseriata (see e.g. Martens and Curini-Galletti 1999), no vagina could be detected in any life-stage, and a role for hypodermic impregnation, similarly to what observed in other groups of Platyhelminthes (Janssen et al. 2015 and citations therein) could not be discounted. However, hypodermic impregnation has so far never been observed in Proseriata. Furthermore, even in species lacking vagina and vaginal pore, an otherwise complete female reproductive system, inclusive of a bursa, often containing sperm, is present. Since self-fertilisation appears to be exceedingly rare in Proseriata, and is accompanied by clear indications of connections between male and female genital ducts (Casu et al. 2012), the presence of presumptive allosperm in the bursa suggests that, should hypodermic impregnation be present in these animals, this may not happen at random through the body, as is the rule in Platyhelminthes (e.g. Michiels and Newman 1998), but, rather, in specific places, allowing sperm to be injected into the female ducts, if not directly into the bursa.

Here we present the case of a group of new species of Proseriata Monocelididae, belonging to the genus Archilina, found in the Canary and Cabo Verde Islands. Although closely related, they differ considerably in the morphology of the copulatory structures, as well as for presence/absence
of an external vagina. We aimed to produce a solid phylogenetical framework for these species. Molecular analyses were performed by means of rDNA 18S and 28S genes, which have been extensively used both to reconstruct their phylogeny and to detect species boundaries (Litvaitis et al. 1996; Littlewood et al. 2000; Curini-Galletti et al. 2010; Casu et al. 2011, 2014; Girstmair et al. 2014; Scarpa et al. 2016a, 2017a, b, c). This, together with morphological reconstructions, helped to shed light on the possible pathways to achieve internal fertilization and proper placement of sperm within the bursa, without a vaginal pore.

## Materials and Methods

## Sampling

Samples were collected manually by scooping up the superficial layer of sediment. No specific permits were required for sampling sites, which were not privately owned or protected, nor are the animals object of this study protected or endangered. Flatworms are not regulated in directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament. Sampling of the newly sequenced species occurred in October 2011 (from Lanzarote), September 2016 (from Asinara, Sardinia - Italy) and in June 2008 (from Punta Ala, Tuscany - Italy). Specimens were extracted from the sediments using the $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ decantation method (see Schockaert 1996). Once isolated, individuals were first studied alive by slight squeezing under the coverslip. Specimens were then retrieved and processed for further analysis. Whenever possible, the rear part of the organism, where most of the critical features allowing identification are located, was cut and mounted in lactophenol, and preserved as part of the type series or as voucher; the front part was stored in ethanol $95^{\circ}$ for molecular studies.

## Morphological analysis

After relaxation in an isotonic $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ solution, specimens were fixed in cold Bouin's fluid and embedded in Paraplast at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Serial sections were cut 3 to $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick, stained in Mayer's haematoxylin and eosin, and mounted in Depex. In species descriptions, cirrus length refers to the
spiny portion of cirrus; proximal and distal spines are described, if not indicated otherwise, as seen in cirrus in inverted conditions.

Abbreviations used in figures: b , bursa; ci, cirrus; cm , circular muscle; co, copulatory organ; fd, female duct; fg, female glands; fp, female pore; gid, genito-intestinal duct; gl, gut lumen; iml, inner muscle layer; ma, male antrum; mp, male pore; oml, outer muscle layer; ov, ovaria; ph, pharynx; po, prostatic organ; pop, pore of prostatic organ; pos, stylet of prostatic organ; pp, "parenchymatous plug"; pr, "parenchymatous ring"; pv, prostate vesicle; sp, sperm; sph, sphincter; st, stylet; sta, statocist; sv, seminal vesicle; t, testis; v, vagina; vi, vitellaria; vp, vaginal pore.

## DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted using the Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin Tissue (MACHEREY-NAGEL GmbH \& Co. KG) according to the supplier's instructions. After extraction, DNA was stored as a solution at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Complete 18 S and partial 28 S (spanning variable domains D1-D6) were analyzed for a total of 112 individuals, 30 of which newly sequenced for this work and 82 taken from GenBank, 81 of which already sequenced by us for previous papers (for details about specimens and sampling localities see Supplementary Material S1). Overall, the molecular dataset includes one specimen of Archimonocelididae and 111 belonging to the family Monocelididae. PCRs for 18 S and 28 S regions were carried out using the following primers: 18 S : A (forward) GCG AAT GGC TCA TTA AAT CAG, and B (reverse) CTT GTT ACG ACT TTT ACT TCC (Littlewood and Olson 2001); 28S: for (forward) GCG GAG GAA ARG AAA CTA ACA AGG A, and rev (reverse) AAC TCT TCC GGG AAC CAT CGC CGA C (Scarpa et al. 2016b); 28S D1-D6: LSU5 (forward) TAG GTC GAC CCG CTG AAY TTA AGC A, and LSUD6-3 (reverse) GGA ACC CTT CTC CAC TTC AGT C (Littlewood et al. 2000). PCRs were carried out in a total volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ containing 25 ng of total genomic DNA ( $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) on average, 1.0 U of Taq DNA Polymerase (Euroclone), $1 \times$ reaction buffer, 3.5 mM of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.32 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer, and $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP. PCR amplifications were performed in a MJ PTC 200

Thermal Cycler (Biorad) programmed as follows: 1 cycle of 2 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35$ cycles of 1 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min}$ at $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(18 \mathrm{~S} / 28 \mathrm{~S}\right.$ D1-D6 primers' annealing temperature), and 1 min and 30 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the end, a post-treatment for 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a final cooling at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were carried out. Both positive and negative controls were used to test the effectiveness of the PCR reagents and the absence of possible contaminations. Electrophoresis was carried out on $2 \%$ agarose gels, prepared using $1 \times$ SBA buffer (sodium boric acid, pH 8.2 ) and stained with a $1 \mu \mathrm{l} / 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ethidium bromide solution. PCR products were purified by ExoSAP-IT (USB Corporation) and sequenced for both forward and reverse 18S and 28S D1-D6 strands (by means of the same primers used for PCR), using an external sequencing core service (Macrogen Europe).

## Phylogeny, species delimitation and dating

The 18S and 28S D1-D6 sequences were aligned separately using the algorithm Q-INS-I implemented in Mafft 7.187 (Katoh and Standley 2013), which is appropriate for non-coding RNA as it considers RNA secondary structure.

In order to test the phylogenetic signal (Schmidt and von Haeseler 2012), the likelihoodmapping analysis of 10,000 random quartets was performed singularly by means of TreePuzzle (Schmidt et al. 2002), singularly for both genes. The best probabilistic model of sequence evolution was determined independently for each gene using jModeltest 2.1.3 (Daribba et al. 2012), with a maximum likelihood optimized search, and both the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Both criterions selected the GTR $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ (Tavaré 1986) as the best fitting model for both 18 S and 28S D1-D6 datasets.

Phylogenetic relationships among taxa were investigated using both Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) on the concatenated 18S and 28S D1-D6 sequences. ML analysis was carried out using the software RAxMLGUI version 1.3 (Silvestro and Michalak 2012), setting the analysis option to 'ML+ thorough bootstrap', which consists in a thorough bootstrap analysis followed by a maximum likelihood search. Then, the bootstrap support values
are drawn on the most likely tree. Analysis was carried out with 10 runs and 1000 bootstrapping replicates using the GTRGAMMAI model. The consensus tree and the bootstrap support values were visualized by means of the software FigTree 1.4.0 (available at $\underline{\mathrm{http}: / / t r e e . b i o . e d . a c . u k / s o f t w a r e / f i g t r e e /) . ~ B I ~ w a s ~ c a r r i e d ~ o u t ~ u s i n g ~ t h e ~ s o f t w a r e ~ M r B a y e s ~ 3.2 .6 ~}$ (Ronquist et al. 2012), specifying a partitioned model in which 18 S and 28 S genes were deemed as distinct partitions. Setting as model parameters: NST $=6$, rates $=$ invgamma, ngammacat $=4$, we allowed each partition to have its own set of parameters and a potentially different overall evolutionary rate. Two independent runs, each consisting of four Metropolis-coupled MCMC chains (one cold and three heated chains), were run simultaneously for 5,000,000 generations, sampling trees every 1000 generations. The first $25 \%$ of the 10,000 sampled trees was discarded as burnin. Runs, carried out at the Cipres Phylogenetic Portal (Miller et al. 2010), were checked for the convergence of chains ensuring that the Average Standard Deviation of Split Frequencies (ASDSF), approached 0 (Ronquist et al. 2012), and the Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSRF) was around 1 (Gelman and Rubin 1992). Nodes with a percentage of posterior probability lower than $95 \%$ were considered as not highly supported. Phylogenetic tree was visualized using FigTree 1.4.0 (available at http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

The time-based tree was been obtained by means of the software package BEAST 1.8.3 (Drummond and Rambaut 2007), following as described in Scarpa et al. (2015). In order to verify the taxonomic assessment of the specimens included in the dataset, several methods of species delimitation were used. First we applied two methods inspired by the PSC (Phylogenetic Species Concept), which are able to discriminate between population and speciation patterns on a given species tree: i) the ST-GMYC (Single Threshold-Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent) method by Pons et al. (2006), and ii) the PTP (Poisson Tree Processes) model, its Bayesian implementation, the bPTP (Zhang et al. 2013). We also applied the ABGD (Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery) (Puillandre et al. 2012) method on the combined dataset (18S + 28S D1-D6) by using K2P genetic
distances (Kimura 1980). All of the used species delimitation methods have been set according to Scarpa et al. (2017a,c).

For the new species $A$. coronata sp . nov., A. regina sp. nov., A. imperatrix sp. nov. and $D$. miranda sp. nov. the molecular pure diagnostic characters (see Jörger and Schrödl 2013), based on the 18 S and 28 S genes, were detected within the family Monocelididae by means of the SPIDER package (SPecies IDentity and Evolution in R) (Brown et al. 2012) implemented in the R statistical environment (available at http://r-forge.rproject. org/projects/splits/). This analysis allowed us to obtain for each tested taxon a list of the diagnostic nucleotides in each marker (i.e. those nucleotides that are fixed within species and different from all other species within their family) (Brown et al. 2012).

In order to explore the morphological shifts between the presence/absence of stylet, vagina and prostatoid organ, the Maximum Likelihood based Ancestral State Reconstruction (ASR) was performed by means of the software Mesquite 3.31 (Maddison and Maddison 2017). The history of character evolution were reconstructed on the 18S+28S phylogeny by using the "Markov k-state 1 parameter model" (Mk1 model) which is a k-state generalization of the Jukes-Cantor model, and corresponds to the Mk model of Lewis (2001). Computations were performed using Likelihood Ancestral state in Trace Character History implementing a binary matrix on the occurrence of the examined morphological features.

## Results

## Molecular analyses

After the alignment, 1539 and $1565 b p$-long sequences were obtained for the 18 S and 28S D1-D6 regions, respectively (see Supplementary Material S1 for the GenBank accession numbers). The likelihood map (Fig. 1) indicated a strong phylogenetic signal, with a percentage of points in the network-like areas (Schmidt et al. 2002) of $4.0 \%$ (Fig. 1A) and $0.8 \%$ (Fig. 1B) for the 18 S and $28 S$ respectively. Accordingly, both datasets were reliable for phylogenetic and taxonomic
inferences (Schmidt and von Haeseler 2012). Both ML and BI analyses converged on the same topology, thus only the Bayesian tree was reported (Fig. 2). In our group of interest, i.e. the three species of Archilina from Canary Islands, divergence times were about 7.97 mya, ranging 4.9412.38 mya for node D1 (A. coronata + A. regina + A. imperatrix) and 4.20 mya, ranging 1.95-7.32 mya for node D2 (A. regina + A. imperatrix). The ancestral state reconstruction finds the likelihood of each state at each of the nodes. The likelihood state assignment maximized the probability to arrive at the observed states in terminal taxa, given the evolution model, and allowing the states at all other nodes to vary (see Fig. 3 for details on the likelihood values of each node).

The species delimitation methods yielded slightly different results. The ST-GMYC model identified 36 entities $(\mathrm{CI}=29-44), 15$ of which represented by singletons and 21 by clusters $(\mathrm{P}<$ 0.001). The PTP/bPTP model found 37 entities ( $\mathrm{CI}=35-47$ ), 17 of which represented by singletons and 20 by clusters. The ABGD method, checked at the prior maximal distance $(\mathrm{P}=$ 0.001 ), identified 34 entities. It is noteworthy that all the methods used detected the new species here described as well defined molecular taxonomic entities. Further details on the species delimitation results are shown in Supplementary Material S1.

Molecular diagnostic pure character have been detected for both analyzed genes (see Supplementary Material S2 for details).

Alignment and phylogenetic tree files were deposited and made available in TreeBase with the accession number TB2:S22149.

## Taxonomic account

The following nomenclatural acts have been registered in Zoobank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:28C72E2E-EF97-4ADF-8136-B1F3EDB8Ø4C6.

The authorship of the new taxa reflects the actual involvement of the participants of this research in the taxonomic description. Types have been deposited at the Swedish Museum of Natural History (SMNH) (Stockholm, Sweden) and in the Collections of the Zoological Museum (CZM), University of Sassary (Italy).

Order Proseriata Meixner, 1938
Family Monocelididae Hofsten, 1907
Genus Archilina Ax, 1959

## Archilina regina Curini-Galletti, Casu \& Scarpa sp. nov.

urn:Isid:zoobank.org:act:13BC28C2-1422-4BØ3-84B3-F344FC1BØBØB
(Figs. 4A, B and 5A, B and 6G, H and 7D, E; Supplementary Material S2)
Holotype. Lanzarote (Canary Islands): Mala, medium-fine sand at -20 m . (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811, Long: $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 4 4 9 7 4 9 4 \text { ). One whole mount (SMNH-Type 8965) }}$

Paratypes. Same data as holotype, one specimen sagittally sectioned (CZM 741); three whole mounts (CZM 742-744).

Other material: Lanzarote: Mala, sand patch in front of small cavern, under loose macroalgae, coarse shell gravel at -12 m (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811; Long. -13.44974935 ): four whole mounts (one with two specimens) (CZM 745-748). Mala, inside a marine cave, very fine/silty sand at -11 m (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811; Long. -13.44974935 ): three whole mounts (one with two specimens) (CZM 749-751). Mala, medium-coarse calcareous sand at -48 m (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811; Long. -13.44974935), two specimens sagittally sectioned (CZM 752, 753); four whole mounts (one with two specimens) (CZM 754-757). Charcos de Luis, tidal pool, very silty fine sand at -0.5 m ( 04.10 .2011 ), (Lat. 29.16294536; Long. -13.43172222 ), four specimens sagittally sectioned (CZM 758-761), two whole mounts (CZM 762, 763); beach south of Orzola, medium-coarse sand at -0.5 m ( 0.6 .10 .2011 ) (Lat. 29.21666149; Long. -13.4422231 ), one whole mount (CZM 764); tidal pools near Orzola, coarse sand at $-0.5 /-2 \mathrm{~m}$ (14.10.2011) (Lat.
29.22225159; Long. -13.4494328), two whole mounts (one with 5 specimens) (CZM 765-766); Puerto del Carmen, Playa Chica, inside the marine cave 'La Catedral', silty shelly gravel at $-29 /-$ 31 m (14.10.2011) (Lat. 28.9190394; Long. -13.6685264), four whole mounts (CZM 767-770); Puerto del Carmen, Playa Chica, in front of the marine cave 'La Catedral', silty shelly gravel at -33 m (14.10.2011) (Lat. 28.9190394; Long. -13.6685264), one whole mount (CZM 771); Puerto del Carmen, Playa Chica, fine sand at -11 m (14.10.2011) (Lat. 28.9190394; Long. -13.6685264), one whole mount (CZM 772); Punta Jameos del Agua, coarse sand at -12 m , (15.10.2011) (Lat. 29.15697257; Long. -13.427192), (CZM 773, 774).

Gran Canaria (Canary Islands): Bahia de Santa Agueda, medium-coarse sand at -0.5 m (21.10.2011) (Lat. 27.750529; Long. 15.642610), two specimens sagittally sectioned (CZM 775, 776); one whole mount with two specimens (CZM 777). Maspalomas, beach close to the Lighthouse, coarse sand with granules at -0.5 m (24.10.2011) (Lat. 27.735015; Long. -15.598073 ), three whole mounts (CZM 778-780).

Etymology. From Latin regina: queen. The specific epithet refers to the morphology of the cirrus, shaped like a crown.

Description. A medium-sized monocelid: fixed specimens up to 1.7 mm . Unpigmented. Anterior tip rounded, without sensory stereocilia. Caudal tip with numerous adhesive glands. Epidermis of the insunk type, ciliated all over the body, except for the caudal tip. With numerous small, rodshaped rhabdoids, about $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Longitudinal subepidermal musculature particularly strong ventrally.

Pharynx nearly midbody (Fig. 4A), up to $130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long in fixed specimens. Longitudinal and circular musculature well developed around the inner lumen. Pharynx ciliated apart from a small area at tip, where glands discharge. With two types of pharyngeal glands, extending only at a short distance outside the pharynx. Oesophagus well developed, about $11 / 4$ the length of pharynx.

Male genital system. With 15-50 testes in two irregular, medio-ventral rows. Copulatory organ of the duplex-type, with an outer and an inner muscular layer. The outer muscular layer wraps the
entire copulatory bulb. With a nearly spherical seminal vesicle, separated by a weakly muscular septum from a glandular area (the 'prostatic vesicle') (Figs. 5A and 7D, E). Distally, a spiny cirrus is present, lined, as most of the prostatic vesicle, by the inner muscular layer. The cirrus, $35-42 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long and $37-45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ broad in squeezed conditions, is cup-shaped in living animals. Ejaculatory duct is partly everted: on the outer side of this everted duct the epithelium is absent, and the basal lamina is thickened, producing a hard tube-like structure (Figs. 4B and 6G). This tubular stylet ranges $22-38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length ( $\bar{X}=30.14 \pm 5.21 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; N=22$ ), with a circular proximal aperture, 15$20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across $(\bar{X}=17.5 \pm 1.55 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; N=22)$ and an oblique distal aperture, $6-12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across $(\bar{X}=$ $9.14 \pm 2.11 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; N=9$ ), difficult to notice in most specimens. The not-everted part of the ejaculatory duct forms a cup lined with pseudocuticula (i.e. thickened basal lamina) in its proximal part, and is provided with numerous spines. In most specimens, the pseudocuticula shows several furrows at the basis of the stylet. With about 8 rows of $30-75$ spines ( $\bar{X}=51.2 \pm 15.5 ; N=22$ ). Proximal spines are few (5-10), large, up to $18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (most frequently about $13-14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), pointed, almost straight, with a basis 4-8 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ across. More distally, spines are denser, range between 5-10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, and are straight or slightly recurve. Near the tip of the cirrus, spines are smaller, 2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and are more strongly curved. These distal spines are lacking in many specimens, accounting for the large divergence in spine number observed. Their presence may be related to the attainment of full male maturity. The most proximal spines appear attached to the basal lamina. Many spines show a longitudinal furrow.

By eversion of the complete cirrus, the internal stylet becomes the most distal part of the copulatory organ, and forms a functional stylet, supported by the sclerotized basal lamina. In the everted cirrus, spines appear clearly arranged in decreasing size (Figs. 5B and 6H).

The few specimens studied from Gran Canaria fall almost exactly in the range of variation of specimens from Lanzarote: the stylet ranges $22-35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long and $16-20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ broad at basis $(N=5)$;
spines are $30-75$ in number, range $3.5-14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in size, decreasing in size distally in inverted condition. Also in this case, specimens with fewer spines lacked the smallest ones.

The copulatory organ opens into a small male atrium, which is provided with a non-ciliated epithelium.

Female genital system. Ovaries ventro-lateral in front of the pharynx. Vitellaria dorso-lateral, extending from in front of the ovaries till the copulatory organ (Fig. 4A). The female duct is lined with a non-ciliated epithelium, and runs to the pre-penial bursa, situated just in front of the copulatory organ. The bursa is made from several large vacuoles, lined with a resorbient tissue (Figs. 5B and 7E). Dorsally bursal tissues appear to merge with the gut lining, and a connection with the gut is plausible. The bursal canal is surrounded by a sphincter consisting of several thick muscle fibers. Similar sphincters occur in the female duct, close to its connection to the bursal canal. Without external vagina. Ventrally to the bursal canal, a thick, toroid-shaped ring of parenchymatous tissue, $20-30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across, is present (Fig. 5B). It surrounds a core of less dense, somewhat vacuolar, parenchyma, often protruding to the outside as a convex 'plug' (Figs. 5B and 7D, E). Below this core area, there are no muscular fibres, which run laterally to it. The female duct continues posteriorly, lined with an irregular, vacuolated epithelium, and opens behind the male pore though the female pore. The distalmost portion of the female duct is surrounded by numerous female glands. Numerous glands ("kittdrusen") are present behind the female pore.

Karyotype. The few plates observed had $\mathrm{n}=3$, with a basic karyotype for the genus Archilina (Curini-Galletti and Martens 1990), with two large pairs of metacentric chromosomes and a smaller pair of heterobrachial chromosomes.

Diagnosis. Species of Archilina with up to 50 testes. Copulatory organ with a cup-shaped cirrus, provided with about 8 rows of $30-75$ spines and a stylet. Proximal spines are large ( $13-18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); they decrease progressively distally, to 2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Stylet ranges $22-38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. With a vacuolar bursa in front of the copulatory organ. Without an external vagina; the bursal duct ends in an area of vacuolar parenchyma, surrounded by a ring of denser tissue. Karyotype with $n=3$.

# Archilina imperatrix Curini-Galletti, Casu \& Scarpa sp. nov. 

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E4E227EØ-DBØ7-4D28-BC71-4337E24976E3
(Figs. 4C and 5C, D and 6E, F and 7H; Supplementary Material S2)
Holotype. Gran Canaria (Canary Islands): Maspalomas, beach close to the Lighthouse, medium-fine sand at -2 m (24.10.2011) (Lat. 27.734964; Long. -15.597904). One whole mount (SMNH-Type 8966).

Paratypes. Same data as holotype, one specimen sagittally sectioned (CZM 799); two whole mounts (CZM 800-801).

Etymology. From Latin imperatrix: empress. The specific epithet is coined after A. regina, and refers to the crown-shaped cirrus of the two species.

Description. Animals 1 mm long in sections. Habitus as the previous species. Pharynx just after midbody, $65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long.

Male genital system. With 10 testes in one median row. Copulatory bulb similar to $A$. regina. The ejaculatory duct is partly everted: on its outer side, the epithelium is absent, and the basal lamina is thickened, producing an acutely-pointed, funnel-shaped, spike-like stylet, $26-31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, with a U shaped basis 7-10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ across $(N=3)$ (Figs. 4C and 6E, F). The non-everted part of the ejaculatory duct forms a cup, lined with a thick pseudocuticula for most of its length. Three large $(25-35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, about $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at basis), acutely pointed, spines and two, more distal, falcate spines 7-12 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, appear connected basally to this pseudocuticula. Additional, very small spines (1-2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) may be present at the outer edge of the thickened pseudocuticula (Fig. 5D).

Female genital system. Topography of organs similar to A. regina. In the sectioned specimen, no bursal canal nor obvious connection to the gut was observed. External vagina lacking. Similarly to A. regina, a 'plug' of vacuolar, loose tissue, surrounded by a much denser, toroid-shaped ring of parenchymatous tissue, was present ventrally to the bursa (Figs. 5C and 7H).

Diagnosis. Species of Archilina with about 10 testes. Copulatory organ with a cup-shaped cirrus, provided with few (about 5) large spines, 7-35 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, and a spine-like stylet, 26-31 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. Additional, very small spines may be present. With a vacuolar bursa in front of the copulatory organ. Without an external vagina; with an area of vacuolar parenchyma, surrounded by a ring of denser tissue, ventrally to the bursa.

## Archilina regisfilia Curini-Galletti sp. nov.

 urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:FD643ØAØ-16A7-478B-B8FØ-1D7249C73C44(Figs. 4D and 6I and 7A)
Holotype. Cabo Verde Is.: Boa Vista Is.: Sal Rei, Playa de Cruz (Lat. 16.187799, Long. 22.918332), lower intertidal in coarse sand among rocks ( 09.02 .2013 ); whole mount of posterior half of body (SMNH-Type 8967); front half used for molecular study.

Etymology. From Latin regisfilia: princess. The specific epithet is coined after A. regina and
A. imperatrix, and refers to the crown-shaped cirrus of these species.

Description. Limited observations were made on a single living specimen, before its fixation (Fig. 6 A). General habitus, size (about 1.5 mm ), topography of internal organs, morphology of rhabdoids and gg2 glands, as well the post-median position of the short pharynx, very similar to the previous species.

Male genital system. The specimen had 12 testes, in one single line. Copulatory bulb similar to $A$. regina nov. sp. (Fig. 7A). With a narrow cirrus, about $52 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ high and $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide, provided with a stylet, surrounded by pseudocuticola, and with numerous spines. The stylet is a distinctly funnelshaped structure, $24.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ high, with a proximal opening $19 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across, rapidly narrowing to about $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ at $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the basis. The distal portion of the stylet is a straight, narrow tube, distinctly furrowed in its middle, with an oblique distal opening about $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across, and provided with a acutely pointed distal tip (Figs. 4D and 6I). The stylet is surrounded by a sclerotized pseudocuticula, appreciable at least in the proximal third of the cirrus. There are at least 12 rows of
more than 80 , densely-packed spines. Uncertainties in the number are due to the partly everted condition of the cirrus, which made observations difficult. Proximal spines are less densely packed, acuminate, 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, and slightly curved. Size of spines increases distally, with median spines 6-9 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, and distalmost spines $10-11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, as well as their shape, which becomes progressively more slender.

Female genital system. Arrangement of vitellaria and ovaria as the previous two species. No external vagina seen. Instead, a 'plug' of vacuolar tissue, surrounded by a ring of more homogeneous parenchyma, was observed (Fig. 7A). Bursa vacuolar; at least one vacuole contained sperm. The female duct was observed from the bursa to the female pore, posterior to the copulatory organ.

Diagnosis. Species of Archilina with a cup-shaped cirrus, provided with about 80, densely packed, spines and a funnel-shaped stylet, $24.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Proximal spines are small, $2-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long; spines increase in size distally, with distalmost spines $10-11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. With a vacuolar bursa in front of the copulatory organ. Without an external vagina; with an area of vacuolar parenchyma, surrounded by a ring of denser tissue, ventrally from the bursa.

## Archilina coronata Curini-Galletti, Casu \& Scarpa sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:6E1A6CC2-C3CB-45Ø3-AB79-BE1F95ØØA636
(Figs. 4E and 5E, F and 6B, C and 7B, C; Supplementary Material S2)
Holotype. Lanzarote (Canary Islands): Mala, sand patch in front of small cavern, under loose macroalgae, coarse/shell gravel at -12 m (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811; Long. -13.44974935 ). One whole mount (SMNH-Type 8968).

Paratypes. Same data as holotype, two specimens sagittally sectioned (CZM 781, 782); three whole mounts (CZM 783-784).

Other material: Lanzarote: Mala, medium-fine sand at -20 m . (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811, Long: -13.4497494): one whole mount (CZM 785); Mala, inside a marine cave, very fine/silty
sand at -11 m (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811; Long. -13.44974935 ): one whole mount (CZM 786); Mala, medium-coarse calcareous sand at -48 m (08.10.2011) (Lat. 29.0834811; Long. 13.44974935), one whole mount (CZM 787); tidal pools near Orzola, coarse sand at $-0.5 /-2 \mathrm{~m}$ (14.10.2011) (Lat. 29.22225159; Long. -13.4494328), one whole mount (CZM 789); Puerto del Carmen, Playa Chica, inside the marine cave 'La Catedral', silty shelly gravel at $-29 /-31 \mathrm{~m}$ (14.10.2011) (Lat. 28.9190394; Long. -13.6685264), one whole mount (CZM 790); Puerto del Carmen, Playa Chica, in front of the marine cave 'La Catedral', silty shelly gravel at -33 m (14.10.2011) (Lat. 28.9190394; Long. - 13.6685264), three whole mounts (two with three specimens each) (CZM 791-793); Puerto del Carmen, Playa Chica, fine sand at $-11 \mathrm{~m}(14.10 .2011)$ (Lat. 28.9190394; Long. -13.6685264), the whole mounts (CZM 794-796).

Gran Canaria (Canary Islands): Bahia de Santa Agueda, medium-coarse sand at -0.5 m (21.10.2011) (Lat. 27.750529; Long. -15.642610), two whole mounts (CZM 797, 798).

Etymology. From Latin coronata: crowned. The specific epithet is coined after A. regina, A. imperatrix, and $A$. regisfilia, and refers to the crown-shaped cirrus of these species.

Description. Size, general habitus and topography of internal organs indistinguishable from cooccurring individuals of $A$. regina sp . nov.

Male genital system. Morphology of the copulatory bulb nearly identical with A. regina (Figs. 5E and 7 C ). However, the cirrus ( $30-37 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ broad, $32-40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ high, $N=9$ ) is not provided with a stylet, nor with any appreciable thickening of the basal lamina (Figs. 4E and 5F and 6B, C). With 5-7 irregular rows of 30-70 conoidal spines $(N=9)$. Proximally, a few spines $4-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, are present. The second row includes the largest spines, up $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (most commonly $14-18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) long. Spines progressively decrease in size distally: distal-most spines are $3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Some of the specimens have additional, very small, distal spines, 1-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. Only two specimens from Gran Canaria could be studied: they had 46 and 70 spines respectively, with proximal spines up to $14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Size of spines decreased distally, and distal-most spines were 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. In both populations, the longest spines are mostly straight, whereas medium-sized and small spines are
mostly curved. Similarly to A. regina sp. nov., specimens with the higher count of spines were those where the small distal spines were present.

Female genital system: topography of the system nearly identical to $A$. regina. The female duct, prior to its connection to the bursa, appears swollen and vacuolar (Fig. 5E). With an ovoid bursa, lined with a vacuolar epithelium, especially dorsally, where direct connection with the gut could be traced in a few specimens. Ventrally, the bursa is connected to the outside via a short vaginal duct, which opens to the outside through a vaginal pore, surrounded by a strong muscular sphincter (Figs. 5E and 7B). The female duct opens to the outside posterior to the copulatory organ through the female pore, surrounded with female glands. 'Cement glands' are present caudally.

Diagnosis. Species of Archilina with a cup-shaped cirrus, provided with 5-7 rows of 30-70 spines. Proximal spines are large (up to $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); they decrease progressively distally, to $1-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Without a stylet. With a vacuolar bursa in front of the copulatory organ. With a short vaginal duct and an external vagina in front of the copulatory organ.

## Duplominona miranda Curini-Galletti, Casu, Delogu \& Scarpa sp. nov.

 urn:Isid:zoobank.org:act:F936F6ED-2ØDØ-437D-8DFA-9733Ø8DDDFE8(Figs. 4F and 5G, H and 6D and 7F, G, I, J; Supplementary Material S2)
Holotype. Roscoff (France): medium sand at -16 m . (23.05.2013) (Lat. 48.732686, Long: 3.984793). One specimen sagittally sectioned (SMNH-Type 8969). Paratypes. Same data as holotype, four whole mounts (CZM 802-805).

Etymology. From Latin mirandus: admirable The specific epithet refers to the exceptional length of the stylet of the new species.

Description. Fixed specimens about 1.1 mm long. Unpigmented. Anterior tip rounded, without sensory stereocilia. Epidermis of the insunk type, ciliated all over the body, except for the caudal tip. With numerous rod-shaped rhabdoids all over the body; caudally, a few very large glands (gg2), at least $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, are present. Longitudinal subepidermal musculature particularly strong
ventrally. Pharynx nearly midbody, up to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long in fixed specimens. Pharynx ciliated apart from a small area at tip, where glands discharge. With two types of pharyngeal glands, extending greatly outside the pharynx. Oesophagus well developed, about $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long; pre-oesophageal area unciliated, very short (about $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ).

Male genital system. With about 10 testes in front of pharynx. The duplex-type copulatory bulb is surrounded by an extremely thick musculature: a thick layer of circular fibres ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick) externally, and few but very strong fibres, orthogonal to the previous, internally (Figs. 5G and 7I, J). The comparatively small seminal vesicle is separated by the prostatic vesicle by a muscular septum (Fig.7I); prostatic tissue poorly developed, surrounded by a thick layer of orthogonal muscular fibres, to which the sclerotized, basal rim of the stylet appears connected. Distally, the outer muscular layer becomes thinner and irregularly pleated and extends to about $2 / 3$ the length of the stylet, till the level of the connection of the bulb with a long male atrium. The rest of the stylet lies in a pocket, not surrounded by musculature (Fig. 5G). Inner muscle layer comparatively thin. Cirrus with an extremely long and slender stylet, surrounded by a sclerotized pseudocuticula and, more distally, by very many small spines (Figs. 4F and 5H and 6D). The stylet is 148-155 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long $(N=4)$, about $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at its basis, which is surrounded by a sclerotized ring $4-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick. The stylet is about $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across at its middle, narrowing to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ distally. The distalmost tip, for a length of about $10-15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, appears slit into very numerous, parallel threads. Due to the length of the stylet, it appears variously curved and deformed in the squashed whole mounts; it is regularly arcuate in the sectioned specimen. The stylet is surrounded by sclerotized pseudocuticula, and, distally, by about 30 rows of densely crowded small spines. Basal-most spines are about $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, recurve; in the middle they become more slender and more straight; distally, spines are straight and slender, about $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Distal-most spines are less sclerotized, and appear less acute. The male atrium is long, lined with a high, intra-nucleated epithelium, and provided proximally with strong muscular sphincters (Figs. 5G and 7I).

With a globular 'prostatoid' organ, about $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ across, containing fine glandular secretion, and provided with a slender, pen-nib shaped stylet $18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (Fig. 5G). The prostatoid organ opens to the outside with its own pore just anteriorly to the female pore (Fig. 7J).

Female genital system. Arrangement of vitellaria and ovaria as the previous species. Bursa very small, consisting of few vacuoles surrounded by resorbiens tissue, separated from the gut by a strong muscular sphincter (Figs. 5G and 7F). With a narrow vaginal duct, about $120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, lined by a high, intensely stained, apparently glandular epithelium, and surrounded by very strong circular muscular fibres. The vaginal duct opens to the exterior though a vaginal pore (Figs. 5G and 7G). The female duct continues posteriorly, and opens caudal to male and prostatoid pores through the female pore, surrounded by female glands. Cement glands present caudally.

Diagnosis. Species of Duplominona with a copulatory bulb surrounded by thick muscle layers. Cirrus provided with a narrowly tubular stylet, 148-155 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long and about $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at its basis, which is surrounded by a sclerotized ring 4-5.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ thick. Distal tip split into fine, parallel threads. With about 30 rows of densely crowded small spines, 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. With a prostatoid organ provided with a stylet $18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, opening with its own pore. With a small vacuolar bursa, a vaginal duct about $120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long surrounded by circular muscles, and an external vagina.

## Discussion

## Species justification

Three new species of Archilina described here have a stylet. A. regina sp. nov. and A. regisfilia sp . nov. are clearly distinct for the morphology of their stylets, as well as for number and arrangement of spines (see Figs. 4B and 5B and 4D respectively). A imperatrix sp. nov. has a peculiar spike-like stylet (Figs. 4C and 5D), quite unlike the other two species. Presence of a stylet is a rare character in Archilina, only present in A. endostyla Ax, 1959 from the Mediterranean, and A. stylifera CuriniGalletti \& Martens, 1996 from the Caribbean. Archilina endostyla has a cylindrical stylet 30-60 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long; the distal-most portion of its ejaculatory duct continues beyond the stylet and forms an apical
'crown' provided with numerous, small spines. In addition, it has a broad vagina, opening through a vaginal pore (Ax 1959; Martens and Curini-Galletti 1994). Archilina stylifera has a slender, cylindrical stylet, $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ high, about $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide at basis, which is not tapering significantly distally; cirrus spines are small $(2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. In addition, it has a vaginal pore, and a bursa placed lateral the copulatory organ (Curini-Galletti and Martens 1996).

Most of the numerous species of Archilina without stylet have a cirrus which is much longer than wide (Martens and Curini-Galletti 1994), unlike the nearly equilateral cirrus of A. coronata sp. nov. (Fig. 6C). Archilina palestinica Martens \& Curini-Galletti, 1994 from eastern Mediterranean, with a cirrus $50-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ high, and $25-30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide, is most similar to the new species. The arrangement of spines is also similar, with the longest spines, up 16-22 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, sub-proximally, and the shortest spines, 3-6 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, distally (Martens and Curini-Galletti 1994). However, in A. palestinica spines are arranged in more rows (8-10), are more acutely triangular in shape, and decrease in size distinctly more abruptly than in A. coronata sp. nov. (see Martens and Curini-Galletti 1994 fig. 5J, p. 138). Archilina palestinica, furthermore, appears restricted to the Levant Sea, where it is quite common in shallow waters, and has never been found in the rest of the Mediterranean (CuriniGalletti, pers. obs.), hence the two species appear geographically separated.

Quite a few Duplominona species have a cirrus provided with a stylet similar to the situation in $D$. miranda sp. nov. Among them, D. axi Martens \& Curini-Galletti, 1989 from Sulawesi, and D. sieversi Ax \& Ax, 1977 from Galapagos, have a spine-less cirrus (Ax and Ax 1977; Martens and Curini-Galletti 1989). Others (D. galapagoensis Ax \& Ax, 1977; D. karlingi Ax \& Ax, 1977; D. krameri Ax \& Ax, 1977, all from Galapagos; D. brasiliensis Curini-Galletti, 2014; and D. septentrionalis Martens 1983 from north-western Europe) have a stylet ranging 20-45 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (Ax and Ax 1977; Curini-Galletti 2014; Martens 1983), much shorter than the stylet of the new species. Only three species, all from the Pacific Ocean, have a longer stylet, although still noticeably shorter than the new species: D. samalonae Martens \& Curini-Galletti, 1989 from Sulawesi, D. japonica Ax, 2008, from northern Japan, and D. stylifera Sopott-Ehlers and Ax, 1985, from north-western

USA. $D$. samalonae has slender stylet, about $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, and its spines are in the same size range ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ proximally to $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ distally) as those of the new species. However, the cirrus of $A$. samalonae appears spiny all over its length, while it is spiny only in its distal part in the new species. Furthermore, the copulatory organ of A. samalonae is not surrounded by any noticeable muscle layer (Martens and Curini-Galletti 1989). D. japonica has a narrow stylet, 68-70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long (Ax 2008), and a cirrus that is spiny over its entire length, with spines about $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, much longer than those of the new species. Duplominona stylifera has a recurved stylet, $62 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, and a cirrus provided with few large spines, 7-8 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, distally. This species is particularly interesting because, besides the obvious differences in the sclerotized pieces of the copulatory organ, it has a female genital system nearly identical to the situation present in $D$. miranda sp. nov., with a very small bursa connected to a long vagina surrounded by strong muscular fibres (SopottEhlers and Ax 1985). Given the rarity of the characters and the occurrence of $D$. stylifera and $D$. miranda sp. nov. in different Oceans and biogeographical provinces, it is unlikely that these shared characters may support a sister-species relationships. Rather, it is plausible that these traits have been evolved convergently, in species with a very long and thin stylet. Unfortunately, no morphological reconstructions are available for D. samalonae and D. japonica, and the existence of a clear pattern cannot be confirmed.

## A Canarian lineage?

According to our results, the common ancestor of the three new species of Archilina from Canary Islands is dated about 8 million years (myr) ago (ranging 5-12 myr, Fig. 3). The styletbearing sister species $A$. regina sp . nov. and $A$. imperatrix sp . nov. diverged about 4 myr ago (ranging 2-7 myr). Since the emergence of both Gran Canaria and Lanzarote is dated about 15 myr ago (Van den Bogaard 2013), divergence times of the Canarian species of Archilina are not conflictual with the hypothesis that their lineage may have evolved within the Archipelago.

It is worth of mention that while the genus Archilina is quite well represented in the central and eastern Mediterranean, with nine species described (Martens and Curini-Galletti 1994; CuriniGalletti and Martens 1995) and many more still undescribed (Curini-Galletti, unpubl. data), species of the genus progressively disappear westwards, and none of them reaches the extreme Western Mediterranean. Furthermore, extensive research in Southern Portugal failed to reveal any species of Archilina (Curini-Galletti, unpubl. data). So far, the only report of a species of Archilina in the Eastern Atlantic is a record of A. papillosa (Ax \& Ax, 1977) for Northern Spain (Noreña et al. 2007). Archiloa papillosa has been described from Galapagos Islands (Ax and Ax 1977), and its presence in the Atlantic, let alone North-Eastern Atlantic, seems quite unlikely. In any case, $A$. papillosa has a long cirrus with very minute spines, all of the same size, and therefore appears quite distinct from the new species described here from the Canary Islands.

Present data thus suggest the existence of a hiatus between the species composition of Archilina between the Mediterranean and Canary Islands. The clear relationship, at least on morphological grounds, of the Canarian species with A. regisfilia sp. nov. from Cabo Verde Islands, suggests instead the existence of a lineage of Archilina peculiar to West Africa. It is generally recognized that the marine fauna of Cabo Verde Islands is linked to that of tropical West Africa, the Senegalian Province, while the Canary Islands belong to the Atlantic-Mediterranean fauna (Spalding et al. 2007; Bianchi et al. 2012). However, due to their geographical position, the Canary Islands host a not negligeable fraction of West African macrofaunal species (Rolán 2011). This case seems to apply to our new species, which appear related to West-African rather than Mediterranean faunas. It may be questioned whether the biogeographical subdivisions mentioned above, based solely on macrofauna, may indeed apply to meiofaunal organisms, or whether a 'meiofauna-based biogeography' may apply to all interstitial phyla. Unfortunately, present knowledge on spatial distribution of meiofauna is particularly scanty, and these questions are destined to remain unanswered for the moment.
"How the worm got its stylet"
A copulatory stylet is found in species of most genera of the Monocelididae with a cirrus, which constitute the "Duplomonocelidinae" Litvaitis, Curini-Galletti, Martens \& Kocher, 1996, a paraphyletic taxon (see Litvaitis et al. 1996 and Fig. 2 in present paper). However, it is not a particularly common character, and, in most genera, species without stylet predominate. In our phylogeny, the ancestral-state reconstruction is not conclusive whether or not absence of stylet is the ancestral condition for the Monocelididae, although a higher likelihood of absence is suggested (Fig. 3). In our group of interest, i.e. the three Canarian species of Archilina, which include two species with a stylet (A. regina sp. nov. and A. imperatrix sp. nov.) and one species without stylet (A. coronata sp. nov.), the analysis suggests a slight prevalence for the presence of a stylet as the ancestral state, basing on an 'anecdotal' strength of resolution ( 0.61 and 0.39 for presence and absence respectively). Indeed, in our phylogeny, the ancestral-state reconstruction for the character suggests the occurrence of multiple, independent events of loss/gain of a stylet within Monocelididae.

The Canarian lineage may help to understand possible pathways of the process. In Lanzarote, the most intensely studied area A. regina sp . nov. and $A$. coronata sp . nov. were the most common proseriate species. They were often extremely abundant, showing a remarkable tolerance to depth and nature of sediment, and they were found together in most samples. They appear identical in size, behavior, general anatomy and construction of genital organs, and could be recognized only in squashed conditions, where the presence/absence of a stylet becomes apparent although with difficulty even in this condition. The different construction of the vaginal duct (open in A. coronata sp. nov., closed with a 'plug' surrounded by a ring of thicker parenchyma in $A$. regina sp. nov.) might thus minimize the chance of inter-specific copulation. In fact, the stylet-less cirrus of $A$. coronata sp. nov. may face a mechanical block when trying to copulate with specimens of A. regina sp. nov, while the stylets of A. regina sp. nov. and A. imperatrix sp. nov. have the
possibility to pierce the trabecular parenchyma of the 'plug', and inject sperm directly into the bursa.

Hypodermic impregnation is known to occur, although rarely, in Platyhelminthes (Janssen et al. 2015 and citations therein). However, spermatozoa appear to be injected at random in the parenchyma, and move actively towards the ovaria (Michiels and Newman 1998). What is seen in our species, where the stylet is hypothesized to inject sperm through a loose barrier directly into the female system, seems thus uniquely evolved in this lineage. Although sections are missing, observations on the living individual of the Cabo Verde species, A. regisfilia sp. nov., revealed an area of loose parenchyma surrounded by a ring of thicker tissue, identical to Archilina from Canary Islands and this stylet-bearing species seems to present the same strategy. We hypothesize that selective pressure aimed to minimize inter-specific crossings may be responsible of the different morphology of the cirrus in these species. The stylet of A. imperatrix sp. nov. is particularly interesting, as its morphology hints to its close relationships with otherwise 'normal' spines of the cirrus. The modification of one of them into a funnel-shaped structure, capable to convey sperm, may thus happen without major re-organisations of the cirrus itself.

It may thus be asked whether the presence of a stylet is correlated with absence of a vagina. This does not seem to be the case. Our analyses (Fig. 3), in fact revealed to a strong support for the presence of both a vagina and a stylet as the ancestral state in the common ancestor of the family Monocelididae in almost any node of interest. It should be noted that the molecular sample is limited, and whole genera whose members lack a vagina, such as Promonotus Beklemischev, 1927, have not been sequenced. An interesting outcome of the analysis (Fig. 3) is that, in the common ancestor of the three Canarian Archilina species, presence of vagina as ancestral state (0.91 and 0.09 for presence and absence respectively) is strongly supported, and the 'plug' blocking a direct access to the bursa appears then as a clearly derived character in $A$. regina sp. nov. and $A$. imperatrix sp. nov.

## In any case, a role of the stylet in preventing inter-specific fertilisation cannot be

discounted. Duplominona miranda sp. nov. is of particular interest in this regard. Duplominona species are typical of tropical to warm-temperate habitats, with very few species occurring in higher latitudes (see Martens and Curini-Galletti 1989 and references therein). Only two species are known from Western Europe: D. miranda sp. nov. and D. septentrionalis. This latter species has a very short stylet, and an extremely short vaginal duct, leading to a bursa very close to the ventral surface of body (Martens 1983). Given the different construction of the female genital system of the two species, only the extremely long stylet of $D$. miranda may transfer sperm in bursa of a conspecific, which is quite far from the ventral surface. The two species may thus occur together, but be mechanically incapacitated to copulate. It is then not unrealistic to assume that the shape of stylet _may be strongly influenced by past or present sympatry with closely related species. Finally, species without a vagina and with a stylet should be carefully examined morphologically, with the aim to assess the presence of a 'plug' of vacuolar parenchyma ventral to the bursa, and assess whether the strategy here described is unique to the West African/Canarian Archilina lineage or is more widespread in Monocelididae.

## Phylogeny and Systematics

Traditionally, definition of genera of "Duplomonocelidinae" (clades A and C in Fig. 2) has given particular weight to presence/absence of a stylet-bearing accessory male-organ, known as "prostatoid organ", and secondarily, to the position and structure of the bursa-vagina complex. On these bases, combination of diagnostic features of the genera present in our phylogeny (based on Karling 1966; Martens 1983; Martens and Curini-Galletti 1994) are as follows:

Duplominona Karling, 1966, with prostatoid organ, and pre-penial bursa;
Duploperaclistus Martens, 1983: with prostatoid organ, and post-penial bursa;
Archilina Ax, 1959; without prostatoid organ; with pre-penial bursa, and external vaginal pore;

Archilopsis Meixner, 1938: similar to Archilina; with vagina interna, opening together with the male pore into a common atrium;

Archiloa de Beauchamp, 1910: similar to Archilopsis; without direct connection of the female duct with the prepenial bursa; with an accessory cirrus.

The rigidity of this system is apparent, and molecular-based phylogenies have not supported the monophyly of most of the genera above (Litvaitis et al. 1996; Curini-Galletti et al. 2010). This is particularly evident in the phylogeny presented here, where species morphologically attributable to Archilina are spread in the tree, as sister taxa of Archilopsis (clade A), or nested among species of Duplominona and Duploperaclistus (Clade C). In particular, the three Canarian species of Archilina form a clade that is the sister taxon of Duploperaclistus circocirrus, while the Mediterranean species of Archilina are external to this clade. In addition, the Caribbean Archilina stylifera is instead related to species of Duplominona from the same geographical area (Fig. 2) The close relationship of species, distinct for the presence or absence of a prostatoid organ, suggests that the phylogenetic value of this character has been greatly over-estimated. Previous studies suggested indeed multiple, independent events of loss of the prostatoid organ in "Duplomonocelidinae" (Litvaitis et al. 1996). Our analysis supports the presence of the prostatoid organ in common ancestor of the clade Duplominona miranda + Duploperaclistus circocirrus + the Canarian species of Archilina, suggesting the loss of the character in the Canarian lineage, an event apparently independent from its loss in the species attributed Archilina from the Mediterranean and the Caribbean (Fig. 3). It is thus apparent that present taxonomic arrangement of the Monocelididae does not reflect phylogeny. The merit of the existing system was to single out from a very large number of species, subunits of species with comparable morphology, making taxonomic comparisons easier. The option to join the existing genera of "Duplomonocelidinae" into a few, if not one single genus, could be thus phylogenetically sensible, but would make taxonomy intractable, and create a number of synonyms, such as Duplominona japonica Ax, 1988 and Archilina japonica Ax, 1988. We therefore postpone a thorough revision of the systematics of
"Duplomonocelidinae", until a more extensive dataset of species is available, and the delineation of lineages, which may be phylogenetically and morphologically sensible, possible.
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## FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Likelihood mapping of the 18S (a) and 28S D1-D6 (b) genes. The likelihood-mapping method (Strimmer and von Haeseler 1996) partitions the area of the equilateral triangle into seven regions. The three trapezoids at the corners represent the areas supporting strictly bifurcating trees, that is the presence of a tree- like phylogenetic signal. The three rectangles on the sides represent regions where the decision between two trees is not obvious. The centre of the triangle represents sets of points P (posterior probabilities of the unrooted trees) where all three trees are equally supported (see Schmidt and von Haeseler 2012). In this case it is depicted a strong phylogenetic signal.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree. Tree obtained by BI showing the interrelationships of the species based on combined 18S+28S D1-D6. The branch length scale refers to the number of substitutions per site. Nodal supports are indicated for both ML (Bootstrap Values - BV) and BI (Posterior Probability - PP) in the legend at the bottom right. The collapsed clade named "Monocelis lineata species complex" refers to the ingroup of the study case presented in Scarpa et al. 2016a.

Figure 3. Maximum Likelihood based Ancestral State Reconstruction (ASR) of the three discussed morphological features, i.e. from left to right, stylet, vagina and prostatoid organ. The Likelihood reconstruction method finds ancestral states that maximize the probability that the observed state would evolve under a stochastic model of evolution (Schluter et al. 1997; Pagel 1999). Values on internal nodes represent likelihoods of the different character states. Internal pattern of branches indicates the potential dichotomy for presence/absence of the character. Grey branches indicate unknown character state. Nodes labeled D1 and D2 have been dated to 7.97 mya, ranging 4.9412.38, and 4.20 mya, ranging 1.95-7.32 mya, respectively.

* More internal nodes present the same Likelihood values.

Figure 4. General organization of a live specimen of Archilina regina sp. nov. (A). Cirrus in inverted condition of A. regina sp. nov. (B); A. imperatrix sp. nov. (C); A. regisfilia sp. nov. (D); A. coronata sp. nov. (E); Duplominona miranda sp. nov. (F). Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 5. Sagittal reconstruction of the genital area (on the left) and cirrus in everted condition (on the right) of Archilina regina sp. nov. (A, B), A. imperatrix sp. nov. (C, D); A. coronata sp. nov. (E, F); Duplominona miranda sp. nov. (G, H). Scale bars: B, D, F = $10 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{H}=25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 6. Microphotograph of a living specimen of Archilina regisfilia sp. nov. (A). Cirrus of $A$. coronata sp. nov. in everted (B) and inverted (C) condition; Cirrus of Duplominona miranda sp . nov. in inverted condition (D); Cirrus of A. imperatrix sp. nov. in inverted conditions (E, F); Cirrus of A. regina sp. nov. in inverted $(\mathrm{G})$ and everted $(\mathrm{H})$ condition; Cirrus of $A$. regisfilia sp. nov. in inverted condition (I). Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure 7. Microphotograph of the genital area of a living specimen of Archilina regisfilia sp. nov. (A); A. coronata sp. nov.: sagittal section of female (B) and male (C) genital areas; A. regina sp . nov: sagittal section of genital area of specimens from Lanzarote (D) and Gran Canaria (E); Duplominona miranda sp. nov: sagittal section, at different focus, of female (F, G) and male (I, J) genital areas; H: A. imperatrix: sagittal section of female genital area. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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Supplementary Material S1. List of populations sampled. Accession numbers of sequences refer to GenBank codes. Accession numbers of newly sequenced taxa are in bold. The last three columns indicate results obtained by the several species delimitation methods used. Specimens with the same number within the same column has been ascribed to the same species. Note that numbers across columns and thus across methods do not represent the same species.

| Species | Locality | 18 S | $\begin{gathered} \text { 28S D1- } \\ \text { D6 } \end{gathered}$ | ST-GMYC | PTP/bPTP | ABGD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Archilina coronata sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Archilina coronata sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 97 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Archilina coronata sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 00 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Archilina coronata sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 86 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Archilina coronata sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 87 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Archilina coronata sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 88 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 83 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 84 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 89 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 91 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 92 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 93 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 94 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 98 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina regina sp. nov. | Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Archilina imperatrix sp. nov. | Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | MG7785 | MG7785 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Archilina imperatrix sp. nov.

Archilina imperatrix sp. nov.
Archilina imperatrix sp. nov.

Archilina endostyla Ax, 1959

Archilina endostyla Ax, 1959
Archilina deceptoria Martens \& Curini-Galletti, 1994
Archilina deceptoria Martens \& Curini-Galletti, 1994
Archilina stylifera Curini-Galletti \& Martens, 1996

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "Archiloa" sp. } 1 \\
& \text { "Archiloa" sp. } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Monocelis algicola Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis algicola Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016

Monocelis algicola Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis algicola Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis algicola Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis exquisita Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis exquisita Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis exquisita Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis exquisita Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis exquisita Curini-Galletti \& Casu, 2016
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

| Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Gran Canaria (Canary Islands, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Punta Ala (Tuscany, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG } 7785 \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 06 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Punta Ala (Tuscany, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 77 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 07 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Asinara (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG } 7785 \\ 74 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Asinara (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 05 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 01 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 02 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7785 } \\ 73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MG7786 } \\ 03 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| Cala Rossa (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Cala Rossa (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Cala Rossa (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Cala Rossa (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Cala Rossa (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Porto Puddu (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Porto Puddu (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Porto Puddu (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Porto Puddu (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Porto Puddu (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36464 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Colostrai (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Colostrai (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Colostrai (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Al Iskandariyya (Egypt) | KR36463 | KR36467 | 11 | 11 | 10 |

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774 Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774 Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774 Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774 Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774 Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774 Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

|  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Casaraccio (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Casaraccio (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Pilo (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| Porto Pozzo (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36461 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Porto Pozzo (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Porto Pozzo (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Porto Pozzo (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Porto Pozzo (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Porto Pozzo (Sardinia, Italy) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Charaki (Rhodes, Greece) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Charaki (Rhodes, Greece) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36462 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Ferrol (Galicia, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Ferrol (Galicia, Spain) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Kilmore Quay (Ireland) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36467 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Kilmore Quay (Ireland) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Keflavik (Iceland) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Tjärnö (Sweden) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Tjärnö (Sweden) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36469 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Tjärnö (Sweden) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | KR36470 $1$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Tjärnö (Sweden) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Tjärnö (Sweden) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36463 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Ardrossan (Scotland) | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36470 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Roscoff (France) | KR36465 | KR36470 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774
Monocelis lineata OF Müller, 1774

Monocelis fusca Örsted, 1843
Monocelis fusca Örsted, 1843
Monocelis fusca Örsted, 1843
Monocelis fusca Örsted, 1843
Minona ileanae Curini-Galletti, 1997
Monocelis longiceps (Duges, 1830)
Monocelis Iongistyla Martens \& Curini-Galletti, 1987
Minona cf trigonopora Ax, 1956
Minona sp. nov. 1
Minona sp. nov. 1
Minona sp. nov. 2
Minona sp. nov. 2
Minona gemella Ax \& Sopott-Ehlers, 1985 Minona gemella Ax \& Sopott-Ehlers, 1985 Minona gemella Ax \& Sopott-Ehlers, 1985 Minona cf gemella Ax \& Sopott-Ehlers, 1985 Minona cf gemella Ax \& Sopott-Ehlers, 1985 Minona cf gemella Ax \& Sopott-Ehlers, 1985

$$
\text { Minona sp. nov. } 3
$$

Minona sp. nov. 3
Minona sp. nov. 4
Minona sp. nov. 4
Minona sp. nov. 4
Duplominona brasiliensis Curini-Galletti, 2014
Duplominona miranda sp. nov.
Duplominona sp. nov. 1 Duplominona sp. nov. 2 Duplominona sp. nov. 2 Duplominona sp. nov. 3 Duplominona sp. nov. 3

Roscoff (France)
Helsingor (Denmark)
Helsingor (Denmark)

Ardrossan (Scotland)
Ardrossan (Scotland)
Ardrossan (Scotland)
Ardrossan (Scotland)
Great Bitter Lake (Egypt)
Al-Maharas (Tunisia)
La Maddalena (Sardinia, Italy)
Palau (Sardinia, Italy)
Faro (Portugal)
Faro (Portugal)
Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama) Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama) Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama) Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama)
Playa La Angosta (Colón, Panama)
Naos Island (Panama)
Naos Island (Panama)
Naos Island (Panama)
Playa de Cruz (Boa Vista Island, Cape Verde)
Playa de Cruz (Boa Vista Island, Cape Verde)
Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain)
Tenerife (Canary Islands, Spain)
Tenerife (Canary Islands, Spain)
Ilhabela (Brazil)
Roscoff (France)
Lanzarote (Canary Islands, Spain)
Faro (Portugal)
Faro (Portugal)
Naos Island (Panama)
Naos Island (Panama)

| 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36470 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| KR36463 | KR36468 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| KR36464 | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36468 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36461 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36465 } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36461 } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36461 } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36461 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{JN} 22490 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{JN} 22491 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KC97106 } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KC97108 } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36461 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KR36466 } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| KJ682346 | KJ682408 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| KJ682359 | KJ682421 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| KJ682360 | KJ682422 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| KJ682344 | KJ682406 | 19 | 19 | 14 |
| KJ682345 | KJ682407 | 19 | 19 | 14 |
| KJ682347 | KJ682409 | 20 | 20 | 19 |
| KJ682348 | KJ682410 | 20 | 20 | 19 |
| KJ682349 | KJ682411 | 20 | 20 | 19 |
| KJ682350 | KJ682412 | 21 | 21 | 20 |
| KJ682351 | KJ682413 | 21 | 21 | 20 |
| KJ682352 | KJ682414 | 21 | 21 | 20 |
| KJ682353 | KJ682415 | 22 | 22 | 21 |
| KJ682354 | KJ682416 | 22 | 22 | 21 |
| KJ682361 | KJ682423 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| KJ682362 | KJ682424 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| KJ682363 | KJ682425 | 23 | 23 | 22 |
| KJ682367 | KJ682429 | 24 | 24 | 23 |
| KJ682376 | KJ682438 | 25 | 25 | 23 |
| KJ682364 | KJ682426 | 26 | 26 | 24 |
| KJ682365 | KJ682427 | 27 | 27 | 25 |
| KJ682366 | KJ682428 | 27 | 27 | 25 |
| KJ682374 | KJ682436 | 28 | 28 | 26 |
| KJ682375 | KJ682437 | 28 | 28 | 26 |

Duplominona sp. nov. 4
Duplominona sp. nov. 4 Duplominona sp. nov. 4 Duplominona sp. nov. 5 Duplominona sp. nov. 6 Duplominona tridens (Marcus, 1954) Duplominona tridens (Marcus, 1954) Duploperaclistus circocirrus Martens, 1983 Duploperaclistus circocirrus Martens, 1983 Archilopsis spinosa (Jensen, 1878) Archilopsis arenaria Martens, Curini-Galletti \& Pucinelli, 1989

| Naos Island (Panama) | KJ682368 | KJ682430 | 29 | 29 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naos Island (Panama) | KJ682369 | KJ682431 | 29 | 29 | 27 |
| Naos Island (Panama) | KJ682370 | KJ682432 | 29 | 29 | 27 |
| Naos Island (Panama) | KJ682373 | KJ682435 | 30 | 30 | 28 |
| Blanes (Spain) | KJ682379 | KJ682441 | 31 | 31 | 29 |
| São Sebastião (Brazil) | KJ682371 | KJ682433 | 32 | 32 | 30 |
| São Sebastião (Brazil) | KJ682372 | KJ682434 | 32 | 32 | 30 |
| Roscoff (France) | KJ682377 | KJ682439 | 33 | 33 | 31 |
| Roscoff (France) | KJ682378 | KJ682440 | 33 | 34 | 31 |
| Roscoff (France) | KJ682380 | KJ682442 | 34 | 35 | 32 |
| Roscoff (France) | KJ682381 | KJ682443 | 35 | 36 | 33 |
| Porto Cesareo (Lecce, Italy) |  |  |  | 36 | 37 |
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 Archilina imperatrix sp. nov. and Duplominona miranda sp. nov.
Nucleotide positions refer to the sequences in GenBank, whose accession numbers are within brackets near to each species.
Marker Molecular Pure Diagnostic Characters
 $18 S 23$ (T); 30 (A); 104 (C); 338 (A); 339 (A); 388 (C); 501 (A); 502 (C)
$28 S \quad 635(T) ; 639(T) ; 666(A) ; 832(A) ; 834(C) ; 836(A) ; 963(A) ; 1004(T) ; 1029(A) ; 1172(G) ; 1476(G) ; 1499(C)$
 28S)

18 S 554 (C)
$28 S 623$ (C); 628 (C); 863 (A); 864 (C)
Archilina imperatrix sp. nov. (GenBank accession numbers: MG778548-51 for the 18S; MG778578-81 for the 28S)
$18 S$
556 (C); 575 (G)
$28 S$
493 (G); 573 (C); 627 (G); 658 (A); 871 (T); 1278 (T); 1497 (G)
Duplominona miranda sp. nov. (GenBank accession numbers: KJ682376 for the 18S; KJ682438 for the 28S)
$18 S$
15 (T); 36 (A); 65 (A); 69 (T); 71 (T)
$28 S$

