

Assessing the effectiveness of land restoration interventions in dry lands by multitemporal remote sensing - A case study in Ouled Dlim (Marrakech, Morocco)

Questa è la versione Post print del seguente articolo:

Original

Assessing the effectiveness of land restoration interventions in dry lands by multitemporal remote sensing - A case study in Ouled Dlim (Marrakech, Morocco) / Zucca, Claudio; Wu, Weicheng; Dessena, Leonarda; Mulas, Maurizio. - In: LAND DEGRADATION & DEVELOPMENT. - ISSN 1085-3278. - 26:(2015), pp. 80-91. [10.1002/ldr.2307]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11388/139997 since: 2022-05-23T12:13:05Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1002/ldr.2307

Terms of use:

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

1 **ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LAND RESTORATION**
2 **INTERVENTIONS IN DRYLANDS BY MULTITEMPORAL REMOTE SENSING –**
3 **A CASE STUDY IN OULED DLIM (MARRAKECH, MOROCCO)**

4

5 **ASSESSING LAND RESTORATION EFFECTIVENESS BY REMOTE SENSING**

6

7 Claudio Zucca¹, Weicheng Wu², Leonarda Dessena³, Maurizio Mulas³

8

9 ¹ Dipartimento di Agraria, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy

10 ² International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)/CGIAR, 11195 Amman,

11 Jordan

12 ³ Department of Nature and Environmental Sciences, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy.

13

14

15 Corresponding author:

16

17 Claudio Zucca

18 Università di Sassari, Dipartimento di Agraria, V.le Italia, 39, 07100 Sassari. Italy.

19 Tel. +39 079 213104 Fax +39 079 229222

20 E-mail: clzucca@uniss.it;

21

22

ABSTRACT

Atriplex nummularia has been extensively planted in Northern Africa to combat desertification. However, few studies evaluated the effectiveness of these interventions. This study aimed at assessing the dynamic performance of a number of *Atriplex* plantations located in the Marrakech province in terms of multitemporal dry biomass production. Three SPOT 5 images (2004, 2008, and 2012), and field biomass measurements were integrated to quantify the dry biomass production dynamics of plantations established from 1996 to 2007. Different plant ages covered the whole plant life cycle curve. Vegetation indices were derived from the images and those of 2012 were coupled to the measured biomass of 2012 to formulate biomass models. An analysis of shrub biomass production was conducted in plantations and in adjacent rangelands, covering varying degree of plant development, and an estimate of the economic benefits generated by the plantations in terms of available fodder biomass was performed. The results show that, on average, the plantation sites produced 2.21 to 3.61 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry biomass more than the surrounding rangelands. The best performing plantations yielded even greater differences, up to more than 7 Mg ha⁻¹. It was observed that the most performing plantations, while contributing to mitigating land degradation, have generated economic value and could compensate the economic cost of the intervention even under drought conditions. However, in several cases the plantation performance was far from sustainability, particularly due to poor management (early and/or over grazing), revealing that management is a critical factor for the success of this restoration practice.

Keywords: desertification, *Atriplex*, biomass, vegetation indices, rangeland, rehabilitation, assessment, monitoring.

INTRODUCTION

Desertification, as a consequence of both natural and human activity, is the major threat and challenge for human societies in arid and semiarid regions (UNCCD, 1994). Desertification is a complex issue, taking on different forms and processes in different ecosystems (Warren, 2002; D'Odorico *et al.*, 2013). Its direct causes can be mainly ascribed to land mismanagement, such as overgrazing, deforestation, inappropriate use of irrigation, and non-conservative agriculture practices (Thomas & Middleton, 1994; Geist & Lambin, 2004; Geist, 2005) which are driven by underlying forces such as policy implementation, demand of national and international markets, and poverty (Geist & Lambin, 2004). Desertification and land degradation can take place in different climatic regions of the world (e.g., Symeonakis *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2014; Izzo *et al.*, 2014). Desertification is particularly contributing to the depletion of soil resources in arid

58 and semiarid rangelands, increasingly threatened by population growth and overexploitation
59 (Cerdà & Lavee, 1999; Reynolds & Stafford Smith, 2002; Bedunah & Angerer, 2012).
60 The actions to combat desertification and land degradation can be broadly classified as
61 prevention, mitigation, and restoration interventions (Zucca *et al.*, 2013a). The restoration
62 actions often involve the improvement of vegetation cover through, for example, the
63 (re)introduction of adapted species, the control of invasive species, and reforestation. Soil and
64 water conservation are examples of prevention and mitigation and may include a range of
65 approaches, among which improved soil management (Zhao *et al.*, 2013; Mcdonagh &
66 Semalulu, 2014). Many technical options are available to recover the productivity of the
67 degraded rangelands, which are adapted to the different ecosystem conditions and local contexts
68 (King & Hobbs, 2006; Kinyua *et al.*, 2009). They include passive (grazing enclosure; e.g.,
69 Gökbülak & Hizal, 2013), and active strategies, such as managed and rotational grazing, control
70 of shrub encroachment (Fulbright, 1996), vegetation reseeding (Wiedemann, 1987) and planting
71 of fodder shrubs and trees (Le Houérou, 2000).
72 The development of analytical methods for evaluating the success of the actions to combat
73 desertification is considered as crucial by the scientific community (Reynolds *et al.*, 2007), and
74 is actively promoted by the UNCCD. However, land degradation and restoration processes may
75 produce complex and contrasting effects on the different ecosystem services involved, making
76 desertification assessment a challenging exercise (Sommer *et al.*, 2011; Zucca *et al.*, 2012).
77 Although an increasing number of scientific articles was devoted to this subject, particularly in
78 dry rangeland areas (Mekuria & Aynekulu, 2011; Thomaz & Luiz, 2012; Roa-Fuentes *et al.*,
79 2013; Kröpfl *et al.*, 2013), few studies aimed at assessing the effectiveness of the interventions
80 to combat desertification (e.g., De Pina Tavarez *et al.*, 2014). The available assessments were in
81 many cases carried out in a non-integrated way, e.g., by neglecting the social and economic
82 implications. Furthermore, they were often done on a small plot scale, and large scale
83 monitoring was rarely performed.
84 To conduct the evaluation over a wider area or region, the spatial observation tool, remote
85 sensing, should be employed (Rango *et al.*, 2002) as satellites can macroscopically and
86 periodically observe the rather large area or region of interest, and obtain multitemporal and
87 even time-series land surface information (Wu, 2009; Wu *et al.*, 2013a). Thanks to these
88 advantages, remote sensing has been widely applied to land characterization in dryland systems,
89 including land cover change, desertification monitoring, land degradation assessment, and
90 analysis of the of land management policies. That was achieved by applying the thresholding-
91 and-differencing and classification techniques on albedo (Courel *et al.*, 1984; Otterman &
92 Tucker, 1985), or vegetation indices (Malo & Nicholson, 1990; Tucker *et al.*, 1991; Evans &

93 Gerken, 2004; Wu, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2013; Wu *et al.*, 2013b), taking the response of vegetation
94 to rainfall into account, or by spectral unmixing approach (Shoshany & Svoray, 2002; Hostert *et al.*, 2003) A number of studies have demonstrated the quantification of the photosynthetically
95 active herbaceous and shrub biomass production in rangelands and savannahs either by the
96 integrated spectral vegetation indices of the growing period (Tucker *et al.*, 1985; Wessels *et al.*,
97 2007) or by the annual peak or maximum vegetation indices (Tucker *et al.*, 1985; Wylie *et al.*,
98 1995; Bénéié *et al.*, 2005; Wu & De Pauw, 2010; Wu *et al.*, 2013a, 2013b) through
99 coupling/modelling procedure. However, few remote sensing studies have focused on assessing
100 the effectiveness of human interventions, e.g., restoration/recovery through land management. It
101 is hence necessary to develop effective remote sensing-based approaches for such assessment in
102 a wider area, which can be, theoretically, undertaken by tracking the change in albedo or
103 greenness or change in biomass production. The latter is the direct indicator of land productivity
104 and is thus more relevant than the other indicators for this purpose.

106 This research targeted a specific type of rangeland rehabilitation intervention (fodder shrub
107 plantation) extensively carried out in Morocco to combat desertification. There, *Atriplex*
108 *nummularia* L. (Amaranthaceae; Oldman saltbush) plantations were widely implemented in
109 pilot sites, particularly in the Marrakech region since the 1990s. Such species, native to
110 Australia, was introduced in the northern Mediterranean area due to its resistance to aridity and
111 grazing, becoming the most important exotic shrub species utilized on a large scale to date in
112 the Mediterranean Basin (Le Houérou, 1992). It is palatable for livestock and provides a high
113 fodder production, green biomass for all-year grazing (Le Houérou, 1992).

114 The objective of this study was to develop a biomass-based remote sensing approach to assess
115 the dynamic performance and the effectiveness of those restoration interventions. Multitemporal
116 remote sensing data were obtained and field measurements were conducted for an integrated
117 analysis.

118

119

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

120

Study area

122 The study was conducted in pilot sites located in the Rural Municipalities of Ouled Dlim and
123 M'nabha (Marrakech region; Figure 1). The region is characterized by dry and hot summers
124 spanning from May to October. The average annual precipitation was 202 mm in Ouled Dlim
125 and 222 mm in Marrakech in the period 1983-2012. The annual potential evapotranspiration
126 (PET) calculated based on the FAO Penman-Monteith method (Allen *et al.*, 1998) is about
127 1590-1820 mm in Marrakech. The aridity index (P/PET) is between 0.098 and 0.166 ("arid"
128 according to UNEP, 1997).

129 The central part of the area is characterized by the Jebilet relieves, where schist constitute the
130 main bedrock (Huvelin, 1970). Relieves are gently undulating. Soils are shallow and degraded
131 because of overgrazing and subsistence cropping. Although cereal harvesting (rainfed barley
132 and wheat) is carried out only in particularly rainy years, in some areas soil is ploughed almost
133 every year for fodder production. Sparse individuals of *Ziziphus lotus* L (Rhamnaceae). and rare
134 *Acacia horrida* L.(Mimosaceae), along with scattered shrub species typical of the degraded
135 pasturelands, such as *Peganum harmala* L. (Nitrariaceae), constitute the perennial vegetation
136 cover.

137 In the study area *Atriplex* plantations were established along linear furrows made through a
138 ripper. Plant density varies between around 1000 and 700 plants per ha (with somewhat regular
139 planting grids of, respectively, 3 m ×3 m or 4.5 m × 3 m). The intervention were mainly funded
140 and carried out by the local administration with the participation of the local communities,
141 which were stimulated to create cooperative organization to better manage the planted land.
142 Typical plantation time is February-April. Plots are opened to grazing only at the end of
143 summer of the third year, to protect the earliest plant development phase. During the following
144 years the users are recommended to implement controlled grazing strategies. However, due to
145 poor enforcement and monitoring, grazing management may vary significantly across the
146 different beneficiary communities.

147

148 ***Atriplex* biomass sampling**

149 Field sampling and measurement were conducted in 10 plantation sites on 21-29 March 2012.
150 The *Atriplex* biomass of each site was determined in 5 plots including four to five plants (or less,
151 in case of missing plants) belonging to two plantation lines, and covering a surface of 50-70 m²,
152 depending on the planting density. The 5 plots were regularly located in a 2500 m² area (Figure
153 1). Plant size was measured by determination of the plant height and of two orthogonal plant
154 canopy maximum diameters (North-South and East-West). In consideration of the variability of
155 *A. nummularia* a plant shape was assigned to the plants: elliptic, spherical or hemispheric. The
156 canopy volume of the plants was calculated based on the recorded biometric data and to the
157 assigned plant shape. One plant per plot was sampled for fresh and dry biomass weight
158 determination. Data on fresh and dry biomass were used to calculate the mean quantity of
159 biomass per plot area, according to a procedure described in detail by Zucca *et al.* (2013b).
160 Overall, total fresh (wet) biomass (TFB; Mg ha⁻¹), total dry biomass (TDB; Mg ha⁻¹), and
161 canopy cover (CC; %) were determined in 49 plots. The centre location and the average biomass
162 of each plot were obtained and matched to the 10 m size pixels of SPOT images.

163

164 **Planted and non-planted polygons**

165 Polygons were drawn by means of the ArcGIS software to delimitate relatively large and
166 homogeneous plantations sites, both around the field plots and in additional plantations areas. In
167 all cases, control polygons of similar size were drawn in the surrounding non-planted (control)
168 rangeland areas (Figure 1). Care was taken in order to ensure the comparability between
169 plantation and reference polygons in term of bedrock, landform, and soils, based on previous
170 studies (Zucca & Previtali, 2007; Zucca *et al.*, 2011) and on the visual interpretation of the
171 remote sensing (RS) data.

172 The herbaceous cover was estimated along 50-m long linear transects in both the planted and
173 non-planted sites. The canopy cover of the woody wild shrubs (*Ziziphus lotus*) was visually
174 estimated for the non-planted sites based on high resolution satellite images.

175

176 **Satellite imagery and rainfall data.**

177 Three multitemporal SPOT 5 images including both multispectral (10 m resolution) and
178 panchromatic fused (sharpened) bundles (XS1, XS2 and XS3 with resolution of 2.5 m) dated 06
179 March 2004, 11 March 2008 and 27 February 2012 were obtained (Table S1). The period
180 February-March represents the peak greenness of the herbaceous vegetation. Monthly rainfall
181 data in the period 1983-2012 were obtained from the station of Ouled Dlim, in the study site,
182 and daily and monthly data from the stations of Marrakech, Safi, Essaouira, Kasba-Tadla, Beni
183 Mellal, and Nouasseur in the surrounding region. Annual rainfall data for the Ouled Dlim
184 station are shown in Figure 2.

185

186 **Image processing**

187

188 **Atmospheric correction**

189 The numerical level (or digital number) of each band (XS) of all SPOT 5 images was first
190 converted into at-satellite radiance (L_k) in line with the instruction of CNES (2012) by the
191 following equation (Eq. 1):

192
$$X_k = A_k G_{mk} L_k \text{ or } L_k = X_k / A_k G_{mk} \quad (1)$$

193 where L_k is at-satellite spectral radiance of band k ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1} \mu\text{m}^{-1}$), X_k is the numerical level (or
194 digital number); A_k is the absolute calibration coefficient ($\text{W}^{-1} \text{m}^2 \text{sr} \mu\text{m}$) and G_{mk} is the
195 electronic gain of band k . The combined $A_k G_{mk}$ can be found for each band in the head file of
196 images (Table S1). Then the FLAASH (Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral
197 Hypercubes) Model (Perkins *et al.*, 2005), which allows to correct both additive and

198 multiplicative atmospheric effects, was applied to conduct atmospheric correction and to
199 convert at-satellite radiance into ground reflectance.

200

201 Conversion of multispectral vegetation indices

202 Many vegetation indices (VIs) have been developed in the past decades. Those suitable for
203 SPOT 5 images are mostly 2-band VIs such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
204 (NDVI) proposed by Rouse *et al.* (1973) and extended by Tucker (1979), the Simple Ratio
205 index (SR; Birth & McVey; 1968), the Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI; Huete, 1988),
206 the Optimized Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (OSAVI; Rondeaux *et al.*, 1996), the 2-band
207 Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI2; Jiang *et al.*, 2008), and the Normalized Difference Infrared
208 Index (NDII; Hardisky *et al.*, 1983), among the others. These VIs were transformed from the
209 atmospherically corrected SPOT 5 images. Furthermore, a new vegetation index, the
210 Generalized Difference Vegetation Index (GDVI; Wu, 2014) with power number of 2, 3 and 4
211 was tested as it is considered to be sensitive to low vegetal biomes and to amplify the dynamic
212 range of the vegetal information in dryland areas (Wu, 2014). The formulae of the tested
213 vegetation indices are illustrated in Table 1.

214 Although the acquired panchromatic fused bundles have higher resolution (2.5 m), during
215 fusion or sharpening the original pixel values were changed. These images are suitable for
216 visual interpretation or classification but not appropriate for biophysical spectral analysis
217 including vegetation indices. That's why only the images with ground resolution of 10 m were
218 used for this study.

219

220 Spatial analysis

221 To understand the performance of the shrub plantations (*Atriplex*) in space and time, a cost-
222 effective way is to couple the field measurements with the greenness indicators derived from
223 satellite imagery, such as the vegetation indices. The procedure adopted in this study consisted
224 of the following steps:

225 *Grouping the field measurements*

226 Field measurements were undertaken in plantations of different age, and can be combined into
227 three groups:

- 228 • Young plantations (2006/2007) with moderate biomass development (YA-1), 10 plots;
- 229 • Young plantations (2006/2007) with good biomass production (YA-2), 25 plots; and
- 230 • Old plantations (2000/2002) with mature biomass development (MA), 14 plots.

231 *Interpolation of the climate data*

232 Annual rainfall and four months' rainfall (4MRF) prior to image acquisition, i.e. November to
233 February of 2003/2004, 2007/2008 and 2011/2012 from seven weather stations, were
234 interpolated using the Kriging approach, assuming that vegetation greenness in images was
235 related to the cumulative contribution of rainfall in the 3-4 antecedent months before image
236 acquisition.

237 *Extraction of vegetation indices (VIs) and rainfall (4MRF) data*

238 The field measurement plots were spatially overlaid to the vegetation indices and to the
239 interpolated rainfall data, and the values of NDVI, SAVI, EVI2, GDVI², GDVI³, GDVI⁴,
240 NDII, and 4MRF corresponding to the location of each sampling plot were extracted.

241 *Correlation analysis and multiple linear regression modelling*

242 A Pearson correlation analysis was applied to understand the relationship between the shrub
243 biomass (TFB and TDB) and CC, the VIs, and the 4MRF calculated for 2012.

244 Multiple linear regressions modelling at a confidence level of 95% was then performed to
245 couple the shrub biomass with the VIs, or with the combination of VIs and 4MRF data, to
246 construct biomass models.

247 Then, a test against the field measurement data was made to compare the relevance of the
248 different models in terms of shrub biomass prediction. The most relevant one was selected for
249 the successive shrub biomass characterization.

250 *Selection of the herbaceous biomass models*

251 Among the considered herbaceous biomass models, that of Devineau *et al.* (1986; Eq. 2) was
252 selected. This model was developed using the annual maximum or peak NDVI (Tucker *et al.*,
253 1985; Wu *et al.*, 2013a). Our case is similar, since we are employing images acquired in the
254 period February-March, representing the peak greenness of the herbaceous vegetation. The
255 model equation is reported below:

$$256 \quad B_H = 0.00216 (100 * NDVI)^{1.7} \text{ (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} \quad (2)$$

257 where B_H is dry herbaceous biomass.

258 *Estimation of shrub and herbaceous biomass in the planted and non-planted (rangeland) sites*

259 The selected shrub biomass model was applied to the VIs of 2004, 2008 and 2012 to estimate
260 the dry shrub biomass production (TDB, including both wood and leave) in the planted and non-
261 planted sites. Then, Eq.2 was applied to NDVI to acquire the herbaceous biomass in both
262 planted and non-planted sites based on the estimated natural vegetation cover data. For the
263 plantations where field herbaceous vegetation cover measurement was not covered, an average
264 of 14.1% calculated from the measured plantation plots was used.

265 *Biomass weighting and combination*

266 Biomass weighting (Wu *et al.*, 2013a) was conducted based on the shrub and herbaceous cover
267 in each planted and non-planted site. Taking a plantation polygon as an example, if the *Atriplex*
268 and herbaceous covers are respectively 80% and 14.1%, we consider that the total biomass of a
269 pixel in the given polygon is contributed respectively from the shrubs in 80% of the pixel and
270 from the annual herbs in 14.1% of the pixel area. The combined dry biomass of the given pixel
271 in the plantation polygon is calculated as $TDB*80\% + B_H*14.1\%$. Similarly, for any pixel in the
272 non-plantation polygon, if its natural shrub cover is 1%, the combined biomass would be
273 $TDB*1\% + B_H*99\%$.

274 *Total combined dry biomass comparison between planted and non-planted sites*

275 After weighting and combination, the mean dry biomass production at polygon level was
276 extracted and a comparison was conducted between plantations and rangelands to understand
277 the shrub growing performance and the effectiveness of the interventions to combat
278 desertification.

279

280 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

281 **Correlation coefficients**

282 Correlation analysis illustrates that all the observed VIs except for NDII are strongly correlated
283 with each other (Table 2). This correlation indicates that the major information carried by
284 different VIs derived from the combinations of near infrared (NIR) and red (R) bands is more or
285 less the same and VIs should be selectively input for biomass model development.

286 Table 3 shows that both total fresh and dry biomass, at hectare-level, are strongly correlated
287 with CC, VIs and 4MRF in mature plantations (MA), moderately correlated in young
288 plantations with good biomass development (YA-2), and weakly correlated in young plantations
289 with moderate biomass development (YA-1). TFB seems better correlated with VIs than TDB,
290 and SAVI is the best shrub biomass indicator followed by EVI2 in this case study, probably
291 because both SAVI and EVI2 have taken soil influence into account. In order to better
292 understand this aspect, the scattering of the data points for the different VIs vs. TFB and CC are
293 presented in Figure 2.

294 Surprisingly, the measured biomass of 2012 is also highly correlated with VIs of 2004 (Table 3).
295 Two reasons most likely concur to explain this phenomenon: one is that *Atriplex* shrub planted
296 in 2000 had already developed a considerable biomass in 2004, as confirmed by local breeders;
297 the other is that, due to higher rainfall, there might be more herbaceous vegetation mixed with
298 *Atriplex* in spring 2004 (also see subsection 3.4).

299

300 **Remote sensing shrub biomass models**

301 Multiple linear regression analysis allowed us to obtain two sets of biomass models for mature
302 plantations (MA): VI-based and VI-4MRF-based (Tables 4 and 5). To evaluate which of the two
303 sets of TDB models is more relevant for shrub biomass characterization, they were respectively
304 applied back to SAVI, NDII of 2012, and 4MRF of 2011/2012 to predict shrub dry biomass.
305 TDB-CC-4MRF and TDB-4MRF biomass models, despite of their high multiple R^2 values,
306 have a clear over- and underestimation due to their heavy dependence on rainfall (Table 5),
307 which is completely dominated by the distribution density of weather stations.
308 As for the VI-based models, TFB seems slightly better correlated with VIs than TDB (Table 4).
309 However, the TDB-VI models directly predict dry shrub biomass, of which the results look
310 better than those of the TFB-VI model when compared with the field measured data.
311 For the set of VI-based biomass models (Table 4), the evaluation of the results performed using
312 regression analysis reveals that the TDB-VIs model has higher accuracy ($R^2 = 0.855$) than the
313 TDB-CC-VIs model ($R^2 = 0.741$) against ground measured biomass. Thus, the TDB-VIs model
314 is more pertinent for predicting shrub biomass.

315

316 **Biomass growing performance in plantations and rangelands**

317 The polygon-level shrub-herb combined mean dry biomass of the years 2008 and 2012 for both
318 the planted and non-planted sites are shown in Table 6. The pixel-based combined mean dry
319 biomass (MDB) of both plantations and non-planted rangeland for 2012, taken as an example, is
320 presented in Figure 3.

321 Table 6 shows that the difference in the combined biomass production between plantations and
322 rangelands is strong as the observed maxima reach respectively 6.57 and 0.25 Mg ha^{-1} in 2008,
323 and 7.92 and 0.33 Mg ha^{-1} in 2012 in the planted and non-planted areas.

324 The biomass values in rangelands are generally low, reflecting a situation of widespread
325 overgrazing (Figure 4a). An average increases from 0.12 Mg ha^{-1} in 2008 to 0.22 Mg ha^{-1} in
326 2012 (Table 6) can be observed. Although the two years show similar rainfall conditions (Figure
327 3), 2008 is the second year of a longer drought period. According to the information collected in
328 the field, these conditions caused more intense and widespread overgrazing in 2008 compared to
329 2012. In 2012 the observed absolute rangeland productivity values range from 0.13 and 0.33 Mg
330 ha^{-1} , corresponding, respectively, to the very degraded land of site 11 (Chehibat), and to the
331 more productive soils of site 16 (in M'nabha).

332 The planted polygons show more complex behaviour (Table 6). If the youngest plantations are
333 considered (those planted in 2007), the 2008 biomass values mainly depend on the recovery of
334 the natural vegetation cover during the grazing exclusion period. The values range between 0.68
335 and 2.00 Mg ha^{-1} . They can be much higher compared to the surrounding non-planted land,

336 reflecting the different rangeland resilience. The 2012 data, ranging from 1.60 to 3.95 Mg ha⁻¹,
337 represent the biomass production of a 5 years old plantation. At this age the plantation is in full
338 production, since in the study area *Atriplex* plants reach their maximum green biomass
339 production at an age of 4 to 7 years. Due to the effect of grazing and aridity, the herbaceous
340 component of the observed biomass is much lower compared to the shrub one. So, the observed
341 values mostly reflect the different plant development, which is related to both the site fertility
342 and the management quality. Although the local authorities recommend grazing the plantations
343 only after the end of the herb growing season, this indication is often not respected. Site 20 is an
344 example of plantation implemented on harsh land conditions, where the mortality rate is high,
345 and the plant development limited (Figure 4b). On the other hand, less unfavourable and better
346 managed polygons such as site 28 (Figure 4c) show a considerable biomass production.
347 Two sites planted in 2006 (16 and 33) already show high values in 2008 (6.57 and 4.86 Mg ha⁻¹),
348 just before the end of the grazing exclusion period, due to the combined effect of fast plant
349 development and high herbaceous cover. These sites are located in very gently sloping areas and
350 are the most productive of the study area. Site 16 is characterized by a thick, very hard, shallow
351 calcareous crust, but after breaking by the ripper, the plant roots can easily reach the underlying
352 deep and nutrient-rich layers. Site 33 has a relatively deep, clayey and fertile soil, formerly
353 cropped for cereals and moderately affected by channelled water erosion. These two sites also
354 show the highest biomass production in 2012, particularly site 16, where a prominent plant
355 development compensates the herbs removal operated by grazing (Figure 4d).
356 Concerning the other 2006 polygons, sites 8 and 10 show good biomass values in 2012, and a
357 relatively regular increase between 2008 and 2012. Site 32 is an exception, characterized by
358 weaker development in both years.
359 Site 2 (2005) shows particularly low biomass values in 2008 (0.52 Mg ha⁻¹) compared to 2012
360 (2.03 Mg ha⁻¹). This is a documented case of mismanagement, where early grazing was carried
361 out during the 2007 drought, before the end of the grazing exclusion period, with severe
362 consequences on the plant development (Figure 4e). In the same period, the neighbouring site 1
363 was correctly managed (Figure 4f). The other 2005 site (14), along with the 2004 site (31), show
364 little or no increase between 2008 and 2012.
365 When the *Atriplex* plants are about 10 years old, they become senescent, produce less biomass,
366 and their woody fraction increases consistently. In fact, the highest average increase in biomass
367 from 2008 to 2012 was observed for the 2006 plantations (1.69 Mg ha⁻¹). For this reason, to
368 better record the plant life cycle curve, the 2004 image was also processed for the oldest
369 plantation polygons (sites 0, 3, 34, and 11). As discussed in the methods section, the biomass
370 calculation for that year is less accurate.

371 The 2002 site (11) shows a high biomass value in 2004 (4.35 Mg ha⁻¹), a performance
372 comparable to the second best site of 2006 (33). Although the soil fertility of site 11 is lower,
373 rainfall conditions during the two years of grazing exclusion were favourable (236 and 283 mm
374 compared to an average of 202 mm). During the following years site 11 was subjected to intense
375 grazing by the local breeders, particularly during the mentioned 2007-2008 drought period. The
376 behaviour of site 3 (planted in 2000) is similar, but with stronger decrease in 2008, and lower
377 recovery in 2012, associated to advanced senescence conditions (Figure 4g).
378 Site 34 constitutes an extraordinary success case. Here, due to favourable soil and rainfall
379 conditions, and careful management by the exploiting breeders, an exceptional biomass
380 production (12.66 Mg ha⁻¹) was observed in 2004 (Figure 4h), which continues to be high in
381 2008 and 2012, in spite of the plant age.
382 Finally, site 0 constitutes a unique exception. It is the oldest one, and has been subjected to new
383 extensive planting works during the years 2006-2010. Old, dying plants were uprooted, and new
384 seedlings were conducted, explaining the high value observed in 2012 (6.52 Mg ha⁻¹).

385

386 **Benefits generated by the intervention to combat desertification**

387 The objective of the rangeland rehabilitation interventions by means of *A. nummularia*
388 plantations was twofold: mitigating land degradation and generating income for the local
389 communities.

390 Previous studies analyzed some of the ecological impacts of these plantations. Zucca *et al.*
391 (2011) measured a significant increase in topsoil organic carbon under canopy (+32%),
392 contrasted by a larger increase in sodium adsorption ratio (+139%). Zucca *et al.* (2013b)
393 considered the ecological functions of the landscape by means of the landscape function
394 analysis (LFA) approach, observing that the young and well developed plantations have the
395 stronger impacts on all the LFA indices, although these effects are mostly linked to the localized
396 synergistic action of the plant-furrow association.

397 No study has been undertaken so far to quantify the economic benefits obtained by the local
398 communities. This research, by means of the areal quantification of the fodder biomass provided
399 by *Atriplex* plots, could provide a proxy estimation.

400 Although the multitemporal analysis was mostly based on two images only, the high number of
401 well documented plantation sites allowed for a detailed interpretation of the results obtained.
402 Furthermore, by using images related to two dry years (2008 and 2012), characterized by
403 relatively low herbaceous cover, it was possible to emphasize the contribution given by the
404 fodder shrubs to the overall dry biomass production.

405 On average, in 2008 the plantation sites produced 2.21 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry biomass more than the
406 rangelands (Table 6). This difference became 3.61 Mg ha⁻¹ in 2012. Well managed plantations,
407 without early and overgrazing, yielded greater differences, up to 7 Mg ha⁻¹. These values are not
408 negligible, considering that, when the plantations are opened to grazing, the majority of the
409 combined dry biomass is constituted by the *Atriplex* shrubs. However, the woody fraction of the
410 shrubs (stem and branches), which is not available to sheep grazing, accounts for 50 to 95% of
411 the total dry biomass (Zucca *et al.*, 2013b). It increases with the plant age and is influenced by
412 the site and management conditions. Poorly developed plantations, such as sites 20 and 25,
413 which produced only around 1.5 Mg ha⁻¹ more than the surrounding rangelands in 2012, can
414 provide a significant fodder contribution only when the woody fraction is still low. When this
415 value is high, as for sites 3 and 11 (respectively 90% and 95% in 2012; Zucca *et al.*, 2013b),
416 only very well developed plantations can still constitute a fodder resource.
417 On the other hand, considering an average woody fraction of 75%, several “good” plots showed
418 important yearly production of green biomass, around 1 Mg ha⁻¹ on average, and up to 2. This
419 production level can be maintained for more or less 5 years, from the end of the grazing
420 exclusion to the shrub senescence phase. This amount must be considered as a potential
421 availability, because part of the fresh biomass is not reached by the grazing animals due to the
422 height of the branches. In order to estimate the corresponding economic value, the experts of the
423 local DPA (Direction Provinciale de l’Agriculture; personal communications) applied the price
424 of a standard barley dose (3 Dirham/kg), after multiplying the dry green *Atriplex* biomass by a
425 factor of 0.45. So, 1 Mg ha⁻¹ would correspond to a monetary value of 1350 Dirham/ha, or
426 around 120 Euro/ha of potential income increase. However, the cost of the plantation
427 establishment is around 4500 Dirham/ha, or 405 Euro/ha. Furthermore, the community loses
428 income during the grazing exclusion period. Most likely, only in the case of the well developed
429 /well managed plantations (whose production is above the average performance) the economic
430 benefits are higher than the costs. The uncertain economic performance could be compensated
431 by the positive ecological benefits arising from the interventions. However, this would require a
432 long term land management strategy to keep the restored land under protection (either by new
433 plantations at the end of the plant life cycle, or by grazing control). Otherwise, overgrazing “as
434 usual” would soon degrade the land again.

435

436

CONCLUSIONS

437 The remote sensing-based diachronic assessment of the areal biomass production of the studied
438 interventions to combat desertification was achieved with the following conclusions.

439 Among the observed 2-band vegetation indices, SAVI was the most representative indicator of
440 the *Atriplex nummularia* biomass production in large areas. The investigated biomass
441 production dynamics were driven by the combined effects of soil and land conditions and
442 grazing management, along the life cycle curve of the plant. Although the plant life cycle is
443 short, well developed and well managed plantations could provide important fodder resources
444 for several years, e.g. with an annual production of 2.2-7.5 Mg ha⁻¹ more than the surrounding
445 rangelands, compensating the economic cost of the intervention. However, the plantation
446 performance in several cases was not sustainable, mainly due to poor management, for example
447 early and/or over grazing. The research confirmed that management is a critical factor for the
448 success of these restoration practices. To preserve the temporary ecological benefits generated
449 by the interventions beyond the plantation life cycle, an effective long term strategy would be
450 needed. Furthermore, a more comprehensive social and micro-economic analysis would be
451 suggested to perform a thorough cost-benefit analysis of the interventions in future.

452

453

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

454 Research supported by the projects C.N.E.S-ISIS552 “ARDE” and the EC-FP7 PRACTICE
455 (Grant 226818). The authors are indebted to the Direction Provinciale de l’Agriculture of
456 Marrakech, particularly to M. Mortaji, for the fundamental technical support. The authors are
457 grateful to M. Pulido-Fernandez and F. Fava for helpful discussion and support in field data
458 collection.

459

460

REFERENCES

- 461 Allen RG, Pereira LS, Raes D, Smith M 1998. Crop evapotranspiration - Guidelines for
462 computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. FAO, Rome.
- 463 Bedunah DJ, Angerer JP 2012. Rangeland Degradation, Poverty, and Conflict: How Can
464 Rangeland Scientists Contribute to Effective Responses and Solutions? *Rangeland Ecology
465 & Management* **65**: 606-612. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2111/REM-D-11-00155.1>.
- 466 Bénié GB, Kaboré SS, Goita K, Courel MF 2005. Remote sensing-based spatio-temporal
467 modeling to predict biomass in Sahelian grazing ecosystem. *Ecological Modelling* **184**: 341–
468 354. DOI:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.10.012.
- 469 Birth GS, McVey GR 1968. Measuring the Color of Growing Turf with a Reflectance
470 Spectrophotometer. *Agronomy Journal* **60**: 640-643.
- 471 Cerdà A, Lavee H 1999. The effect of grazing on soil and water losses under arid and
472 mediterranean climates. Implications for desertification. *Pirineos* **153-154**: 159-174.

473 CNES 2012. SPOT image quality performance. Document No. C443-Nt-0-296-CN. Centre
474 National d'Etudes Spatiales. [http://www2.astrium-](http://www2.astrium-geo.com/files/pmedia/public/r438_9_spot_quality_performances_2013.pdf)
475 [geo.com/files/pmedia/public/r438_9_spot_quality_performances_2013.pdf](http://www2.astrium-geo.com/files/pmedia/public/r438_9_spot_quality_performances_2013.pdf) (accessed in Sep.
476 2013).

477 Courel MF, Kandel RS, Rasool SI 1984. Surface albedo and the Sahel drought. *Nature* **307**:
478 528–531.

479 De Pina Tavares J, Ferreira AJD, Reis EA, Baptista I, Amoros R, Costa L, Furtado AM, Coelho
480 C 2014. Appraising and selecting strategies to combat and mitigate desertification based on
481 stakeholder knowledge and global best practices in Cape Verde Archipelago. *Land*
482 *Degradation & Development* **25**: 45-57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2273>.

483 Devineau JL, Fournier A, Lamachere JM 1986. Programme d'évaluation préliminaire SPOT.
484 PEPS No. 149 – SPOT Oursi. Centre ORSTOM de Ouagadougou, 52 pp.

485 D'Odorico P, Bhattachan A, Davis KF, Ravi S, Runyan CW, 2013. Global desertification:
486 Drivers and feedbacks. *Advances in Water Resources* **51**: 326–344. DOI:
487 10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.01.013.

488 Evans J, Geerken R 2004. Discrimination between climate and human-induced dryland
489 degradation. *Journal of Arid Environment* **57**: 535-554. DOI:10.1016/S0140-
490 1963(03)00121-6.

491 Fulbright TE 1996. Viewpoint: A theoretical basis for planning woody plant control to maintain
492 species diversity. *Journal of Range Management* **49**: 554-559.

493 Geist HJ 2005. The Causes and Progression of Desertification. Ashgate Publishing Limited,
494 Aldershot, England.

495 Geist HJ, Lambin EF 2004. Dynamic Causal Patterns of Desertification. *BioScience* **54**: 817–
496 829. DOI: 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0817:DCPOD]2.0.CO;2.

497 Gökbülak, F, Hizal, A 2013. Exclosure effects on recovery of selected soil properties in a mixed
498 bradleaf recreation site. *Land Degradation & Development* **24**: 266- 276. DOI:
499 10.1002/ldr.1123.

500 Hardisky MA, Klemas V, Smart RM 1983. The influences of soil salinity, growth form, and leaf
501 moisture on the spectral reflectance of *Spartina alterniflora* canopies. *Photogrammetric*
502 *Engineering & Remote Sensing* **49**: 77-83.

503 Hostert P, Roder A, Hill J 2003. Coupling spectral unmixing and trend analysis for monitoring
504 of long-term vegetation dynamics in Mediterranean rangelands. *Remote Sensing of*
505 *Environment* **87**: 183 – 197. DOI: 10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00145-7.

506 Huete AR 1988. A soil adjusted vegetation index (SAVI). *Remote Sensing of Environment* **25**:
507 295–309.

508 Huvelin P 1970. Carte géologique et gîtologique des Jebilet 1:200000. Service Géologique du
509 Maroc.

510 Izzo M, Araujo N, Aucelli PPC, Maratea A, Sánchez A 2013. Land sensitivity to Desertification
511 in the Dominican Republic: an adaptation of the ESA methodology. *Land Degradation &*
512 *Development* **24**: 486-498. DOI 10.1002/ldr.2241.

513 Jiang Z, Huete AR, Didan K, Miura T 2008. Development of a two-band enhanced vegetation
514 index without a blue band. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **112**: 3833–3845.
515 DOI:10.1016/j.rse.2008.06.006.

516 King EG, Hobbs RJ 2006. Identifying linkages among conceptual models of ecosystem
517 degradation and restoration: towards an integrative framework. *Restoration Ecology* **14**:
518 369–378. DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-100X.2006.00145.x.

519 Kinyua D, McGeoch LE, Georgiadis N, Young TP 2010. Short-Term and Long-Term Effects of
520 Soil Ripping, Seeding, and Fertilization on the Restoration of a Tropical Rangeland.
521 *Restoration Ecology* **18**, 226-233. DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-100X.2009.00594.x.

522 Kröpfl AI, Cecchi GA, Villasuso NM, Distel RA 2013. Degradation and recovery processes in
523 semi-arid patchy rangelands of northern Patagonia, Argentina. *Land Degradation &*
524 *Development* **24**: 393–399. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1145.

525 Le Houérou HN 1992. The role of salt bushes (*Atriplex* spp.) in arid land rehabilitation in the
526 Mediterranean basin: a review. *Agroforestry Systems* **18**: 107-148.

527 Le Houérou HN 2000. Use of fodder trees and shrubs (trubs) in the arid and semi-arid zones of
528 West Asia and North Africa: history and perspectives. In: Gintzburger G, Bounejmate M,
529 Nefzaoui N (Eds), Fodder Shrub Development in Arid and Semi-arid Zones, Proceedings of
530 the Workshop on Native and Exotic Fodder Shrubs in Arid and Semi-arid Zones, ICARDA,
531 Aleppo, Vol. I: 9-53.

532 Malo AR, Nicholson SE 1990. A study of rainfall and vegetation dynamics in the African Sahel
533 using normalized difference vegetation index. *Journal of Arid Environment* **19**: 1–24.

534 Mcdonagh J, Lu Y, Semalulu O. 2014. Adoption and adaptation of improved soil management
535 practices in the Eastern Ugandan Hills. *Land Degradation & Development* **25**: 58-70.
536 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ldr.1143>.

537 Mekuria W, Aynekulu E 2011. Exclosure land management for restoration of the soils in
538 degraded communal grazing lands in northern Ethiopia. *Land Degradation and Development*,
539 DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1146.

540 Otterman J, Tucker CJ 1985. Satellite measurements of surface albedo and temperatures in
541 semi-desert. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* **24**: 228–234.

542 Perkins T, Adler-Golden S, Matthew M, Berk A, Anderson G, Gardner J, Felde G 2005.
543 Retrieval of atmospheric properties from hyper and multispectral imagery with the FLAASH
544 atmospheric correction algorithm, In: Schäfer K, Comerón AT, Slusser JR, Picard RH,
545 Carleer MR, Sifakis N (Eds), Remote Sensing of Clouds and the Atmosphere X, Proceedings
546 of SPIE, Vol. 5979.

547 Rango A, Goslee J, Herrick J, Chopping M, Haystad K, Huenneke L, Gibbens R, Beck R,
548 McNeely R 2002. Remote sensing documentation of historic rangeland remediation
549 treatments in southern New Mexico. *Journal of Arid Environments* **50**: 549 -572.

550 Reynolds JF, Stafford Smith DM 2002. Do humans cause deserts? In: Reynolds JF, Stafford
551 Smith DM (Eds), Global Desertification. Do Humans Cause Deserts?, Dahlem Workshop
552 Series, Dahlem University Press, Berlin, Vol. 88: 1–21.

553 Reynolds JF, Stafford Smith DM, Lambin EF, Turner BL, Mortimore M, Batterbury SPJ,
554 Downing TE, Dowlatabadi H, Fernández RJ, Herrick JE, Huber-Sannwald E, Leemans R,
555 Lynam T, Maestre FT, Ayarza M, Walker B 2007. Global desertification: Building a science
556 for dryland development. *Science* **316**: 847–851. DOI:10.1126/science.1131634.

557 Roa-Fuentes LL, Martínez-Garza C, Etchevers J, Campo J 2013. Recovery of soil C and N in a
558 tropical pasture: passive and active restoration. *Land Degradation & Development*. DOI:
559 10.1002/ldr.2197.

560 Rondeaux G, Steven M, Baret F 1996. Optimization of Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index. *Remote*
561 *Sensing of Environment* **55**: 95-107.

562 Rouse JW, Haas RH, Schell JA, Deering DW 1973. Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great
563 plains with ERTS. In: Proceedings of the Third ERTS-1 Symposium, NASA SP-351(1):
564 309-317.

565 Shoshany M, Svoray T 2002. Multidate adaptive unmixing and its application to analysis of
566 ecosystem transitions along a climatic gradient. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **82**: 5–20.
567 DOI: 10.1016/S0034-4257(01)00346-7.

568 Symeonakis E, Karathanasis N, Koukoulas S, Panagopoulos G 2014. Monitoring sensitivity to
569 land degradation and desertification with the environmentally sensitive area index: the case
570 of Lesvos island. *Land Degradation & Development*. Article first published online: 3 APR
571 2014. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.2285.

572 Sommer S, Zucca C, Grainger A, Cherlet M, Zougmore R, Sokona Y, Hill J, Della Peruta R,
573 Roehrig J, Wang G 2011. Application of indicator systems for monitoring and assessment of
574 desertification from national to global scales. *Land Degradation & Development* **22**: 184–
575 197. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1084.

576 Thomas DSG, Middleton NJ 1994. Desertification; Exploding the Myth. John Wiley & Sons,
577 Chichester.

578 Thomaz EL, Luiz JC 2012. Soil loss, soil degradation and rehabilitation in a degraded land area
579 in Guarapuava (Brazil). *Land Degradation & Development* **23**: 72–81. DOI:
580 10.1002/ldr.1052.

581 Tucker CJ 1979. Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation.
582 *Remote Sensing of Environment* **8**: 127-150.

583 Tucker CJ, Vanpraet CL, Sharman MJ, Van Ittersum G 1985. Satellite remote sensing of total
584 herbaceous biomass production in the Senegalese Sahel: 1980-1984, *Remote Sensing of*
585 *Environment* **17**: 232-249.

586 Tucker CJ, Dregne HE, Newcomb WW 1991. Expansion and contraction of Sahara Desert from
587 1980 to 1990. *Science* **253**: 299-301.

588 UNCCD 1994. United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification in those Countries
589 Experiencing Serious Drought and/or Desertification, Particularly in Africa. UNEP, Geneva.

590 UNEP 1997. World atlas of desertification. 2ED. UNEP, London.

591 Wang T, Yan CZ, Song X, Li S 2013. Landsat images reveal trends in the aeolian
592 desertification in a source area for sand and dust storms in China's Alashan plateau (1975–
593 2007). *Land Degradation & Development*. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.1138.

594 Warren A 2002. Desertification is contextual. *Land Degradation and Development* **13**: 449–
595 459. DOI: 10.1002/ldr.532.

596 Wessels KJ, Prince SD, Malherebe J, Small J, Frost PE 2007. Can human-induced land
597 degradation be distinguished from the effects of rainfall variability? A case study in South
598 Africa. *Journal of Arid Environments* **68**: 271-297. DOI :10.1016/j.jaridenv.2006.05.015.

599 Wiedemann HT 1987. Engineering seeding systems for restoration of rangelands. In Frasier
600 GW, Evans RA, (Eds), Proceedings of the Symposium "Seed and seedbed ecology of
601 rangeland plants", USDA-ARS, Tucson, 292 -298.

602 Wu W 2009. Monitoring land degradation in drylands by remote sensing. In: Marini, A. and
603 Talbi, M. (Eds.), Desertification and Risk Analysis Using High and Medium Resolution
604 Satellite Data, Springer, pp.157-169.

605 Wu W, De Pauw E 2010. Policy impacts on land degradation: Evidence revealed by remote
606 sensing in Western Ordos, China. In: Zdruli P *et al.* (Eds.), *Land Degradation and*
607 *Desertification: Assessment, Mitigation and Remediation*, Springer Science, pp.219-232.

608 Wu W, De Pauw E, Hellden U 2013a. Assessing woody biomass in African tropical savannahs
609 by multiscale remote sensing. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **34**: 4525-4529.
610 DOI:10.1080/01431161.2013.777487.

611 Wu W, De Pauw E, Zucca C 2013b. Using remote sensing to assess impacts of land
612 management policies in the Ordos rangelands in China. *International Journal of Digital*
613 *Earth* **6** (Supplement 2): 81-102. DOI:10.1080/17538947.2013.825656.

614 Wu W 2014. The Generalized Difference Vegetation Index (GDVI) for dryland characterization.
615 *Remote Sensing* **6**: 1211-1233. DOI:10.3390/rs6021211.

616 Wylie BK, Dendra I, Piper RD, Harrington JA, Reed BC, Southward GM 1995: Satellite-based
617 herbaceous biomass estimates in the pastoral zone of Niger. *Journal of Range Management*
618 **48**: 159-164.

619 Zhao G, Mu X, Wen Z, Wang F, Gao P 2013. Soil erosion, conservation, and Eco-environment
620 changes in the Loess Plateau of China. *Land Degradation & Development* **24**: 499- 510. DOI
621 10.1002/ldr.2246.

622 Zucca C, Previtali F 2007. Sols et Paysages de la zone d'étude du projet SMAP dans la
623 Commune Rurale d'Ouled Dlim, Marrakech (Maroc). In Bellavite D, Zucca C, Belkheiri O,
624 Saidi H [Eds]. Etudes techniques et scientifiques à l'appui de l'implémentation du projet
625 démonstratif SMAP de Lutte Contre la Désertification. NRD, Université de Sassari, Italie.

626 Zucca C, Julitta F, Previtali F 2011. Land restoration by fodder shrubs in a semi-arid agro-
627 pastoral area of Morocco. Effects on soils. *Catena* **87**: 306-312.
628 DOI:10.1016/j.catena.2011.06.017.

629 Zucca C, Della Peruta R, Salvia R, Sommer S, Cherlet M, 2012. Towards a World
630 Desertification Atlas. Relating and selecting indicators and datasets to represent complex
631 issues. *Ecological Indicators* **15**: 157-170. DOI:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.09.012.

632 Zucca C, Bautista S, Orr BJ, Previtali F 2013a. Desertification: Prevention and Restoration. In:
633 Jorgensen S.E. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Environmental Management. Taylor & Francis, New
634 York. Vol. I, 594-609. DOI: 10.1081/E-EEM-120046343.

635 Zucca C, Pulido-Fernández M, Fava F, Dessena L, Mulas M 2013b. Effects of Restoration
636 Actions on Soil and Landscape Functions: *Atriplex nummularia* L. plantations in Ouled Dlim
637 (Central Morocco). *Soil & Tillage Research* **133**: 101-110. DOI:10.1016/j.still.2013.04.002.
638

639

640 Table 1: Vegetation indices relevant for SPOT 5 images and used in this study

Index	Full Name	Formula	References
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index	$(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_R) / (\rho_{NIR} + \rho_R)$ ρ_{NIR} and ρ_R are respectively reflectance of the near infrared (NIR) and red (R) bands	Rouse <i>et al.</i> (1973) and Tucker (1979)
	SR	Simple Ratio Index	ρ_{NIR} / ρ_R Birth & McVey (1968)
SAVI	Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index	$(1 + L)(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_R) / (\rho_{NIR} + \rho_R + L)$ Low vegetation, $L = 1$, intermediate, $L = 0.5$, and high $L = 0.25$	Huete (1988)
NDII	Normalized Difference Infrared Index	$(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{MIR}) / (\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{MIR})$ ρ_{MIR} is the reflectance of the middle infrared band (e.g. TM band 5 or SPOT 5 XS 4)	Hardisky <i>et al.</i> (1983)
OSAVI	Optimized Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index	$(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_R) / (\rho_{NIR} + \rho_R + 0.16)$	Rondeaux <i>et al.</i> (1996)
EVI2	Enhanced Vegetation Index 2	$2.5(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_R) / (\rho_{NIR} + 2.4\rho_R + L)$ $L = 1$	Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2008)
GDVI	Generalized Difference Vegetation Index	$(\rho_{NIR}^n - \rho_R^n) / (\rho_{NIR}^n + \rho_R^n)$ n is the power number, a non-zero integer of the values of 1, 2, 3, 4... n .	Wu (2014)

641

642

643

644

645 Table 2: Pearson correlation matrix of all vegetation indices (VIs) of 2012 in the mature (MA) plantations.

	NDVI	SAVI	OSAVI	EVI2	GDVI ²	GDVI ³	GDVI ⁴	NDII
NDVI	1.000							
SAVI	0.975	1.000						
OSAVI	0.997	0.990	1.000					
EVI2	0.984	0.999	0.995	1.000				
GDVI ²	1.000	0.976	0.997	0.985	1.000			
GDVI ³	0.999	0.977	0.997	0.986	1.000	1.000		
GDVI ⁴	0.997	0.978	0.996	0.986	0.998	0.999	1.000	
NDII	-0.205	-0.389	-0.273	-0.355	-0.206	-0.206	-0.207	1.000

646

647

648 Table 3: Correlation coefficients between measured fresh/dry biomass and vegetation indices (Vis) of

649 2012 (YA-1, YA-2, and MA) and 2004 (MA). MA, Mature plantation; YA-1, Young plantation, moderate

650 biomass development; YA-2, Young plantation, good biomass development.

Plantation group	Biomass (Mg ha ⁻¹)	CC	NDVI	GDVI ²	GDVI ³	GDVI ⁴	NDII	EVI2	SAVI	OSAVI	4MRF
YA-1 (2012)	TFB	-0.304	0.028	0.033	0.034	0.035	0.290	-0.125	0.155	-0.125	/
	TDB	-0.244	-0.158	-0.151	-0.154	-0.154	0.139	-0.058	-0.028	-0.058	/
YA-2 (2012)	TFB	0.347	0.474	0.486	0.502	0.519	-0.382	0.517	0.529	0.497	0.598
	TDB	0.343	0.408	0.416	0.426	0.437	-0.268	0.433	0.439	0.421	0.529
MA (2012)	TFB	0.972	0.789	0.787	0.784	0.780	-0.587	0.840	0.847	0.815	0.948
	TDB	0.951	0.742	0.740	0.737	0.733	-0.648	0.805	0.815	0.773	0.951
MA (2004)	TFB	0.972	0.905	0.895	0.878	0.855	0.331	0.923	0.924	0.914	0.923
	TDB	0.951	0.856	0.844	0.826	0.802	0.238	0.880	0.883	0.868	0.951

651

652

653

654 Table 4: Mature shrub biomass models obtained from the imagery of 2012

Models	Equations	Error	Multiple R ²
TFB-CC	$\text{TFB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -1.703+0.374\text{CC}$	± 1.281	0.945
TFB-VIs	$\text{TFB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -13.65 +195.048\text{SAVI} - 22.856\text{NDII}$	± 2.576	0.795
TFB-SAVI	$\text{TFB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -15.30+226.631\text{SAVI}$	± 2.897	0.717
TDB-VIs	$\text{TDB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -6.481+ 97.199\text{SAVI} - 16.106\text{NDII}$	± 1.417	0.793
TDB-SAVI	$\text{TDB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -7.644+119.452\text{SAVI}$	± 1.729	0.664
TDB-CC-VIs	$\text{TDB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -0.589-9.396\text{NDII} +0.176\text{CC}$	± 0.740	0.944
CC-VIs	$\text{CC} = -37.232+615.76\text{SAVI}$	± 6.576	0.784

655

656

657 Table 5: Rainfall-related shrub biomass models

Models ¹	Equations	Error	Multiple R ²
TFB-CC-4MRF	$\text{TFB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -210.036+0.164\text{CC}+2.178\text{RF}$	± 1.005	0.969
TFB-4MRF	$\text{TFB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -360.992+3.758\text{RF}$	± 1.155	0.955
TDB-4MRF	$\text{TDB (Mg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = -193.599+2.019\text{RF}$	± 0.847	0.919
CC-VI-4MRF	$\text{CC (\%)} = -740.312+93.937\text{NDVI}+7.642\text{RF}$	± 3.342	0.949

658 ¹4MRF is expressed in RF in equations.

659

660

Table 6: Comparison of the combined Mean Dry Biomass (MDB) between planted and non-planted (rangelands) areas in 2008 and 2012

ID	Plantation Year	Area (ha)	2012 MDB in plantations (A; Mg ha ⁻¹)	2012 MDB in non- planted areas (B; Mg ha ⁻¹)	2012 Difference between planted and non-planted (A-B; Mg ha ⁻¹)	2008 MDB in plantations (C; Mg ha ⁻¹)	2008 MDB in non- planted areas (D; Mg ha ⁻¹)	2008 Difference between planted and non-planted (C-D; Mg ha ⁻¹)	2008-2012 MDB increase in plantations (A-C; Mg ha ⁻¹)	2004 MDB in plantations (E; Mg ha ⁻¹)
20	2007	28.67	1.6024	0.1646	1.4378	0.6801	0.0814	0.5988	0.9223	
23	2007	56.84	2.7550	0.1650	2.5899	1.3879	0.0726	1.3153	1.3671	
25	2007	23.93	1.7460	0.1467	1.5993	0.7418	0.0962	0.6456	1.0042	
28	2007	10.27	3.9515	0.3072	3.6443	2.0094	0.1393	1.8701	1.9421	
8	2006	57.15	4.7901	0.2615	4.5286	3.1880	0.1257	3.0623	1.6021	
10	2006	68.58	4.2606	0.2038	4.0568	2.4202	0.1233	2.2969	1.8404	
32	2006	7.98	1.2158	0.1851	1.0306	0.2260	0.0929	0.1331	0.9898	
33	2006	2.98	7.5436	0.2037	7.3399	4.8556	0.1582	4.6974	2.6880	
16	2006	81.84	7.9187	0.3242	7.5945	6.5667	0.2545	6.3122	1.3520	
1	2005	17.14	4.1790	0.3326	3.8463	1.6718	0.1526	1.5192	2.5072	
2	2005	58.66	2.0343	0.1343	1.9000	0.5220	0.0666	0.4554	1.5123	
14	2005	27.93	4.6268	0.3106	4.3162	4.6056	0.2038	4.4017	0.0212	
31	2004	47.15	2.9603	0.2045	2.7558	2.0210	0.1342	1.8868	0.9392	
11	2002	79.99	2.4120	0.1251	2.2869	1.3612	0.0726	1.2886	1.0508	4.3462
3	2000	208.89	1.0685	0.1459	0.9225	0.3051	0.0516	0.2535	0.7634	2.8531
34	2000	173.75	5.5257	0.2224	5.3033	4.5325	0.1369	4.3957	0.9931	12.6578
0	1996	561.39	6.5183	0.2268	6.2915	2.6052	0.1117	2.4936	3.9130	8.0954
Mean			3.8299	0.2155	3.6144	2.3353	0.1220	2.2133	1.4946	

662 **Figure captions**

663

664 Figure 1: Location of the planted and non-planted reference sites (blue and red polygons with
665 corresponding numbers) and of the biomass sampling areas (green squares). In each sampling area,
666 five sampling plots were defined (red squares). Inset map: location of the study area.

667

668 Figure 2: Scattering points revealing the correlation between VIs and TFB/CC for mature
669 plantations.

670

671 Figure 3: Combined shrub and herb dry biomass in planted (dark blue outline) and non-planted (red
672 outline) areas in 2012. The grey background is the NDVI image dated Feb.27, 2012. Inset figure:
673 Annual rainfall in the Ouled Dlim station (cumulated amount September to August). The annual
674 rainfall of 2003-2004, 2007-2008 and 2011-2012 were labelled. The 2011-2012 value does not
675 include precipitations after the end of February 2012.

676

677 Figure 4: a) Sheep and goats feeding on herbs and shrubs in the study area rangelands. Photo by C.
678 Zucca, March 2012. b) Site n° 20 (Ouled Nejim). Plant development (in the background) is limited
679 by harsh land conditions. Photo by C. Zucca, February 2011. c) Site n° 28 (Draa Jebbar), showing
680 considerable biomass production after 5 years, notwithstanding the harsh land conditions. Photo by
681 C. Zucca, February 2011. d) Site n° 16 (M'nabha) has the highest combined biomass production in
682 both 2008 and 2012. Photo by C. Zucca, February 2011. e) Site n° 2 (Mena el Kahla) showed
683 particularly low biomass values in 2008 due to early grazing during the 2007 drought. Photo by C.
684 Zucca, January 2007. f) Site n° 1 (Dehar el Kidar), very close to site n° 2, and same age, but not
685 subjected to early grazing in 2007. Photo by C. Zucca, January 2007. g) Site n° 3 (Kdadra), one of
686 the oldest plantations, subjected to intense grazing. In 2012, most of the plants are senescent. Photo
687 by C. Zucca, March 2012. h) Site n° 34 (El Ahntri). Exceptional development observed in the four
688 year old plantation. Photo by C. Zucca, February 2004.

689

690