

Reinforcement of maritime pine cross-laminated timber panels by means of natural flax fibers

Questa è la versione Post print del seguente articolo:

*Original*

Reinforcement of maritime pine cross-laminated timber panels by means of natural flax fibers / Valdes, M., Giaccu, G.F., Meloni, D., Concu, G.. - In: CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING MATERIALS. - ISSN 0950-0618. - 233:(2020), p. 117741. [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117741]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11388/233058 since: 2020-05-05T09:32:54Z

*Publisher:*

*Published*

DOI:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117741

*Terms of use:*

Chiunque può accedere liberamente al full text dei lavori resi disponibili come "Open Access".

*Publisher copyright*

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

This is the Author's accepted manuscript version of the following contribution:

*Reinforcement of maritime pine cross-laminated timber panels by means of natural flax fibers / Valdes, M.; Giaccu, G. F.; Meloni, D.; Concu, G.. - In: CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING MATERIALS. - ISSN 0950-0618. - 233:(2020), p. 117741. [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117741]*

The publisher's version is available at:

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117741>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Manuscript Number: CONBUILDMAT-D-19-05057R1

Title: Reinforcement of maritime pine cross-laminated timber panels by means of natural flax fibers

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: Cross Laminated Timber panels; Reinforcement with natural fibers; Strength increment; Stiffness increment.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Gian Felice Giaccu, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Sassari

First Author: Monica Valdes, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: Monica Valdes, Ph.D.; Gian Felice Giaccu, Ph.D.; Daniel Meloni, Ph.D.; Giovanna Concu, Ph.D.

Abstract: The present paper shows the first results of an ongoing research aimed at studying the potentiality of the combination of laminated timber and natural fibers to obtain high-performance structural elements. The experimentation conducted has involved a set of cross laminated timber (CLT) panels made of Sardinian maritime pine externally reinforced with natural flax fibers. A bending test program has been carried out on two CLT layouts, three-layers and five-layers panels, for a total of 34 specimens. Three-layers panels have been tested with single and double strip of flax fibers aiming to evaluate any difference in the rupture mechanism, capacity and stiffness. Proposed technique allows a straightforward application on the intrados of the panel, ensuring an increasing of its capacity and stiffness. Results show that in case of a one layout there is a significant increment of load-carrying capacity and stiffness, whilst for the second layout beneficial effects of reinforcement are less evident. Variations of the failure mechanism of reinforced panels have been observed.

# Reinforcement of maritime pine cross-laminated timber panels by means of natural flax fibers

Monica Valdes<sup>a</sup>, Gian Felice Giaccu<sup>b\*</sup>, Daniel Meloni<sup>a</sup>, Giovanna Concu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture, University of Cagliari, Italy.*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Architecture, Design and Urban Planning, University of Sassari, Palazzo del PouSalit, Piazza Duomo 6, 07041 Alghero, Italy.*

## Abstract

The present paper shows the first results of an ongoing research aimed at studying the potentiality of the combination of laminated timber and natural fibers to obtain high-performance structural elements. The experimentation conducted has involved a set of Cross Laminated Timber (CLT) panels made of maritime pine grown in Sardinia (Italy) and externally reinforced with natural flax fibers fabrics. A bending test program has been carried out on two CLT layouts, three-layers and five-layers panels, for a total of 34 specimens. Three-layers panels have been tested with single and double strip of flax fibers aiming to evaluate any difference in the rupture mechanism, capacity and stiffness. The proposed technique allows a straightforward application on the intrados of the panel, aimed at increasing its capacity and stiffness. Results show that in case of [three-layers panels](#) a significant increment of load-carrying capacity and stiffness has been achieved, whilst for five-layers panels the effectiveness of the [reinforcement is negligible](#). Variations in the failure mechanisms of reinforced panels have been discussed.

Keywords: Cross Laminated Timber panels, Reinforcement with natural fibers, Strength increment, Stiffness increment.

\* Corresponding author: Gian Felice Giaccu, Department of Architecture, Design and Urban Planning, University of Sassari, Palazzo del PouSalit, Piazza Duomo 6, 07041 Alghero, Italy. E-mail address: gf.giaccu@uniss.it

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 Production of Cross Laminated Timber (CLT) is recently increasing due to its remarkable  
3 advantages [1]. CLT technology is economical and particularly effective for modular buildings,  
4 since CLT panels can be effectively utilized for both horizontal and vertical elements [2];  
5 moreover, CLT technology, due to its ease of assembly, can be employed for assembling  
6 prefabricated panels in case of large spans and guaranties a rapid construction [3]. *If properly  
7 designed, CLT technology has good performance when exposed to fire [4], and the construction  
8 system is safe in case of earthquakes [5, 6].*

9 CLT technology has been developed in Europe in the 1990s and consists of solid wood panels,  
10 made at least of three cross-bonded layers of solid timber boards [7-9]. The wood species typically  
11 utilized for this technology are spruce and fir.

12 In recent years, different wood species have been investigated aiming to consider their  
13 application for Glue Laminated Timber (glulam or GLT) or CLT structural elements. Frese and  
14 Blaß derived the characteristics in bending of beech glulam [10]; Castro and Paganini investigated  
15 the properties of small sized joint-free composed laminated beams of poplar and eucalyptus in  
16 different combinations [11]; the feasibility of manufacturing three-layer Cross Laminated Timber  
17 using fast-grown small diameter eucalyptus wood was evaluated by Yuchao Liao et al. [12]and by  
18 Pangh et al. [13]; Minjuan He et al. [14] studied the bending and compressive properties of CLT  
19 panels made from Canadian hemlock; maritime pine from Sardinia (Italy) and radiata pine from  
20 New Zealand to be used in CLT have been studied by Concu et al. [15], Giaccu et al. [16] and  
21 Fortune et al. [17] respectively.

22 *With increasing efforts to promote the use of sustainable materials such as timber in the  
23 construction industry, considerable potentiality is associated with the use of low-grade timber in*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 CLT panels because the lamination and the system effect in CLT production reduce the influence  
2 of the irregularities of timber due to its organic nature, such as geometrical defects (e.g. wane,  
3 warp), strength reducing defects (knots, slope of grain, density, rate of growth, fissures), biological  
4 damage (fungal and insect) and other characteristics (e.g. reaction wood) [18-20]. As an example,  
5 Cherry et al. discussed in [21] new challenges and developments for incorporating of out-of-grade  
6 sawn pine in CLT building systems, while Colin et al. discussed in [22] the novel concept of  
7 reusing secondary timber as feedstock for CLT.

8 This attention to sustainability, reuse and restoring of construction materials, on one hand is  
9 encouraging new studies on restoring and reinforcing of existing timber structures, on the other  
10 hand is exploiting the possibility of manufacturing new composite structural timber elements.

11 In this field various studies regarding the strengthening of timber elements by using Fiber  
12 Reinforced Polymers (FRP) based on epoxy resins and artificial fibers have been published,  
13 several of which were focused on resins-timber bonding properties [23-25]. In Italy guidelines  
14 have been issued by the National Research Council [26], providing preliminary provisions on the  
15 design of FRP reinforcements for timber elements. Results of investigations [23, 27] show that  
16 there are improvements in the performances of timber elements and advantages in terms of strength  
17 and to a lesser extent of stiffness properties. Different tests have been carried out concerning  
18 various types of artificial carbon and glass fibers reinforcements, with and without pre-stressing,  
19 highlighting beneficial effects on solid beams [27-31] and glulam beams [32-35]. Moreover, recent  
20 studies on shear reinforcements have been performed [23, 29, 36], dealing with the improvement  
21 of the load-carrying capacity of existing timber beams by means of external reinforcements, such  
22 as FRP plates or fabrics [37], or internal reinforcements, such as FRP rods and pins [30]. Recently,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 flexural strengthening consisting in steel fibers cords glued in the wooden beams have also been  
2 tested [38].

3 However, the increase in awareness of the need of environmentally sustainable products is  
4 leading to the use of natural materials, readily available and more environment-friendly than  
5 products based on artificial/chemical fibers. Composite materials based on natural fibers have  
6 several advantages due to their straightforward application, low production costs, renewability and  
7 biodegradability [39]. In addition, natural fibers ensure several advantages in terms of mechanical  
8 properties such as tensile strength and lightness. Borri et al. [40, 41] carried out an experimental  
9 campaign on timber elements reinforced in the tension zone through the application of strips of  
10 natural flax, hemp, bamboo and basalt fibers; Speranzini and Tralascia [42] performed  
11 experimental tests on elements made of LVL wood and elements in solid wood reinforced with  
12 FRP in natural fibers of basalt, flax and hemp; Moezzi pour et al. [43] investigated the reinforcing  
13 effect of date palm and kenaf fibers on practical properties of plywood manufactured from horn  
14 beam wood (*Carpinus Betulus*); Raftery and Kelly [44] carried out an experimental test program  
15 in which the low-grade GLT has been reinforced using bonded-in basalt FRP (BFRP) rods, while  
16 Pengyi et al. [45] tested the reinforcing effect of BFRP sheets on glulam beams; Carvalho et al.  
17 [46] presented an experimental study of *Eucalyptus Grandis* and *Pinus Elliiottii* timber beams  
18 reinforced with sisal fibers laminated composite materials and Mascia et al. [47] performed  
19 bending test on Glulam beams of *Pinus* species reinforced by Sisal fibers.

20 To the authors knowledge, literature doesn't show evidences of FRP reinforcements applied  
21 on CLT panels, least of all natural fiber reinforcements, since CLT construction technology is  
22 rather new; moreover, the authors consider of some interest the possibility of providing such  
23 reinforcement on existing CLT slabs and walls in case of design errors, or local stress

1  
2  
3  
4 1 concentrations due to openings (e.g. for staircases) and other geometrical irregularities, for which  
5  
6 2 the proposed reinforcement approach would allow an effective solution.  
7  
8

9 3 In the present work, CLT specimens made of maritime pine (*Pinus Pinaster*) timber grown in  
10  
11 4 Sardinia have been reinforced with external flexural reinforcement by means of one and two layers  
12  
13  
14 5 of flax fabrics fibers. The specimens have been therefore tested aiming to investigate their  
15  
16 6 performances in terms of load-carrying capacity, deflection and rupture mechanism. Both three  
17  
18 7 and five-layers panels were tested, in order to detect any difference in their mechanical behavior  
19  
20  
21 8 and in the effectiveness of the flexural reinforcement.  
22  
23  
24

## 25 9 **2. Materials**


26  
27  
28 10 Experimental tests have been extended to a total number of 34 CLT panels made of maritime  
29  
30 11 pine boards previously graded according to the visual strength rules developed at the Department  
31  
32  
33 12 of Civil, Environmental Engineering and Architecture (DICAAR) of University of Cagliari in  
34  
35 13 cooperation with the CNR IVALSAs of Florence, [15, 16, 18, 48] according to UNI 11035-1 [49]  
36  
37  
38 14 and UNI 11035-2 [50]. Two series of panels have been examined: three-layers panels (60-PF),  
39  
40 15 both non-reinforced and externally reinforced with a single or double layer of flax fibers fabrics,  
41  
42 16 and five-layers panels (100-PF), both non-reinforced and reinforced with a single layer of  
43  
44  
45 17 reinforcement.  
46

47 18 A direct comparison between reinforced and non-reinforced panels has been carried out in the  
48  
49  
50 19 present work. Moreover, the two typologies of reinforced CLT panels (three and five layers lay-  
51  
52 20 out) were investigated and compared aiming at pointing out any difference in the effects provided  
53  
54  
55 21 by the reinforcement.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65


1 2.1 Timber

2 CLT specimens are composed by layers of finger-jointed maritime pine boards, crosswise arranged  
3 and glued together. Boards have been previously graded and sorted into strength classes according  
4 to EN 338 [51] as C16 (outer and central layers for 100-PF, outer layers for 60-PF) and C14 (inner  
5 layers). Mutual adhesion of layers was secured by applying a pressure of 0.1 MPa by means of a  
6 vacuum press. The panels manufacturing took place at the Area Legno Factory in Pescara (Italy).  
7 Panels layout is shown in Fig.1.



11 **Fig. 1.** Scheme of (a) three-layers 60-PF CLT panel (b) five-layers 100-PF CLT panel.

12 Cross-section features and main properties of the CLT tested specimen are shown in Table 1 and  
13 Fig.2 respectively.



16 **Fig. 2.** Cross section features of the considered CLT specimens: (a) 60-PF and (b) 100-PF


18 **Table 1**  
19 Specimen properties.

Series	Layers	Boards thickness	Specimen length	Specimen width	Specimen thickness	Boards strength class
60-PF	3	20 mm	1280 mm	240 mm	60 mm	C16 outer layers

						C14 inner layers
100-PF	5	20 mm	2000 mm	240 mm	100 mm	C16 outer layers
						C16 central layer
						C14 inner layers

## 2.2 Fibers

Materials for fibers reinforcements were provided by Innovation s.r.l. (Fidia - Technical Global Services). Reinforcements are made by a high-strength balanced mesh fabric, highly stable due to the particular weave and side seams. The bidirectional flax fibers fabric FIDFLAX GRID 300 HS20<sup>®</sup> was used supplied in roll of 1 m in width and 15m in length. Physical and mechanical properties of fabric have been recovered from the manufacturer technical sheets and are resumed in Table 2 and Table 3, while Fig. 3 shows some of the steps of the reinforcement application on CLT specimen.



(a)



(b)



**Fig. 3.** Application steps of the reinforcement on a CLT specimen (a) flax fiber, (b) fiber positioning, (c) resin application.

**Table 2**  
Properties of dried fabric (from manufacturer).

Flax fiber (yarn)	
Tensile strength	512 MPa
MOE	21.4GPa
Density	1.5 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Ultimate Strain	3.27%

The flax fabric has been applied at the intrados of the CLT specimen strip by using FIDSATURANT HM-T, a solvent-free product based on a bi-component thixotropic epoxy resin. Component A is of a milky color with a viscosity of 350 GPa and density of 0.97 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, component B is black with a viscosity of 300 GPa and density 1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The mixture of the two components provides a dark gray resin with a density of 1.1 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

**Table 3**  
Properties of impregnated fabric (from manufacturer).

Impregnated fabric	
Number of wires/cm	4.3/cm
Mass	300g/m <sup>2</sup>
Equivalent thickness of FRP	0.1mm
Characteristic tensile strength of FRP	459MPa
MOE	20GPa
Ultimate strainat rupture of FRP	1.74%

The primer FIDPRIMER has been applied on the CLT specimen surface first. It is a solvent-free bi-component thixotropic epoxy resin, whose use is suggested by the manufacturer in order to ensure a good bonding of the reinforcement to the support according to the hypothesis of perfect adhesion between the two materials.

The resins have high mechanical performances for tensile and compression stresses and their main properties are reported in Tables 4 and 5 respectively.

**Table 4**  
Properties of mixed resin (from supplier).

Name	FIDSATURANT HM-T
Workability time	15 [min]
Frost time	50 [min]
Exothermic peak	160 [C°]
Cross-linking time	15 [h]

**Table 5**  
Properties of primer (from supplier).

Name	FIDPRIMER
Workability time	30 [min]
Frost time	60 [min]
Exothermic peak	190 [C°]
Cross-linking time	5 [h]

### 3. Bending test on CLT specimens


A total number of 34 CLT specimens have been bending-tested according to EN 408 [52]. Characteristics of the samples are shown in Table 6. The experimental set-up has been arranged in the laboratory of DICAAR.

**Table 6**  
Tested specimens.


Series	Sample size	Non-reinforced sample size	Specimens with one-layer reinforcement	Specimens with two-layer reinforcement
60-PF	20	7	9	4

100-PF	14	7	7	0
--------	----	---	---	---

Specimens have been tested, as shown in Fig.4, under four-point loading apparatus; loading has been increased monotonically until rupture by means of a hydraulic jack; vertical displacements have been measured by means of a Linear Displacement Transducer (LDT) placed in the mid span, at the center of the specimen tension edge. The technical features of the used LDT are: nominal displacement 50 mm, nominal sensitivity 2 mV/V, sensitivity tolerance  $\pm 0.1\%$ , measure resolution 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . Both loads and displacements were recorded while strength and stiffness of the specimens were calculated afterwards.



(a)



(b)

**Fig. 4.** Schematic view of loading configuration for bending tests of CLT specimens. (a) 60-PF specimens, (b) 100-PF specimens.

The specimens were first loaded at a low loading level (around 40% of the estimated ultimate load  $F_u$ ), in order to evaluate displacements and bending stiffness in the linear behavior range, then the LDT was removed to avoid damages in case of sudden collapse and load was increased until failure. Specimen mid-span displacements after the LDT removal were extracted automatically from the test machine jack vertical displacements.

A global flexural stiffness  $K=\Delta F/\Delta w$ (N/mm) was derived from bending tests for both reinforced and non-reinforced elements as a linear regression between  $0.1F_u$  and  $0.4F_u$ , where  $F$  is the load and  $w$  is the vertical displacement.

A testing machine having capacity of 300 kN and a maximum displacement of 300 mm was used. Testing load was applied at the third points of the span by means of a steel beam positioned on two rollers acting on the specimen extrados. Two steel plates (220mm × 70mm) have been interposed between rollers and specimen surface, in order to allow deflection of the specimen to develop without significant friction (Figs. 4, 5). The supports of the rollers at the two ends of the specimen allowed the element to move horizontally; local indentations and lateral torsional buckling effects were properly prevented. According to EN 408 the loading-rate has been set to 4 mm/min.

Two different experimental setups were arranged for the simply supported configuration depending on the specimen length to height ratio, in order to evaluate mechanical properties of the two specimen series. Tests set-up is resumed in Table 7.

**Table 7**  
Test set-up.

Series	Length	Height	Loading Span	Distance between the loading points
--------	--------	--------	--------------	-------------------------------------

	mm	mm	mm	mm
60-PF	1280	60	1080	360
100-PF	2000	100	1800	600

Bending strength  $f_b$  has been calculated through the following formula:

$$f_b = \frac{a}{2} \frac{F_u}{W_{eff}} \quad (1)$$

where  $F_u$ (N) is the ultimate load of the panel,  $a$  (mm) is the distance between the two loading points and  $W_{eff}$  ( $\text{mm}^3$ ) is the effective elastic section modulus of the specimens, calculated from its effective modulus of inertia  $J_{eff}$  ( $\text{mm}^4$ ) as follows:

$$W_{eff} = \frac{2 \cdot J_{eff}}{t} \quad (2)$$

$$J_{eff} = \sum_n J_i + A_i \cdot z_i^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $t$  (mm) is the total depth of the panel,  $J_i$  ( $\text{mm}^4$ ) the  $i$ -th layer moment of inertia,  $A_i$  ( $\text{mm}^2$ ) is the  $i$ -th layer cross sectional area and  $z_i$  is the distance between the  $i$ -th layer centroid and the specimen cross section centroid.

Only longitudinal layers have been considered to be participating to determine  $J_{eff}$ . It must be noted that the presence of the reinforcement has not been taken into account in the transformed elastic section modulus  $W_i$ , therefore, a “conventional” wood strength of the reinforced specimen has been calculated through Eq. (1) neglecting the contribution of the reinforcement.

A deflection index  $D_i$  of the  $i$ -th CLT specimen is defined as:

$$D_i = \frac{w_{u,i} - w_{u,0}}{w_{u,0}} \quad (4)$$

1  
2  
3  
4 1 where  $w_{u,i}$  and  $w_{u,0}$  are the displacements measured through the hydraulic jack of the  
5  
6 2 testing machine at maximum load for the reinforced and non-reinforced specimen respectively.  
7  
8  
9

### 10 3 3.1 *Bending test on 60-PF CLT specimens*

11 4 Bending tests have been carried out on a total number of 20 specimens of the 60-PF series (Fig.  
12  
13  
14 5 5).  
15  
16  
17




18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37 6  
38  
39 7 **Fig. 5.** Loading set-up for bending test of 60-PF CLT specimens.  
40

41 8 In order to investigate the effectiveness of the applied reinforcement and to possibly perform  
42  
43 9 a better comparison between reinforced and non-reinforced specimens, different groups of 60-PF  
44  
45 10 specimens were considered: a first group, with increasing numbering from 60-PF-01 to 60-PF-07,  
46  
47 11 has been tested without any reinforcement; a second group, with increasing numbering from 60-  
48  
49 12 PF-08 to 60-PF-11 and from 60-PF-16 to 60-PF-21, has been tested with single layer  
50  
51 13 reinforcements; a third group, with increasing numbering from 60-PF-12 to 60-PF-15, has been  
52  
53 14 tested with double layer reinforcements.  
54  
55  
56  
57

58 15 Figure 6 shows results of the tests on the 60-PF specimens. A direct comparison between Fig.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 6a and Fig. 6b highlights that the reinforcement has a beneficial effect in terms of global stiffness  
2 of the specimen which visibly increases its global rigidity, as pointed out by the slope increment  
3 of the average flexural stiffness in Fig. 6; moreover, a significant increment of the load-bearing  
4 capacity can be observed.



5 (a)  
6 (b)  
7 **Fig. 6.** Load-displacement curves for the 60-PF CLT specimens. (a) Non-reinforced. (b) Single (light color  
8 curves) and double layer reinforcement (dark color curves).

9  
10 Table 8 reports a summary of the results and highlights that, on average, reinforced specimens  
11 show a remarkable increasing of the rupture load, of 63% and 73% for single and double layer  
12 reinforcement respectively, with a related deflection index of 31% and 65%. Moreover, a  
13 significant increment of the global flexural stiffness, of 37% and 28% for the single and double  
14 layer reinforcement respectively, can be noticed. On the other hand, it is worth noting that the

double-layer reinforcement, if compared with the single one, marks a negligible improvement on rupture load and global stiffness of the specimens, but provides a marked increment of the deflection index. These outcomes are supported by the results of the Student's t test applied to the mean values of the parameters analysed.

**Table 8**


Test results of 60-PF specimens (mean values).

Series	Sample number	Rupture Load (kN)	Equivalent Flexural Stiffness (kN/m)	Deflection index $D_i$ (%)
60-PF NR (not reinforced)	7	22.51±4.66	1221±71	-
60-PF R1 (1 layer)	9	36.65±7.37	1679±392	31.01
60-PF R2 (2 layer)	4	39.05±10.1	1570±344	65.12
Mean comparison (Student's t test)		Rupture Load	Equivalent Flexural Stiffness	
60-PF NR and 60-PF R1 $t^*(p=0.05) = 2.14$		$t = 4.4168$	$t = 3.0284$	
60-PF NR and 60-PF R2 $*(p=0.05) = 2.26$		$t = 3.7880$	$t = 2.6867$	
60-PF R1 and 60-PF R2 $*(p=0.05) = 2.20$		$t = 0.4870$	$t = 0.5676$	$t = 0.5807$


$t$  = Student's test value;  $t^*$  = minimum  $t$  value for rejecting the null hypothesis with a 95% significance

It should be noted also that some reinforced specimens exhibit a significant variation of the rupture mechanism. For instance, 60-PF-14 exhibits a ductile failure mode, while specimen 60-PF-11 shows a rolling shear failure (Fig.6b and Fig.8). As illustrated in Fig.7, remaining specimens went through a brittle failure characterized by a bending rupture mechanism (flexural cracks in the tensile area); the collapse is generally characterized by transversal cracks propagating through the depth of the cross section and occurring when the stress level reaches the value of bending strength. As shown in Fig.8, a rolling shear failure mechanism developed exclusively in the case of the specimen 60PF-11; the mechanism is confirmed by the respective load-displacement curve illustrated in Fig. 6b which reports a residual flexural strength of the specimen due to the presence

1 of the middle span reinforcement after the rolling shear failure has occurred. It is noteworthy that  
2 no bonding failure of the reinforcing strips occurred in any of the considered specimens while the  
3 composite fracture was triggered by the propagation of the timber cracks through the depth of the  
4 specimen.



5  
6 **Fig. 7.** Flexural cracks of representative rupture mechanism of the single layer strengthened CLT specimen.  
7 (a) 60-PF-13, (b) 60-PF-10.



9  
10 **Fig. 8.** Rolling shear rupture mechanism of the single layer strengthened 60-PF-11 CLT specimen. (a)  
11 Failure mechanism, (b) Rolling shear cracks.

### 13 3.2 Bending test on 100-PF CLT specimens

14 Bending test have been carried out on 14 specimens of the 100-PF CLT series (Fig.9).




**Fig. 9.** Laboratory set-up of loading configuration for bending test of 100-PF CLT specimens.

100-PF specimens have been sorted into two groups: a first group, with increasing numbering from 100-PF-01 to 100-PF-07, has been tested without any reinforcement; the second group, with increasing numbering from 100-PF-08 to 100-PF-14, has been tested with a single-layer reinforcement.

Figure 10 shows the results of the bending tests conducted on non-reinforced and reinforced 100-PF specimens. A direct comparison with the results of the 60-PF typology shows that for both bending strength and global stiffness the beneficial effects are negligible.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



**Fig. 10.** Load-displacement curves for the 100-PF CLT specimens: (a) non-reinforced, (b) single layer reinforcement.

Results of the 100-PF specimens are summarized in Tab.9; according to the results of the Student's t test applied to the mean values, rupture load and equivalent flexural stiffness are not appreciably affected by the reinforcement, as pointed out in Fig. 10 by the same slope of the averaged flexural stiffness; the deflection index of the reinforced specimen is about 6%.

**Table 9**

Test results of 100-PF specimens (mean values)

Series	Sample size	Rupture Load (kN)	Equivalent Flexural Stiffness (kN/m)	Deflection index $D_i$ (%)
100-PF (not reinforced)	7	42.56±4.48	1416±142	-

100-PF (1 layer)	7	47.25±4.35	1420±154	6.41
Mean comparison (Student's t test)		$t = 1.7802$	$t = 0.0464$	
$t^*(p=0.05) = 2.18$				

$t$  = Student's test value;  $t^*$  = minimum  $t$  value for rejecting the null hypothesis with a 95% significance

Figure 11 shows the failure mechanism of the 100-PF-08 specimen (brittle bending failure) in the tension side, that is the type of rupture exhibited by both the reinforced and non-reinforced 100-PF specimens. No rolling shear collapse modes were witnessed for this typology. Even in this case bonding failure or detachment of the reinforcement layers were not observed.



(a)



(b)

**Fig. 11.** Rupture mechanism of the single layer strengthened 100-PF-08CLT specimen. (a) Global brittle bending failure, (b) Local flexural cracks.

#### 4. Results comparison and discussion

Results of performed loading tests suggest that fiber composite external strengthening provided a beneficial effect on the global behavior of the CLT specimens almost exclusively in the case of 60-PF specimens, in which a remarkable increment of mechanical characteristics has been detected, such as ultimate bending load, equivalent flexural stiffness and deflection index. Namely, an average increment of load carrying capacity of 63% and 73% respectively for single and double layer reinforcements and a related deflection index of 31% and 65% has been achieved. On the other hand, for the case of 100-PF layout specimens reinforced with one fabric layer, no remarkable beneficial effects have been noticed in the rupture load and almost no improvement in the stiffness. In this case the deflection index is about 6 %.

A comparative analysis of the bending strength for the reinforced typologies (60-PF and 100-PF) is reported in Table 10; the comparison points out that the maximum bending strength achieved in the most stressed fiber for both reinforced specimens' typologies is approximately the same; these results are supported by the Student's  $t$  test, applied to the mean values for  $p < 0.05$ , performed for the couples of groups 60-PF R1, 100-PF R1 and 60-PF R2, 100-PF R1. These outcomes deserve further theoretical-experimental investigations, starting from the hypothesis that the reinforcement is able of granting a maximum value of bending strength of the reinforced CLT specimens thanks to its ability, acknowledged by various studies [29, 33, 36, 38, 40, 42], to overcome stress concentrations due to wood defects, especially those located in the tensile zone responsible of cracks onset and propagation leading to failure, thus mitigating and redistributing the stress field around knots, grain deviations and other wood irregularities.

This capability of the reinforcement would explain the greater improvement of load-bearing capacity of the 60-PF respect to the 100-PF, since given a certain value of bending strength granted

1 by the reinforcement, a different increment of carrying capacity is expected due to the different  
 2 size of the specimens.

3 **Table.10**

	60-PF R1 Reinforced 1 layer	60-PF R2 Reinforced 2 layer	100-PF NR Non-reinforced	100-PF R1 Reinforced 1 layer
Bending Strength (MPa)	44.87±8.91	48.27±12.29	37.19±3.72	44.75±5.07
Mean comparison (Student's t test)	60-PF R1 and 100-P NR $t^*(p=0.05) = 2.14$ $t = 2.1298$	60-PF R2 and 100-P NR $t^*(p=0.05) = 2.26$ $t = 2.2894$	60-PF R1 and 100-P R1 $t^*(p=0.05) = 2.14$ $t = 0.0330$	60-PF R2 and 100-P R1 $t^*(p=0.05) = 2.26$ $t = 0.6832$

4  $t$  = Student's test value;  $t^*$  = minimum  $t$  value for rejecting the null hypothesis with a 95% significance

5  
 6 The experimental tests showed in most cases fragile bending failures, due to tensile fractures,  
 7 so that none or minor plasticity is supposed to occur in the compression side of the specimens; this  
 8 fact, according to the literature [26, 34, 53], is desirable in order to effectively exploit the  
 9 reinforcements benefit in the case of solid and glued laminated timber.

10 Table 10 also reports a comparative analysis between bending strengths of reinforced three-  
 11 layers specimens (60-PF) and non-reinforced five-layers specimens (100-PF); it is interesting to  
 12 note that the application of one or two reinforcing fabric layers allows the three-layer specimens  
 13 (60-PF) to achieve values of bending strength comparable with those of the non-reinforced five-  
 14 layer specimens (100-PF), as supported by the results of the Student's t test, applied to the mean  
 15 values for  $p < 0.05$ . This outcome encourages further investigations on the use of natural fibers  
 16 composites as reinforcing technique in CLT timber specimens and their application to improve  
 17 specimens bending strength, especially in case of thin panels with reduced number of layers (such  
 18 as 60-PF). E.g., this option can be attractive in case of existing CLT slabs or walls damaged or  
 19 with incorrect design, or in case of bending moment concentrations, that can occur due to  
 20 concentrated loads, planimetric irregularities or openings (e.g. for staircases). In addition, possible

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 improvement of mechanical properties of the panels with consequent reduction of their thickness  
2 could be a solution that fits well with both highly-demanding structures where the depth of the  
3 elements is important [54] and the current sustainability trend of the building sector. In fact, despite  
4 wood is the eco-compatible building material par excellence, being natural, biodegradable,  
5 renewable, less energy-consuming in the manufacturing and construction phases compared to  
6 traditional competitors such as concrete and steel, capable of storing CO<sub>2</sub> from the environment;  
7 despite the unquestionable performance of construction systems such as GLT and CLT; the  
8 manufacturing of laminated timber presents some problems of environmental impact, linked on  
9 the one hand to the possible intensive exploitation of the forests [55] and above all to the  
10 production process of the laminated timber itself. The manufacturing of the laminated panels  
11 requires considerable amount of energy embedded in the process: sawing, drying, trimming,  
12 grading and finally bonding. It has to be noted that drying is the most environment-impactful phase  
13 of the entire process [56, 57], due to the high energy required to remove moisture from the wood  
14 and the possible emission of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and hazardous air pollutants  
15 (HAPs) [58]. Bergman and Bowe [59] report that the drying process consumes approximately 70-  
16 80% of the total energy needed to produce hardwood lumber, and that the sawing process  
17 consumes the highest share of electricity. The possible reduction of the number of boards of the  
18 CLT panels could therefore allow a saving in terms of energy consumed and of waste produced  
19 during the process. Of course this possibility must take into account the sustainability of the natural  
20 fiber production process and the reinforcement bonding process. About production, Sanjay et al.  
21 [60] propose a comprehensive review of techniques for manufacturing natural fibers as  
22 reinforcements in composites and list a number of advantages offered by natural fibers over  
23 synthetic ones, including small energy consumption for production, low CO<sub>2</sub> emission, simply and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 environmental-friendly processing methods. Dittenber and Ganga Rao [61] present a very  
2 comprehensive review on the use of natural fibers in infrastructure and highlight that compared to  
3 most synthetic fibers, natural fibers are low-cost and easier to handle and require around 20–40%  
4 of the production energy. Natural fibers may also present some problems related to their  
5 hydrophilic characteristics that should be taken into account [60, 61]. Regarding the bonding of  
6 the reinforcement, the high economic and environmental cost of the commonly used epoxy resins  
7 must be considered, especially in relation to human toxicity and cost of production of raw  
8 materials. In this regard, Brunetti et al. [54] highlight how the substitution of the epoxy resins used  
9 for the application of a CFRP reinforcement with the polyurethane glue commonly used in the  
10 manufacturing of laminated timber would determine the optimization of the production process of  
11 reinforced laminated timber without compromising the performance of the elements. In addition,  
12 it is worth noting that current research trend is exploring the possibility of using glues based on  
13 natural substances both for the application of the reinforcement and for the gluing of the boards of  
14 the laminated wood elements in order to mitigate the high environmental impact of synthetic resins  
15 [62, 63].

16 The preceding considerations encourage the study of a possible reduction of the layers in  
17 laminated timber elements to the advantage of the use of natural fibers reinforcement, but there is  
18 certainly a need for quantitative life-cycle analysis to clearly demonstrate that this is indeed the  
19 case.

20 **5. Conclusions**

21 The paper shows the results of an ongoing research activity carried out on CLT specimens  
22 strengthened by natural fiber reinforcements; reinforcement has been applied in the tension zone  
23 of the specimens through the application of an external flax fibers layer. Two different typologies

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 of CLT specimens were tested, 60-PF and 100-PF specimens, with three-layers and five-layers  
2 layout respectively. For both typologies, non-reinforced and reinforced specimens' behaviors were  
3 compared addressing the evaluation of any beneficial effect in terms of load-carrying capacity,  
4 deflection and failure mode. The following main results have been pointed out.

5 A remarkable increment of mechanical characteristics, such as ultimate bending load,  
6 equivalent flexural stiffness and deflection index due to the presence of the reinforcement has been  
7 noticed for the 60-PF typology, whilst negligible improvements have been detected in the 100-PF  
8 typology.

9 Most of the reinforced specimens exhibit a brittle failure, so that none or minor plasticity is  
10 supposed to occur in the compression side of the specimens; the failure is characterized by flexural  
11 cracks in the tensile side, the rupture being generally characterized by transversal cracks  
12 propagating through the cross section and occurring when stress level reaches the bending strength.  
13 Rolling shear failure has been noticed in only one specimen belonging to the 60-PF reinforced  
14 group; this failure mode can potentially occur in all the cases of excessive bending reinforcements  
15 and should be carefully checked for a safe design of the specimen. In all examined cases no  
16 bonding failure of the reinforcements has been detected.

17 A comparison between bending strengths of reinforced three-layers specimens (60-PF) and  
18 non-reinforced five-layers specimens (100-PF) shows that the application of one or two reinforcing  
19 fabric layers allows the three-layer specimens (60-PF) to achieve values of bending strength  
20 comparable with those of the non-reinforced five-layer specimens (100-PF).

21 Results previously listed suggest that natural fibers reinforcements could be utilized as  
22 recovering technology for existing CLT slabs and walls with damage or with incorrect design or  
23 in case of bending moment concentrations. In addition, results encourage to deepen, through

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 proper studies and a quantitative life-cycle analysis, the convenience of reducing the number of  
2 layers in laminated timber elements to the advantage of using natural fibers reinforcement.

3 Future studies will aim to extend the reinforcement to diverse specimen layout, different depth  
4 to height ratio and different timber layers class.

6 **Acknowledgements**

7 The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of Regione Autonoma della  
8 Sardegna (L.R. n. 3/2008 "Rientro Cervelli" and L.R. n. 7/2007 "Promozione della Ricerca  
9 Scientifica e dell' Innovazione Tecnologica in Sardegna").

10 Innovation s.r.l (Fidia-Technical Global Services) is fully acknowledged for providing natural  
11 fibers reinforcements.

1  
2  
3  
4 **1 References**  
5  
6

- 7 [1] R. Brandner, Production and technology of cross laminated timber (CLT): a state-of-the-art  
8 report, in: R. Harris, A. Ringhofer, G. Schickhofer (Eds.), Focus Solid Timber Solutions,  
9 European Conference on Cross Laminated Timber (CLT), Graz, Austria, 2013.  
10  
11 [2] J. Vessby, B. Enquist, H. Petersson, T. Alsmarker, Experimental study of cross-laminated  
12 timber wall panels, *Eur. J. Wood Prod.* 67(2) (2009) 211–218.  
13  
14 [3] A. Buchanan, B. Deam, M. Fragiacomio, S. Pampanin, A. Palermo, Multi-storey prestressed  
15 timber buildings in New Zealand, *Struct. Eng. Int.* 18(2) (2008) 166–173.  
16  
17 [4] A. Frangi, M. Fontana, E. Hugi, R. Jübstl, Experimental analysis of cross-laminated timber  
18 panels in fire, *Fire. Saf. J.* 44(8) (2009) 1078–1087.  
19  
20 [5] A. Filiatrault, B. Folz, Performance-based seismic design of wood framed buildings *J. Struct.*  
21 *Eng.* 128(1) (2002) 39–47.  
22  
23 [6] J. Schneider, S.F. Stiemer, S. Tesfamariam, E. Karacabeyli, M. Popovski, Damage  
24 assessment of cross laminated timber connections subjected to simulated earthquake loads New  
25 Zealand, WCTE Proceedings of the 12th world conference on timber engineering, Auckland,  
26 New Zealand, 2012, pp. 398-406.  
27  
28 [7] R. Brandner, G. Schickhofer, Glue laminated timber in bending: new aspects concerning  
29 modelling. , *Wood Science Technology* 42 (2008) 401-425.  
30  
31 [8] S. Gagnon, C. Pirvu, CLT Handbook Cross-laminated Timber FPlnnovations, P.E., AWC  
32 Leesburg, Va, , 2013.  
33  
34 [9] O. Espinoza, V. Rodriguez Trujillo, M. Fernanda, M. Laguarda, U. Buehlmann, Urs  
35 Buehlmann Cross-Laminated Timber: , Status and Research Needs in Europe *Bioresources*  
36 11(1) (2015) 291-295.  
37  
38 [10] M. Frese, H.J. Blaß, Characteristic bending strength of beech glulam, *Materials and*  
39 *Structures* 40 (2006) 3-13.  
40  
41 [11] G. Castro, F. Paganini, Mixed glued laminated timber of poplar and *Eucalyptus grandis*  
42 clones, *Holz als Roh- und Werkstoff*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 61 (2003) 291-298.

2 [12] Y. Liao, D. Tu, J. Zhou, H.Y. Zhou, J. Hong;Gu, C. Hu, Feasibility of manufacturing cross-  
3 laminated timber using fast-grown small diameter eucalyptus lumbers *Construction and Building*  
4 *Materials* 132 (2017) 508-515.

5 [13] H. Pangh, H.Z. Hosseinabadi, N. Kotlarewski, P. Moradpour, M. Lee, G. Nolan, Flexural  
6 performance of cross-laminated timber constructed from fibre-managed plantation eucalyptus,  
7 *Construction and Building Materials* 208 (2019) 535-542.

8 [14] H. Minjuan, S. Xiaofeng, L. Zhen, Bending and compressive properties of cross-laminated  
9 timber (CLT) panels made from Canadian hemlock, *Construction and Building Materials* 208  
10 (2019) 535-542

11 [15] G. Concu, M. Fragiaco, N. Trulli, M. Valdes, Grading of Maritime Pine from Sardinia  
12 (Italy) for use in cross-laminated timber, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers -*  
13 *Construction Materials*, 2017, pp. 11-12.

14 [16] G.F. Giaccu, D. Meloni, M. Valdès, M. Fragiaco, Dynamic determination of modulus of  
15 elasticity of maritime pine cross-laminated panels using vibration methods, in: W. Institute (Ed.)  
16 *Sustainable Development and Planning IX .WIT Transactions on Ecology and The Environment*  
17 *WIT Press New Forest, UK, 2017, pp. 571-579.*

18 [17] A. Fortune, P. Quenneville, Feasibility study of NewZealand Radiata Pine Crosslaminated  
19 Timber, *New ZealandTimber Design Journal* 19(3) ( 2007).

20 [18] N. Trulli, M. Valdés, B. De Nicolo, M. Fragiaco, Grading of Low-Quality Wood for Use  
21 in Structural Elements, *Wood in civil engineering* (2017).

22 [19] R.E. Thomas, U. Buehlmann, Using Low-Grade Hardwoods for CLT Production: A Yield  
23 Analysis -, 6-th International Scientific Conference on Hardwood Processing (ISCHP2017),  
24 2017, pp. 199-206.

25 [20] M. Negri, I. Gravić, M. Marra, M. Fellin, A. Ceccotti, Using low quality timber for X-Lam:  
26 raw material characterization and structural performance of walls under semi-dynamic testing,  
27 *WCTE 2012: World Conference on Timber Engineering, Auckland, New Zealand, 2012.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

[21] R. Cherry, A. Manalo, W. Karunasena, G. Stringer, Out-of-grade sawn pine: A state-of-the-art review on challenges and new opportunities in cross laminated timber (CLT), *Construction and Building Materials* 858-868 (2019).

[22] C.M. Rose, D. Bergsagel, T. Dufresne, E. Unubreme, T. Lyu, P. Duffour, J. Stegemann, Cross-Laminated Secondary Timber: Experimental Testing and Modelling the Effect of Defects and Reduced Feedstock Properties Sustainability 10(11) (2018) 4118.

[23] K.U. Schober, Harte A. M., R. Kliger, R. Jockwer, Q. Xu, F. Chen, FRP reinforcement of timber structures *Construction and Building Materials* 97 (2015) 106–118.

[24] J. Wan, S. Smith, P. Qiao, F. Chen, Experimental investigation on FRP-to-timber bonded interfaces, *J Comp Constr* 18(3) (2013) A4013006:1–A4013006:9.

[25] B. Pizzo, D. Smedley, Adhesives for on-site bonding: characteristics, testing, applications. In *State-of-the-art report on the Reinforcement of Timber Structures COST Action FP1101*; (2015).

[26] CNR-DT 201-2005. Preliminary studies addressed to drawing up guidelines for static consolidation interventions on timber structures by means of fiber-reinforced composites National Research Council.

[27] K.U. Schober, K. Rautenstrauch, Experimental investigation on flexural strengthening of timber structures with CFRP, in: B. 2005 (Ed.) *Proceeding of the international symposium on bond behaviour of FRP in structures (BBFS 2005)*, Chen and Teng eds, International Institute for FRP in Construction, 2005, pp. 457-464.

[28] N. Plevris, T.C. Triantafillou, FRP reinforced wood as structural material, *J Mater Civ Eng, ASCE* 4(3) (1992) 300-317.

[29] A. Borri, M. Corradi, A. Grazini, A method for flexural reinforcement of old wood beams with CFRP materials, *Composites: Part B* 36(2) (2005) 143–53.

[30] T. Gentry, Performance of glued-laminated timbers with FRP shear and flexural reinforcement, *J Comp Constr* 15(5) (2011) 861-70.

[31] L. Yeou-Fong, X. Yao-Ming, T. Ming-Jer, Enhancement of the flexural performance of retrofitted wood beams using CFRP composite sheets, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 23 (2009) 411-422.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 [32] H. Johnsson, T. Blanksvärd, A. Carolin, Glulam members strengthened by carbon fibre  
2 reinforcement, *Materials and Structures* 40 (2006) 47-56.

3 [33] M. Fossetti, G. Minafò, M. Papia, Flexural behaviour of glulam timber beams reinforced  
4 with FRP cords, *Construction and Building Materials* 95 (2015) 54-64.

5 [34] I. Glišović, B. Stevanovic', M. Todorovic, Flexural reinforcement of glulam beams with  
6 CFRP plates, (2016) 49:, *Materials and Structures* 49 (2016) 2841–2855.

7 [35] L. Lorenzis, V. Scialpi, A. Tegola, Analytical and experimental study on bonded-in CFRP  
8 bars in glulam timber, *Compos B Eng* 36(4) (2005) 279–289.

9 [36] J. Fiorelli, A. Alves Dias, Analysis of the strength and stiffness of timber beams reinforced  
10 with carbon fiber and glass fiber, *Mater Res* 6(2) (2003).

11 [37] T. Triantafillou, Shear reinforcement of wood using FRP materials, *J Mater Civ Eng* 9(2)  
12 (1997) 65-9.

13 [38] A. Borri, M. Corradi, Strengthening of timber beams with high strength steel cords,  
14 *Composites: Part B* 2011 42 (2011) 1480–91.

15 [39] S. Dixit, R. Goel, A. Dubey, P.R. Shivhare, B. T., Natural Fibre Reinforced Polymer  
16 Composite Materials - A Review, *Polymers from Renewable Resources* 8(2) (2017) 71-78.

17 [40] A. Borri, M. Corradi, E. Speranzini, Reinforcement of wood with natural fibers,  
18 *Composites: Part B* 53 (2013) 1-8.

19 [41] A. Borri, M. Corradi, E. Speranzini, Bending tests on natural fiber reinforced fir wooden  
20 Elements, *Advanced Materials Research* 778 (2013) 537-544.

21 [42] E. Speranzini, S. Tralascia, Engineered lumber: LVL and solid wood reinforced with  
22 naturali fibers, WCTE world conference on timber engineering, Riva del Garda, Italy, 20-24  
23 June, 2010.

24 [43] B. Moezzi pour, M. Ahmadi, A. Moezzi pour, Physical and mechanical properties of  
25 reinforced ply wood with natural fibers, *J Indian Acad Wood Sci* 14(1) (2017) 70-73.

26 [44] G.M. Raftery, F. Kelly, Basalt FRP rods for reinforcement and repair of timber,  
27 *Composites: Part B* 70 (2015) 9-19.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 [45] Z. Pengyi, S. Shijie, M. Chunmei, Strengthening mechanical properties of glulam with  
2 basalt fiber, *Adv Nat Sci* 4(2) (2011) 130–3.

3 [46] S. Sander Carvalho, J. Rossetti Dutra, A. Cerávolo de Carvalho, L. Machado Gomes Vieira,  
4 A. Luis Christoforo, Experimental Evaluation of the Employment of a Laminated Composite  
5 Material with Sisal Fibres as Reinforcement in Timber Beams, *International Journal of*  
6 *Composite Materials* 2(5) (2012) 97-100.

7 [47] N.T. Mascia, B.F. Donadon, R. Vilela, Glued Laminated Timber Beams Reinforced With  
8 Sisal Fibres, *Int. J. Struct. Civ. Eng. Res* (2019) 390-397.

9 [48] R. Riu, Caratterizzazione di pannelli XLam in Pino Marittimo sardo, University of Cagliari,  
10 Italy, 2016.

11 [49] UNI 11035-1:2010 Visual strength grading for structural timbers. Part I: terminology and  
12 measurements of features, UNI, Milan, Italy

13 [50] UNI 11035-2: 2010 Visual strength grading for structural timbers. Part 2: visual strength  
14 grading rules and characteristics values for structural timber population, UNI, Milan, Italy

15 [51] EN 338:2009–10 Structural timber – Strength classes, European Standard, European  
16 Committee for standardization.

17 [52] EN-408:2012-07 Timber structures – Structural timber and glued laminated timber –  
18 Determination of some physical and mechanical properties, European Standard, European  
19 Committee for standardization.

20 [53] H. Hoseinpour, M.R. Valluzzi, E. Garbin, M. Panizza, Analytical investigation of timber  
21 beams strengthened with composite materials, *Construction and Building Materials* 191 (2018)  
22 1242–1251.

23 [54] M. Brunetti, I.P. Christovasilis, M. Micheloni, M. Nocetti, B. Pizzo, Production feasibility  
24 and performance of carbon fibre reinforced glulam beams manufactured with polyurethane  
25 adhesive, *Composites Part B: Engineering* 156 (2019) 212-219.

26 [55] S. Sloan, J.A. Sayer, Forest Resources Assessment of 2015 shows positive global trends but  
27 forest loss and degradation persist in poor tropical countries, *Forest Ecology and Management*  
28 352 (2015) 134-145.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 [56] M.E. Puettmann, J.B. Wilson, Gate-to-gate life-cycle inventory of glued-laminated timbers  
2 production Wood and Fiber Science 37(Corrim Special Issue) (2005) 99 - 113.

3 [57] M.E. Puettmann, E. Oneil, L. Johnson, Cradle to gate life cycle assessment of softwood  
4 plywood from the Pacific Northwest Technical Report, 2013.

5 [58] B.H. Bond, O. Espinoza, A Decade of Improved Lumber Drying Technology, Curr. Forestry  
6 ( 2) (2016) 106-118.

7 [59] R. Bergman, S.A. Bowe, Impact of producing hardwoods using life-cycle inventory, Wood  
8 and Fiber Science 40(3) (2008) 448 - 458.

9 [60] Sanjay M.R., Suchart Siengchin, Jyotishkumar Parameswaranpillai, Mohammad Jawaid,  
10 Catalin Iulian Pruncu, A. Khan, A comprehensive review of techniques for natural fibers as  
11 reinforcement incomposites: Preparation, processing and characterization, Carbohydrate  
12 Polymers 207 (2019) 108–121.

13 [61] D.B. Dittenber, H.V.S. GangaRao, Critical review of recent publications on use of natural  
14 composites in infrastructure, Composites: Part A 43 (2012) 1419-1429.

15 [62] N. Panagiotis, C. Achelonoudis, E. Papadopoulou, E. Athanassiadou, E. Karagiannidis,  
16 Environmentally-friendly adhesives for wood products used in construction applications, WCTE  
17 2016, World Conference on Timber Engineering, Wien, Austria, 2015.

18 [63] V. Hemmil, S. Adamopoulos, O. Karlsson, A. Kumar, Development of sustainable bio-  
19 adhesives for engineered wood panels, A Review, RSC Adv. 7 (2017) 38604-38630.

**Paper No:** CONBUILDMAT-S-19-07074 (R-1)

**Date:** November -12-2019

**Author:** Monica Valdes, Gian Felice Giaccu, Daniel Meloni, Giovanna Concu

**Title:** “Reinforcement of maritime pine cross-laminated timber panels by means of natural flax fibers” (Second submission).

**Article type:** Research paper

### **List of the main Revisions Made to the Original Manuscript by the Authors**

The main text has been deeply revised and modified according to the changes proposed by the reviewer.

- According to recommendations of the reviewer, the introduction has been improved. New sentences and new references have been added in order to clarify the innovative aspects of the research.
- Methodological aspects have been clarified as requested by the reviewer (please refer to “specific comments”).
- The analysis has been expanded and deepened in sections 3.1 and 3.2; tables have been integrated with the missing statistics and variability data requested by the reviewer.
- Discussion of the results has been deeply revised. New sentences have been added in order to clarify the discussions of the results, as requested by the reviewer.
- Table 8, Table 9 and Table 10 have been restructured with the statistical data requested by the reviewer.
- Figures 6 and 10 have been rearranged in order to accomplish the requests of the reviewer.
- Conclusions have been revised and enhanced following the deepening of the discussion of the results.
- The main text has been re-read and small editorial typo, grammar and spelling have been corrected.

### **Point-by-Point Reply to Reviewer by the Authors**

#### **Comments from the editors and reviewer:**

#### **Reviewer #1:**

##### General comments

The paper deals with the use of natural fiber in the reinforcement of CLT panel. The topic is of interest and the work clearly describes objectives, activities and results.

However major improvements are necessary:

*The authors would like to thank the Reviewer#1 for the encouragements and for the constructive punctual comments, useful for the improvement of the paper.*

- the introduction must be improved by enlarging the literature and by clarifying which are the innovative aspects and the need for this research

*The introduction has been improved as suggested by the reviewer, new sentences and new references have been added in order to clarify the innovative aspects of the research.*

- some methodological aspects should be better explained and further develop.

*Methodological aspects have been clarified as requested by the reviewer (please refer to “specific comments”).*

- The data analysis is very poor

*The analysis has been expanded and deepened in sections 3.1 and 3.2, tables have been integrated with the missing statistics and variability data requested by the reviewer.*

- The use of 2 layers of reinforcement on the 5-layer set-up would have been important, since only 1 layer does not add anything to the not reinforced. Why it was not tested?

*The tests on the 5-layer set-up were carried out after those on the 3-layer set-up. A preliminary analysis of the results provided by the 3-layer set-up, which showed a not-so-significant increase in performance following the addition of one reinforcement layer, led the authors to carry out tests on the 5-layer set-up with a single layer reinforcement. The authors, however, intend to deepen the experimental campaign by carrying out new tests on various set-ups differing for the number and thickness of the layers of the panels and for the number of reinforcement layers.*

- The discussions are not clear enough.

*Discussion of the results has been enhanced (see sections 3 and 4). New sentences have been added in order to clarify the results as suggested by the reviewer.*

### **Specific comments:**

PG 1 - L 14: Sardinia maritime pine, here as in the following of the paper the word "Sardinian" should be deleted. It is not a subspecies or any other taxonomic description. It would be enough to reports in the materials paragraph that the timber used comes from Sardinia

*The term “Sardinian maritime pine” has been deleted in the paper and replaced by “maritime pine, grown in Sardinia”.*

PG 1 - L 19: "In case of a one layout" not clear, please, rephrase

*The sentence has been clarified in the abstract as requested by the reviewer.*

PG 2 - L 7: Wood it is not "fire resistant", please rephrase

*The phrase has been reformulated by the authors.*

PG 3 - L 1: What does it mean "anatomic" defects?

*The term "anatomic defects" has been deleted and the sentence has been rephrased by the authors.*

PG 4 - L 9-12: please, clarify better the novelty of the work in respect to the cited literature.

*The introduction has been enlarged and deeply enhanced by the authors aiming to investigate new works and to better clarify the novelty aspects of the article, moreover new references have been added.*

PG 4 - L 13-15: not needed, delete.

*The sentence has been deleted.*

PG 4 - L 18: the EN 338 is not reported grading rules or methodology; please cite the proper standard. Moreover, in general, it would be better to cite the European standards just as "EN" since it is an international publication.

*The reference has been replaced with the UNI 11035-1 and UNI 11035-2 standards, to which reference has been made for the grading rules.*

PG 5: how the CLT panels were produced? A pre-stress of the fibers was adopted during the bonding? Please, clarify.

*Some clarification regarding the manufacturing of the panel have been added as requested by the reviewer.*

PG 9 - L 5: not the panels were tested but strips. Moreover, the EN 408 was used? Why not the EN 16351?

*At the time of the panels manufacturing the standard EN 16351 hasn't been published yet (it was in a status of PrEN 16351), therefore the testing procedures described in the EN 408 have been applied, as required by the Italian Ministry of Infrastructures.*

PG 9 - L 13-14: Authors report of a LDT placed in the mid-span, then the global displacement was measured? What is the "gauge length" reported in Table 7?

*The authors thank the reviewer for rising up this issue; the column in Table 7 has been deleted to avoid misinterpretation.*

PG 10 - L 13: the plates used were 100 mm width? Isn't too much? The standard allows a max width of half the depth of the specimen, please clarify.

*The authors thank the reviewer for this remark which highlighted an “error” present in the paper: the steel plate utilized for the bending test has sizes 220mm × 70mm and not 300mm × 100mm (please refer to Figs. 5 and 9). However, the authors recognize that for the considered specimens the plate width is larger than the width allowed by standard requirements. Unfortunately, smaller plates weren’t available for the experimental setup. The authors believe that, since the global stiffness and global displacement were measured, this discrepancy does not affect the final results of the bending test and they hope that the reviewer agree.*

PG 11 - L 15: how it is calculated  $W_i$ ?

*New sentences and formulas aiming to clarify how  $W_i$  is calculated have been added in the paper.*

PG 11 - L 17 and 19:  $W_1$  it is not the modulus of elasticity. How the stiffness is calculated?

*The typo has been amended, a new description of the calculation of the elastic section modulus has been added (refer to the previous point).*

PG 12 - L 1 How the displacement at maximum load was measured since the LDT were removed before rupture?

*The authors thank the reviewer for having highlighted this issue which helps to clarify the testing procedure employed in the experiments; a new sentence has been added in order to clarify this issue.*

PG 13 - L 3: it is not easy to judge about stiffness from the graph.

*Figure 6 and Figure 10 have been improved aiming to allow a better comparison between reinforced and non-reinforced specimens: two straight lines with the average flexural stiffness slopes of the not reinforced and reinforced specimens have been added.*

PG 14 - Table 8: statistics of the variability of data are missing, please add. Also, a mean comparison by a statistical test is needed.

*Table 8 has been restructured following the reviewer’s comments.*

PG 16 - L 11: "less evident", actually no real improvement is observable.

*Figure 10b has been improved in order to allow a better comparison with Fig.10a: a straight line with the mean stiffness of the not reinforced specimens has been added in Fig. 10b*

PG 17 - Table 9: see comments on table 8

*Table 9 has been restructured following the reviewer’s comments.*

PG 18 - L 11-12: "beneficial effect on the two panel series"; not really, the 5-layer panels did not seem to have any improvement

*The sentence has been rephrased as suggested by the reviewer.*

PG 19 - table 10: comparison of maximum loads does not make sense. It would be better to use the bending strength and to keep the two reinforcement of the 60-PF separated.

*The authors thank the reviewer for this remark; table 10 has been restructured accounting of the bending strength as suggested by the reviewer.*

PG 20 - L 1-9: This par is not acceptable since the application of the fiber has high production costs (often not economically sustainable). Moreover, the use of epoxy resins has also high environmental costs, see also: Martin et al 2000, "Commercialization of FRP reinforced glulam beam technology". Proceedings of the 6th World Conference on Timber Engineering WCTE; and Brunetti et al 2019 "Production feasibility and performance of carbon fibre reinforced glulam beams manufactured with polyurethane adhesive". Construct Build Mater

*The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the important observation. The paragraph has been profoundly revised by inserting a discussion on the sustainability of the CLT and natural fibers production process, making reference both to the publications suggested by the reviewer and to further studies in the literature. The authors agree with the reviewer on the problem of the costs and the sustainability of the materials and production processes in question, therefore a specific sentence has also been inserted that underlines the need for further quantitative studies, in particular of the LCA type, to support the hypotheses put forward in this paragraph.*

PG 20 - L17-22: Not clear what the authors mean.

*The discussion of this point has been revised and deepened, also through the inclusion in Tab. 10 of the comparison between the bending strengths of the 3-layer and 5-layer reinforced specimens. Reference has also been made to the literature to illustrate more clearly the discussion of the point in question.*

PG 21 - L 1-3: please, explain better the reasons of this statement.

*The topic has been developed in the discussion of the results (section 4) therefore the sentence has been deleted to avoid misinterpretation.*

## \*Highlights

The use of natural flax fibers for studying flexural performance of CLT panels;

Improvement of stiffness and rupture load of the CLT panels have been noticed ;

Important variations of rupture mechanism of the CLT panels have been noticed.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION AND AUTHOR AGREEMENT FORM

**It is important that you return this form upon submission. We will not publish your article without completion and return of this form.**

**Title of Paper:** *Reinforcement of maritime pine cross-laminated timber panels by means of natural flax fibers*

Please tick one of the following boxes:

We have no conflict of interest to declare.

We have a competing interest to declare (please fill in box below):

This statement is to certify that all Authors have seen and approved the manuscript being submitted. We warrant that the article is the Authors' original work. We warrant that the article has not received prior publication and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. On behalf of all Co-Authors, the corresponding Author shall bear full responsibility for the submission.

This research has not been submitted for publication nor has it been published in whole or in part elsewhere. We attest to the fact that all Authors listed on the title page have contributed significantly to the work, have read the manuscript, attest to the validity and legitimacy of the data and its interpretation, and agree to its submission to the Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health.

All authors agree that author list is correct in its content and order and that no modification to the author list can be made without the formal approval of the Editor-in-Chief, and all authors accept that the Editor-in-Chief's decisions over acceptance or rejection or in the event of any breach of the Principles of Ethical Publishing in the Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health being discovered of retraction are final.

No additional authors will be added post submission, unless editors receive agreement from all authors and detailed information is supplied as to why the author list should be amended.

**Author Signature**

**Print Name**



Gian Felice Giaccu

Please check this box if you are submitting this on behalf of all authors.

Monica Valdes, performed the experiments;

Gian Felice Giaccu, Daniel Meloni e Giovanna Concu equally contributed to the design and implementation of the research, to the analysis of the results and to the writing of the manuscript.

Monica Valdes, Gian Felice Giaccu, Daniel Meloni, Giovanna Concu collaborated in the drafting of the paper;